Affirmative Action Plan for the Recruitment, Hiring, Advancement, and Retention of Persons with Disabilities

To capture agencies' affirmative action plan for persons with disabilities (PWD) and persons with targeted disabilities (PWTD), EEOC regulations (29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(e)) and MD-715 require agencies to describe how their affirmative action plan will improve the recruitment, hiring, advancement, and retention of applicants and employees with disabilities.

Section I: Efforts to Reach Regulatory Goals

EEOC regulations (29 CFR §1614.203(d)(7)) require agencies to establish specific numerical goals for increasing the participation of persons with disabilities and persons with targeted disabilities in the federal government

1. Using the goal of 12% as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWD by grade level cluster in the permanent workforce? If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

a. Cluster GS-1 to GS-10 (PWD)

Answer No.

b. Cluster GS-11 to SES (PWD)

Answer No

The NCPC exceeds the 12% benchmark for PWD by grade level cluster in the permanent workforce. Cluster GS-1 to GS-10: 50% Cluster GS-11 to SES: 15%

*For GS employees, please use two clusters: GS-1 to GS-10 and GS-11 to SES, as set forth in 29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(d)(7). For all other pay plans, please use the approximate grade clusters that are above or below GS-11 Step 1 in the Washington, DC metropolitan region.

2. Using the goal of 2% as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWTD by grade level cluster in the permanent workforce? If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

a. Cluster GS-1 to GS-10 (PWTD)

Answer Yes

b. Cluster GS-11 to SES (PWTD)

Answer Yes

There are 0 NCPC employees who self-identify in the PWTD category. Therefore, neither of the grade level cluster groups meets the 2% goal for PWTD.

| Grade Level Cluster(GS or Alternate Pay | Total | Total Reportable Disability | | | Targeted Disability | | |
|---|-------|-----------------------------|-------|----|---------------------|--|--|
| Planb) | # | # | % | # | % | | |
| Numarical Goal | | 12% | | 2% | | | |
| Grades GS-1 to GS-10 | 2 | 1 | 50.00 | 0 | 0.00 | | |
| Grades GS-11 to SES | 26 | 4 | 15.38 | 0 | 0.00 | | |

3. Describe how the agency has communicated the numerical goals to the hiring managers and/or recruiters.

The numerical goals have been communicated to the HR Specialist at the annual EEO Program Overview meeting. During that meeting, the EEO PM and HR Specialist discuss opportunities to achieve the numerical goals.

Section II: Model Disability Program

Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(d)(1), agencies must ensure sufficient staff, training and resources to recruit and hire persons with disabilities and persons with targeted disabilities, administer the reasonable accommodation program and special emphasis program, and oversee any other disability hiring and advancement program the agency has in place.

A. PLAN TO PROVIDE SUFFICIENT & COMPETENT STAFFING FOR THE DISABILITY PROGRAM

1. Has the agency designated sufficient qualified personnel to implement its disability program during the reporting period? If "no", describe the agency's plan to improve the staffing for the upcoming year.

Answer Yes

2. Identify all staff responsible for implementing the agency's disability employment program by the office, staff employment status, and responsible official.

| D: 13: D | # of FTE | Responsible Official | | |
|--|-----------|----------------------|-----------------|--|
| Disability Program Task | Full Time | Part Time | Collateral Duty | (Name, Title, Office Email) |
| Processing applications from PWD and PWTD | 0 | 1 | 0 | Camille Parks Human Resource Specialist camille.parks@ncpc.gov |
| Special Emphasis Program for PWD and PWTD | 0 | 0 | 1 | Camille Parks Human Resource Specialist camille.parks@ncpc.gov |
| Answering questions from the public about hiring authorities that take disability into account | 0 | 1 | 0 | Camille Parks Human Resource Specialist camille.parks@ncpc.gov |
| Processing reasonable accommodation requests from applicants and employees | 0 | 0 | 2 | Grace Kim IT Specialist grace.kim@ncpc.gov |
| Section 508 Compliance | 0 | 0 | 1 | Grace Kim IT Specialist grace.kim@ncpc.gov |
| Architectural Barriers Act Compliance | 0 | 0 | 1 | Grace Kim IT Specialist grace.kim@ncpc.gov |

3. Has the agency provided disability program staff with sufficient training to carry out their responsibilities during the reporting period? If "yes", describe the training that disability program staff have received. If "no", describe the training planned for the upcoming year.

