



Executive Director's Recommendation

Commission Meeting: June 6, 2024

PROJECT Comprehensive Plan Introduction Chapter Update	NCPC FILE NUMBER CP01I
SUBMITTED BY National Capital Planning Commission	NCPC MAP FILE NUMBER 00:00(00.00)45753
REVIEW AUTHORITY Preparation and Adoption of Federal Elements per 40 U.S.C. § 8721(a)	APPLICANT'S REQUEST Adoption of the updated Introduction Chapter of the Comprehensive Plan for the National Capital: Federal Elements
	PROPOSED ACTION Approve as requested
	ACTION ITEM TYPE Staff Presentation

PROJECT SUMMARY

Staff requests the Commission adopt the updated Introduction Chapter of the *Comprehensive Plan for the National Capital: Federal Elements* (Comprehensive Plan), effective 60 days after the publication of the Federal Register notice. On December 7, 2023, the Commission released the draft Introduction Chapter update for a 90-day public comment period that closed on March 12, 2024. NCPC hosted two public meetings to present the guiding principles and receive comments from federal agencies, local government agencies, interest groups, and individuals during the 90-day comment period. Since then, staff revised the chapter to incorporate public input, guidance from stakeholder agencies, as well as minor text changes for clarity purposes.

The Introduction Chapter outlines the planning framework and guiding principles for the Federal Elements. NCPC uses the policies in the Federal Elements to guide agency actions, including review of projects and long-range plans that affect federal buildings, installations, campuses, and master plans. The Commission last adopted the Introduction Chapter in 2016.

Following the public comment period, staff revised the Introduction Chapter based on public feedback. Comprehensive revisions are also proposed to the Submission Guidelines based on the revisions to the Introduction Chapter. The intent is to update aspects of submission requirements to better align with the equity objectives of the proposed fourth principle regarding equity. See NCPC File #8497 *Submission Guidelines Equity Updates* for more information. The Submission Guidelines updates are submitted under a separate approval request.

KEY INFORMATION

- The Comprehensive Plan's Introduction Chapter outlines the planning framework and guiding principles for the Federal Elements.
- The 2024 Introduction Chapter update will replace the 2016 Introduction Chapter. The 2024 Introduction Chapter update will go into effect 60 days after the publication of the Federal Register notice.
- The updated Introduction Chapter seeks to address critical planning issues, including federal workplace trends, incorporating recommendations from NCPC's Climate and Equity Action Plans, and guidance from the following Executive Orders (E.O.): *E.O. 13985: Advancing Racial Equity and Support for Underserved Communities through the Federal Government*, *E.O. 14091 Further Advancing Racial Equity and Support for Underserved Communities through the Federal Government*, and *E.O. 14008 Tackling the Climate Crisis at Home and Abroad*.
- The revisions includes changes and additions to four guiding principles: (1) Updating the first principle (*Accommodating Federal and National Capital Activities*), (2) restructuring the second principle (*Reinforce Resilient and Sustainable Development Planning Principles*), (3) updating the third principle (*Supporting Local and Regional Planning and Development Objectives*), and (4) the creation of a new equity principle (*Incorporate Planning Objectives that Promote Equitable Development and Opportunity for Underserved Communities*).
- The update includes a new guiding principle to address equity. The update also provides a historical context of how NCPC's policies have impacted local underserved communities.
- In December 2023, the Commission authorized the release of the draft Introduction Chapter update for a 90-day public comment period, which ended on March 12, 2024.
- NCPC received 56 comments, primarily focused on (1) document formatting (revisions to make the document more user-friendly); (2) recognizing that the nation's capital includes people who live and work here; (3) expanding the narrative around the history of land-use in the region; and (4) incorporating more information around transportation impacts and sustainability goals.
- As part of this update, NCPC's submission guidelines will be adopted to align with the equity objectives of the new fourth principle (NCPC File #8497 *Submission Guidelines Equity Updates*).

RECOMMENDATION

The Commission:

Approves the final adoption of the updates to the Introduction Chapter of the *Comprehensive Plan for the National Capital: Federal Elements*, pursuant to 40 U.S.C. § 8721.

Notes that staff will develop a process to evaluate the Comprehensive Plan and future Element updates with an equity lens. Specific deliverables include the development of an “Equity Crosswalk” tool to identify and analyze policies and actions throughout the Comprehensive Plan that either advance or restrict equity objectives.

Notes the updated Introduction Chapter will be effective 60 days after the notice of final rulemaking is published in the Federal Register, consistent with the effective date of NCPC’s revised Submission Guidelines.

Notes following Commission adoption, staff will incorporate any changes as directed by the Commission and will complete minor editorial updates to the text and graphics to ensure document accuracy and consistency.

PROJECT REVIEW TIMELINE

Previous actions	<p>2016 – Last adoption of the Introduction Chapter</p> <p>Spring/Fall 2021 – Commission adopted the agency’s Climate Action and Equity Action Plans, which identified amending the Introduction Chapter as Action Items.</p> <p>March 2, 2023 – NCPC Staff provide the Commission an overview of the update to the Comprehensive Plan’s Introduction Chapter, including findings from the first focus group meeting.</p> <p>December 7, 2023 – Commission authorized the release of the draft Introduction Chapter update for a 90-day public comment period.</p>
Remaining actions (anticipated)	Ensure Comprehensive Plan policy updates are consistent with adopted principles. (Winter 2024/Ongoing)

PROJECT ANALYSIS

Executive Summary

The updated Introduction Chapter consists of the Comprehensive Plan’s vision and guiding principles, roles and responsibilities, and the capital’s planning legacy. The Introduction Chapter updates consist of changes intended to address new critical planning issues of the 21st century within the National Capital Region, especially those outlined in NCPC’s Climate and Equity Action Plans. These planning issues include the urgency of equity within planning, climate change/resiliency, and changing federal workplace footprint. The revised Introduction Chapter is attached as Appendix A, and the following is an overview of the revised chapter and its component.