Answer No

The IT Specialist who carries out the responsibilities of the EEO Program is scheduled to complete the Refresher Training for EEO Counselors in June 2021.

B. PLAN TO ENSURE SUFFICIENT FUNDING FOR THE DISABILITY PROGRAM

Has the agency provided sufficient funding and other resources to successfully implement the disability program during the reporting period? If "no", describe the agency's plan to ensure all aspects of the disability program have sufficient funding and other resources.

Answer Yes

Section III: Program Deficiencies In The Disability Program

Brief Description of Program Deficiency

D.1.c. Does the agency conduct exit interviews or surveys that include questions on how the agency could improve the recruitment, hiring, inclusion, retention and advancement of individuals with disabilities? [see 29 CFR §1614.203(d)(1) (iii)(C)]

Section IV: Plan to Recruit and Hire Individuals with Disabilities

Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. §1614.203(d)(1)(i) and (ii), agencies must establish a plan to increase the recruitment and hiring of individuals with disabilities. The questions below are designed to identify outcomes of the agency's recruitment program plan for PWD and PWTD

A. PLAN TO IDENTIFY JOB APPLICATIONS WITH DISABILITIES

1. Describe the programs and resources the agency uses to identify job applicants with disabilities, including individuals with targeted disabilities.

The NCPC uses USAJOBS postings under area of consideration as a recruitment tool. NCPC also uses flags/indicators in the USA Staffing application and evaluation system.

2. Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. §1614.203(a)(3), describe the agency's use of hiring authorities that take disability into account (e.g., Schedule A) to recruit PWD and PWTD for positions in the permanent workforce

NCPC leverages the Schedule A Hiring Authority to recruit PWD and PWTD for positions in the permanent workforce. In accordance with OPM policy and procedures, applicants who apply by means of the Schedule A Hiring Authority must provide documentation to verify eligibility for employment under Schedule A. Additionally, the applicant must be qualified or highly qualified to perform the duties of the position.

3. When individuals apply for a position under a hiring authority that takes disability into account (e.g., Schedule A), explain how the agency (1) determines if the individual is eligible for appointment under such authority; and, (2) forwards the individual's application to the relevant hiring officials with an explanation of how and when the individual may be appointed.

In accordance with OPM policy and procedures, applicants who apply by means of the Schedule A Hiring Authority must provide documentation to verify eligibility for employment under Schedule A. (1) HR determines whether an individual is eligible for a hiring authority based on disability based on the required documentation submitted (e.g. for Schedule A claims, the applicant must include documentation verifying their covered disability from an appropriate authority, such as a licensed medical professional, in accordance with OPM regulations). (2) An eligible individual would be referred to the hiring official with indication of the hiring authority of which he/she is eligible for on their application; explanation of the authority and its procedures is provided and available in agency's hiring procedures manual for hiring officials and further guidance/information provided at time of hiring manager's evaluation.

4. Has the agency provided training to all hiring managers on the use of hiring authorities that take disability into account (e.g., Schedule A)? If "yes", describe the type(s) of training and frequency. If "no", describe the agency's plan to provide this training.

Answer Yes

Hiring Managers have recently received training on employee relations and staffing/recruitment, plus a Hiring Procedures Manual was created as a "go-to" guide for them on the hiring process.

B. PLAN TO ESTABLISH CONTACTS WITH DISABILITY EMPLOYMENT ORGANIZATIONS

Describe the agency's efforts to establish and maintain contacts with organizations that assist PWD, including PWTD, in securing and maintaining employment.

NCPC is in contact with Department of Labor's Office of Disability Employment Policy including its Federal Exchange on Employment and Disability (FEED) and its Workforce Recruitment Program (WRP).

C. PROGRESSION TOWARDS GOALS (RECRUITMENT AND HIRING)

1. Using the goals of 12% for PWD and 2% for PWTD as the benchmarks, do triggers exist for PWD and/or PWTD among the new hires in the permanent workforce? If "yes", please describe the triggers below.

a. New Hires for Permanent Workforce (PWD)

Answer No

b. New Hires for Permanent Workforce (PWTD)

Answer Yes

In FY 20, NCPC had 6 new hires. Of the new hires in the permanent workforce, 50% reported PWD, which exceeds the 12% goal. The participation rate for PWTD among the new hires in the permanent workforce is 0%, which falls below the goal of 2% for PWTD.

| | | Reportable | Disability | Targeted Disability | | |
|------------------------------|-------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--|
| New Hires | Total | Permanent Workforce | Temporary Workforce | Permanent Workforce | Temporary Workforce | |
| | (#) | (%) | (%) | (%) | (%) | |
| % of Total Applicants | 0 | | | | | |
| % of Qualified Applicants | 0 | | | | | |
| % of New Hires | 0 | | | | | |

2. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, do triggers exist for PWD and/or PWTD among the new hires for any of the mission- critical occupations (MCO)? If "yes", please describe the triggers below. Select "n/a" if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

a. New Hires for MCO (PWD)

Answer No

b. New Hires for MCO (PWTD)

Answer Yes

In FY 20, NCPC had 6 new hires for mission-critical occupations. The participation rate for PWD among the new hires for MCO was 50%, which exceeds the benchmark goals. The participation rate for PWTD among the new hires for MCO was 0%.

| New Hires to | | Reportable | e Disability | Targetable Disability | | |
|------------------------------|-------|-------------------------|--------------|-----------------------|-----------|--|
| Mission-Critical Occupations | Total | Qualified Applicants | New Hires | Qualified Applicants | New Hires | |
| | (#) | (%) | (%) | (%) | (%) | |
| Numerical Goal | | 12% | | 2% | | |

3. Using the relevant applicant pool as the benchmark, do triggers exist for PWD and/or PWTD among the qualified internal applicants for any of the mission-critical occupations (MCO)? If "yes", please describe the triggers below. Select "n/a" if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

a. Qualified Applicants for MCO (PWD)

Answer N/A

b. Qualified Applicants for MCO (PWTD)

Answer N/A

NCPC recruited internal applicants for only one mission-critical occupation in FY20; therefore, sufficient data to determine if triggers exist for PWD and PWTD is not available.

4. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, do triggers exist for PWD and/or PWTD among employees promoted to any of the mission- critical occupations (MCO)? If "yes", please describe the triggers below. Select "n/a" if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

a. Promotions for MCO (PWD)

Answer N/A

b. Promotions for MCO (PWTD)

Answer N/A

Promotion opportunities are rare at NCPC due to its small size and very high retention rate. Therefore, data to determine if triggers exist for PWD and/or PWTD among employees promoted to the MCO is insufficient.

Section V: Plan to Ensure Advancement Opportunities for Employees with Disabilities

Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. §1614.203(d)(1)(iii), agencies are required to provide sufficient advancement opportunities for employees with disabilities. Such activities might include specialized training and mentoring programs, career development opportunities, awards programs, promotions, and similar programs that address advancement. In this section, agencies should identify, and provide data on programs designed to ensure advancement opportunities for employees with disabilities.

A. ADVANCEMENT PROGRAM PLAN

Describe the agency's plan to ensure PWD, including PWTD, have sufficient opportunities for advancement.

Opportunities for advancement are rare at NCPC due to its small size and high retention rate. Most of the workforce are in a highly specialized occupational group. Opportunities for advancement usually occur upon another individual separating from the organization. In the event an opportunity for advancement becomes available, all qualified individuals, including PWD and PWTD are able to compete for the position.

B. CAREER DEVELOPMENT OPPORTUNITES

1. Please describe the career development opportunities that the agency provides to its employees.

NCPC provides the following career development opportunities to all staff: • Internship Programs • Fellowship Programs • Specialized Training • Conferences • Informal Mentoring/Coaching

2. In the table below, please provide the data for career development opportunities that require competition and/or supervisory recommendation/ approval to participate.

| Compan Davidonment | Total Participants | | PWD | | PWTD | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|
| Career Development Opportunities | Applicants (#) | Selectees (#) | Applicants (%) | Selectees (%) | Applicants (%) | Selectees (%) |
| Internship Programs | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fellowship Programs | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detail Programs | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mentoring Programs | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Career Development Programs | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| Comer Development | Total Participants | | PWD | | PWTD | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|
| Career Development Opportunities | Applicants (#) | Selectees (#) | Applicants (%) | Selectees (%) | Applicants (%) | Selectees (%) |
| Coaching Programs | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Training Programs | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

3. Do triggers exist for PWD among the applicants and/or selectees for any of the career development programs? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for the applicants and the applicant pool for selectees.) If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select "n/a" if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

a. Applicants (PWD)

Answer N/A

b. Selections (PWD)

Answer N/A

Applicant data is not available for NCPC to identify triggers among the applicants and selectees for the internship program. The internship program at the NCPC is unpaid and voluntary; therefore, participants do not complete the SF-256 for Self-Identification of Disability. NCPC internship program is a student volunteer program open to college students year-round. They do not apply through the federal competitive process; just submit application (resume, cover letter, portfolio if applicable) and are interviewed and serve a temporary period on an unpaid/voluntary basis.

4. Do triggers exist for PWTD among the applicants and/or selectees for any of the career development programs? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for the applicants and the applicant pool for selectees.) If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select "n/a" if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

a. Applicants (PWTD) Answer N/A

b. Selections (PWTD)

Answer N/A

Applicant data is not available for NCPC to identify triggers among the applicants and selectees for the internship program. The internship program at the NCPC is unpaid and voluntary; therefore, participants do not complete the SF-256 for Self-Identification of Disability. NCPC internship program is a student volunteer program open to college students year-round. They do not apply through the federal competitive process; just submit application (resume, cover letter, portfolio if applicable) and are interviewed and serve a temporary period on an unpaid/voluntary basis.

C. AWARDS

1. Using the inclusion rate as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWD and/or PWTD for any level of the time-off awards, bonuses, or other incentives? If "yes", please describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

a. Awards, Bonuses, & Incentives (PWD)

Answer No

b. Awards, Bonuses, & Incentives (PWTD)

Answer No

All employees, including PWD, who had satisfactory performance ratings received performance bonuses in FY20. Also, of the few employees granted time-off awards, one included a PWD. There are no PWTD reported at NCPC; therefore, data is insufficient to determine if a trigger exists in this category.

| Time-Off Awards | Total (#) | Reportable Disability % | Without Reportable Disability % | Targeted Disability % | Without Targeted Disability % |
|---|-----------|----------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|
| Time-Off Awards 1 - 10 hours: Awards Given | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Time-Off Awards 1 - 10 Hours: Total Hours | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

| Time-Off Awards | Total (#) | Reportable Disability % | Without Reportable Disability % | Targeted Disability % | Without Targeted Disability % |
|--|-----------|----------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|
| Time-Off Awards 1 - 10 Hours: Average Hours | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Time-Off Awards 11 - 20 hours: Awards Given | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Time-Off Awards 11 - 20 Hours: Total Hours | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Time-Off Awards 11 - 20 Hours: Average Hours | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Time-Off Awards 21 - 30 hours: Awards Given | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Time-Off Awards 21 - 30 Hours: Total Hours | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Time-Off Awards 21 - 30 Hours: Average Hours | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Time-Off Awards 31 - 40 hours: Awards Given | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Time-Off Awards 31 - 40 Hours: Total Hours | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Time-Off Awards 31 - 40 Hours: Average Hours | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Time-Off Awards 41 or more Hours: Awards Given | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Time-Off Awards 41 or more Hours: Total Hours | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Time-Off Awards 41 or more Hours: Average Hours | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

| Cash Awards | Total (#) | Reportable Disability % | Without Reportable Disability % | Targeted Disability % | Without Targeted Disability % |
|---|-----------|----------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|
| Cash Awards: \$501 - \$999: Awards Given | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Cash Awards: \$501 - \$999: Total Amount | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Cash Awards: \$501 - \$999: Average Amount | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Cash Awards: \$1000 - \$1999: Awards Given | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Cash Awards: \$1000 - \$1999: Total Amount | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Cash Awards: \$1000 - \$1999: Average Amount | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Cash Awards: \$2000 - \$2999: Awards Given | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Cash Awards: \$2000 - \$2999: Total Amount | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Cash Awards: \$2000 - \$2999: Average Amount | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Cash Awards: \$3000 - \$3999: Awards Given | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Cash Awards: \$3000 - \$3999: Total Amount | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Cash Awards: \$3000 - \$3999: Average Amount | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Cash Awards: \$4000 - \$4999: Awards Given | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Cash Awards: \$4000 - \$4999: Total Amount | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

| Cash Awards | Total (#) | Reportable Disability % | Without Reportable Disability % | Targeted Disability % | Without Targeted Disability % |
|---|-----------|----------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|
| Cash Awards: \$4000 - \$4999: Average Amount | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Cash Awards: \$5000 or more: Awards Given | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Cash Awards: \$5000 or more: Total Amount | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Cash Awards: \$5000 or more: Average Amount | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

2. Using the inclusion rate as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWD and/or PWTD for quality step increases or performance- based pay increases? If "yes", please describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

a. Pay Increases (PWD)

Answer No

b. Pay Increases (PWTD)

Answer No

All employees, including PWD, eligible and qualified for step increases received them in FY20. There are no PWTD reported at NCPC; therefore, data is insufficient to determine if a trigger exists in this category.

| Other Awards | Total (#) | Reportable Disability % | Without Reportable Disability % | Targeted Disability | Without Targeted Disability % |
|--|-----------|----------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|
| Total Performance Based Pay Increases Awarded | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

3. If the agency has other types of employee recognition programs, are PWD and/or PWTD recognized disproportionately less than employees without disabilities? (The appropriate benchmark is the inclusion rate.) If "yes", describe the employee recognition program and relevant data in the text box.

a. Other Types of Recognition (PWD)

Answer N/A

b. Other Types of Recognition (PWTD)

Answer N/A

D. PROMOTIONS

- 1. Does your agency have a trigger involving PWD among the qualified internal applicants and/or selectees for promotions to the senior grade levels? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for qualified internal applicants and the qualified applicant pool for selectees.) For non-GS pay plans, please use the approximate senior grade levels. If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select "n/a" if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.
 - a. SES

i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD)

Answer N/A

ii. Internal Selections (PWD)

Answer N/A

b. Grade GS-15

i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD)

Answer N/A

ii. Internal Selections (PWD)

Answer N/A

c. Grade GS-14

i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD)

Answer N/A

ii. Internal Selections (PWD)

Answer N/A

d. Grade GS-13

i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD)

Answer N/A

ii. Internal Selections (PWD)

Answer N/A

NCPC had one promotion opportunity to the senior grade levels in FY20; therefore, data to determine if triggers exist is insufficient.

2. Does your agency have a trigger involving PWTD among the qualified internal applicants and/or selectees for promotions to the senior grade levels? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for qualified internal applicants and the qualified applicant pool for selectees.) For non-GS pay plans, please use the approximate senior grade levels. If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select "n/a" if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

a. SES

i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD)

Answer N/A

ii. Internal Selections (PWTD)

Answer N/A

b. Grade GS-15

i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD)

Answer N/A

ii. Internal Selections (PWTD)

Answer N/A

c. Grade GS-14

i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD)

Answer N/A

ii. Internal Selections (PWTD)

Answer N/A

d. Grade GS-13

i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD)

Answer N/A

ii. Internal Selections (PWTD)

Answer N/A

NCPC had one promotion opportunity to the senior grade levels in FY20; therefore, data to determine if triggers exist is insufficient.

3. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWD among the new hires to the senior grade levels? For non-GS pay plans, please use the approximate senior grade levels. If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select "n/a" if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

a. New Hires to SES (PWD)

Answer N/A

b. New Hires to GS-15 (PWD)

Answer N/A

c. New Hires to GS-14 (PWD)

Answer N/A

d. New Hires to GS-13 (PWD)

Answer N/A

Data to determine if triggers exist is insufficient.

Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWTD among the new hires to the senior grade levels? For non-GS pay plans, please use the approximate senior grade levels. If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select "n/a" if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

| a. New Hires to SES (PWTD) | Answer | N/A |
|------------------------------|--------|-----|
| b. New Hires to GS-15 (PWTD) | Answer | N/A |
| c. New Hires to GS-14 (PWTD) | Answer | N/A |
| d. New Hires to GS-13 (PWTD) | Answer | N/A |

Data to determine if triggers exist is insufficient.

5. Does your agency have a trigger involving PWD among the qualified internal applicants and/or selectees for promotions to supervisory positions? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for qualified internal applicants and the qualified

positions? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for qualified internal applicants and the qualified applicant pool for selectees.) If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select "n/a" if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

- a. Executives
 - i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD)

 Answer N/A
 - ii. Internal Selections (PWD)

 Answer N/A
- b. Managers
 - i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD) Answer N/A
 - ii. Internal Selections (PWD)

 Answer N/A
- c. Supervisors
 - i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD) Answer N/A
 - ii. Internal Selections (PWD)

 Answer N/A

NCPC did not have promotion opportunities to supervisory positions in FY20; therefore, data to determine if triggers exist is insufficient.

- 6. Does your agency have a trigger involving PWTD among the qualified internal applicants and/or selectees for promotions to supervisory positions? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for qualified internal applicants and the qualified applicant pool for selectees.) If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select "n/a" if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.
 - a. Executives
 - i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD)

 Answer N/A
 - ii. Internal Selections (PWTD)

 Answer N/A
 - b. Managers
 - i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD)

 Answer N/A
 - ii. Internal Selections (PWTD)

 Answer N/A

c. Supervisors

i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD)

Answer N/A

ii. Internal Selections (PWTD)

Answer N/A

NCPC did not have promotion opportunities to supervisory positions in FY20; therefore, data to determine if triggers exist is insufficient.

7. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWD among the selectees for new hires to supervisory positions? If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select "n/a" if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

a. New Hires for Executives (PWD)

Answer N/A

b. New Hires for Managers (PWD)

Answer N/A

c. New Hires for Supervisors (PWD)

Answer N/A

NCPC did not have new hire opportunities to supervisory positions in FY20; therefore, data to determine if triggers exist is insufficient.

8. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWTD among the selectees for new hires to supervisory positions? If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select "n/a" if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

a. New Hires for Executives (PWTD)

Answer N/A

b. New Hires for Managers (PWTD)

Answer N/A

c. New Hires for Supervisors (PWTD)

Answer N/A

NCPC did not have new hire opportunities to supervisory positions in FY20; therefore, data to determine if triggers exist is insufficient.

Section VI: Plan to Improve Retention of Persons with Disabilities

To be model employer for persons with disabilities, agencies must have policies and programs in place to retain employees with disabilities. In this section, agencies should: (1) analyze workforce separation data to identify barriers retaining employees with disabilities; (2) describe efforts to ensure accessibility of technology and facilities; and (3) provide information on the reasonable accommodation program and workplace assistance services.

A. VOLUNTARY AND INVOLUNTARY SEPARATIONS

1. In this reporting period, did the agency convert all eligible Schedule A employees with a disability into the competitive service after two years of satisfactory service (5 C.F.R. § 213.3102(u)(6)(i))? If "no", please explain why the agency did not convert all eligible Schedule A employees.

Answer N/A

2. Using the inclusion rate as the benchmark, did the percentage of PWD among voluntary and involuntary separations exceed that of persons without disabilities? If "yes", describe the trigger below.

a. Voluntary Separations (PWD)

Answer No

b.Involuntary Separations (PWD)

Answer No

| Seperations | Total # | Reportable Disabilities % | Without Reportable Disabilities % |
|---|---------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Permanent Workforce: Reduction in Force | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Permanent Workforce: Removal | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Permanent Workforce: Resignation | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Permanent Workforce: Retirement | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Permanent Workforce: Other Separations | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Permanent Workforce: Total Separations | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

3. Using the inclusion rate as the benchmark, did the percentage of PWTD among voluntary and involuntary separations exceed that of persons without targeted disabilities? If "yes", describe the trigger below.

a. Voluntary Separations (PWTD)

Answer No

b.Involuntary Separations (PWTD)

Answer No

| Seperations | Total # | Targeted Disabilities % | Without Targeted Disabilities % |
|---|---------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Permanent Workforce: Reduction in Force | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Permanent Workforce: Removal | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Permanent Workforce: Resignation | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Permanent Workforce: Retirement | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Permanent Workforce: Other Separations | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Permanent Workforce: Total Separations | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

4. If a trigger exists involving the separation rate of PWD and/or PWTD, please explain why they left the agency using exit interview results and other data sources.

In FY 20, the NCPC had one PWD who voluntarily separated from the agency. There is insufficient data to determine if a trigger exists.

B. ACCESSIBILITY OF TECHNOLOGY AND FACILITIES

Pursuant to 29 CFR §1614.203(d)(4), federal agencies are required to inform applicants and employees of their rights under Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (29 U.S.C. § 794(b), concerning the accessibility of agency technology, and the Architectural Barriers Act of 1968 (42 U.S.C. § 4151-4157), concerning the accessibility of agency facilities. In addition, agencies are required to inform individuals where to file complaints if other agencies are responsible for a violation.

1. Please provide the internet address on the agency's public website for its notice explaining employees' and applicants' rights under Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act, including a description of how to file a complaint.

https://www.ncpc.gov/policies/accessibility/

- 2. Please provide the internet address on the agency's public website for its notice explaining employees' and applicants' rights under the
 - Architectural Barriers Act, including a description of how to file a complaint.

https://www.ncpc.gov/policies/accessibility/

3. Describe any programs, policies, or practices that the agency has undertaken, or plans on undertaking over the next fiscal year, designed to improve accessibility of agency facilities and/or technology.

In compliance with EEO laws, regulations, and guidance, NCPC considers accessibility of agency facilities and technology when making procurement, facilities, and technology decisions.

C. REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION PROGRAM

Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(d)(3), agencies must adopt, post on their public website, and make available to all job applicants and employees, reasonable accommodation procedures.

1. Please provide the average time frame for processing initial requests for reasonable accommodations during the reporting period. (Please do not include previously approved requests with repetitive accommodations, such as interpreting services.)

There were no requests in FY20 to determine any average timeframe.

Describe the effectiveness of the policies, procedures, or practices to implement the agency's reasonable accommodation
program. Some examples of an effective program include timely processing requests, timely providing approved
accommodations, conducting training for managers and supervisors, and monitoring accommodation requests for trends.

The effectiveness of policies and procedures to implement NCPC's reasonable accommodation program is demonstrated by expeditious processing and approval of internal requests. NCPC also maintains accommodation request logs to monitor any trends.

D. PERSONAL ASSISTANCE SERVICES ALLOWING EMPLOYEES TO PARTICIPATE IN THE WORKPLACE

Pursuant to 29 CFR §1614.203(d)(5), federal agencies, as an aspect of affirmative action, are required to provide personal assistance services (PAS) to employees who need them because of a targeted disability, unless doing so would impose an undue hardship on the agency.

Describe the effectiveness of the policies, procedures, or practices to implement the PAS requirement. Some examples of an effective program include timely processing requests for PAS, timely providing approved services, conducting training for managers and supervisors, and monitoring PAS requests for trends.

NCPC did not obtain PAS requests in FY 20.

Section VII: EEO Complaint and Findings Data

A. EEO COMPLAINT DATA INVOLVING HARASSMENT

1. During the last fiscal year, did a higher percentage of PWD file a formal EEO complaint alleging harassment, as compared to the governmentwide average?

Answer N/A

2. During the last fiscal year, did any complaints alleging harassment based on disability status result in a finding of discrimination or a settlement agreement?

Answer N/A

3. If the agency had one or more findings of discrimination alleging harassment based on disability status during the last fiscal year, please describe the corrective measures taken by the agency.

NCPC did not have any findings of discrimination alleging harassment based on disability status during the last fiscal year.

B. EEO COMPLAINT DATA INVOLVING REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION

1. During the last fiscal year, did a higher percentage of PWD file a formal EEO complaint alleging failure to provide a reasonable

accommodation, as compared to the government-wide average?

Answer N/A

2. During the last fiscal year, did any complaints alleging failure to provide reasonable accommodation result in a finding of discrimination or a settlement agreement?

Answer N/A

3. If the agency had one or more findings of discrimination involving the failure to provide a reasonable accommodation during the last fiscal year, please describe the corrective measures taken by the agency.

N/A. NCPC did not receive a finding of discrimination alleging harassment based on disability status during the last fiscal year.

Section VIII: Identification and Removal of Barriers

Element D of MD-715 requires agencies to conduct a barrier analysis when a trigger suggests that a policy, procedure, or practice may be impeding the employment opportunities of a protected EEO group.

1. Has the agency identified any barriers (policies, procedures, and/or practices) that affect employment opportunities for PWD and/or PWTD?

Answer No

2. Has the agency established a plan to correct the barrier(s) involving PWD and/or PWTD?

Answer N/A

- 3. Identify each trigger and plan to remove the barrier(s), including the identified barrier(s), objective(s), responsible official(s), planned activities, and, where applicable, accomplishments
- 4. Please explain the factor(s) that prevented the agency from timely completing any of the planned activities.

There were plans for the EEO Director and HR Specialist to meet on a quarterly basis to review EEO program elements. There were two main factors that prevented these quarterly meetings from occurring - 1.) Due to the COVID-19 pandemic and for the safety of staff, the NCPC office was closed and staff transitioned to a full-time telework status in March 2020. The IT and Security staff played a critical role in transitioning the agency to full-time telework status. As a result, the IT Specialist, who is the EEO Director as a collateral duty, was unable to dedicate time and resources to the EEO program in Q3 and Q4 of FY20. 2.) Additionally, the EEO Director went on maternity leave towards the end of the fiscal year. The EEO Director was unable to attend a virtual refresher EEO Counselor training before her maternity leave. She is scheduled to participate in the online training course in July 2021.

5. For the planned activities that were completed, please describe the actual impact of those activities toward eliminating the barrier(s).

None to report.

6. If the planned activities did not correct the trigger(s) and/or barrier(s), please describe how the agency intends to improve the plan for the next fiscal year.

As a micro agency, we find EEO data to be incomplete or insufficient to accurately identify triggers and/or barriers. In FY 2021, NCPC will reach out to agencies similar in size to determine how they overcome challenges in achieving and maintaining a model

EEO program. In an effort to achieve regulatory goals in the recruitment, hiring, advancement, and retention of PWD and PWTD, the NCPC will seek specialized associations to advertise job announcements.