Analysis

The Introduction Chapter update incorporates additional critical planning challenges not currently addressed in the adopted 2016 Introduction Chapter. In particular, equity and climate resiliency considerations have been incorporated, consistent with NCPC's Equity and Climate Action Plans, as well as the American Planning Association's guidance on Equity.

NCPC's Equity Action Plan

NCPC's efforts respond to Executive Order 13985: Advancing Racial Equity and Support for Underserved Communities through the Federal Government (February 2021) and Executive Order 14091: Further Advancing Racial Equity and Support for Underserved Communities through the Federal Government (February 2023), which directs the Federal Government to pursue a comprehensive approach to advancing equity for all, including people of color and others who have been historically underserved, marginalized, and adversely affected by persistent poverty and inequality.

In response to these Executive Orders, NCPC prepared an Equity Action Plan in 2021 (amended in 2023) and identified five action items that would help the agency address and incorporate equity considerations. While the Federal Elements of the Comprehensive Plan is a policy document that serves as the blueprint for the region's long-term development, it does not currently include targeted policies and analysis on how the plan impacts underserved communities and could improve more equitable outcomes. As identified in Action Item #2 of the Equity Action Plan, NCPC will develop a comprehensive framework and guiding principles within the Introduction Chapter to address equity across the plan, guide subsequent updates, and inform agency review and planning initiatives.

NCPC's Climate Action Plan

The Introduction Chapter update is also consistent with Executive Order 14008: Tackling the Climate Crisis at Home and Abroad (February 2021) and Executive Order 14096: Revitalizing our Nation's Commitment to Environmental Justice For All (April 2023), which directs all federal agencies to consider measures to address and prevent disproportionate and adverse environmental and health impacts on communities, including the cumulative impacts of pollution and climate change. In response to these Executive Orders, NCPC prepared a Climate Action Plan in 2021 and identified five priority adaptation actions. As identified in one of the priority adaptation actions, NCPC will update the Federal Elements to incorporate climate adaptation strategies into guidance for decision making and planning and increase awareness of current climate change risks.

Public Comment Period

Staff held two public meetings with a total participation of 38 participants (35 virtually, 3 in person), receiving comments from 10 people/organizations including Committee of 100, Arlington County Community Planning Housing and Development, and GSA. All comments received during the public comment period and staff's response to comments are summarized in Appendix B. NCPC received 56 comments primarily focused on (1) document formatting (revisions to make the document more user-friendly); (2) recognizing that the nation's capital includes people who

live and work here; (3) expanding the narrative around the history of land-use in the region; and (4) incorporating more information around transportation impacts and sustainability goals.

Summary of Revisions to the Introduction Chapter

Five overarching objectives of the Introduction Chapter update guided staff's revisions. These objectives include:

- Engaging with stakeholders and underserved communities to identify and develop an equity framework.
- Updating statistics and data points to reflect current trends and planning practices.
- Incorporating a historical context and reflection of NCPC practices and the impact on underserved communities.
- Expanding upon existing smart growth principles to address sustainability, climate change, and resiliency.
- Establishing comprehensive framework to address equity.

Objective 1: Engaging with stakeholders and underserved communities to identify and develop an equity framework.

Key components of equity-based planning include conducting a historical analysis and including impacted individuals in the planning or policy-making process. NCPC staff took a series of steps to create a planning process and framework that incorporates equity. This framework acknowledges that the agency's policies and programs - both historic and contemporary that have had direct and systemic barriers on equity for underserved communities.

NCPC staff conducted preliminary background research to identify potential NCPC policies that contributed to inequitable community design throughout the NCR and identified practices and policies established or implemented by NCPC that advantaged or disadvantaged a group of people with respect to race, ethnicity, religion, income, geography, gender identity, sexual orientation, and disability.

Staff hosted several discussion groups with internal and external stakeholders including individuals representing organizations or underserved communities, community organizations with broad understanding of planning history and practices in the NCR, local planning jurisdictions, and local universities. During these meetings, staff discussed the history of NCPC and the purpose of the Comprehensive Plan, reviewed the process for revising the Introduction Chapter with an equity-based focus and reviewed the draft equity principle and corresponding key objectives. Participants were also invited to share feedback and comments on the information presented. Stakeholder discussion sessions occurred on the following dates:

- Local Community Organizations – January 20, 2023
- Local Planning Agencies – May 17, 2023
- Local Universities – May 17, 2023
- Committee of 100 – July 13, 2023

- Federal Agencies - November 7, 2023
- NCPC Equity Taskforce - Ongoing

Information from these discussion sessions was used to modify the proposed equity principle and key objectives. Staff continued to collaborate with these stakeholders during the 90-day public review period.

Following the end of the public comment period, staff engaged with interested parties on the major changes to the Introduction Chapter. Staff presented the Introduction Chapter and Equity Updates at the 2024 American Planning Association's Federal Planning Division Annual Training Workshop. Staff also met with the District's Office of Racial Equity, housed in the Mayor's office, and are scheduled to meet with the Anacostia Coordinating Council in July 2024 to discuss the updated chapter.

Objective 2: Updating statistics and data to reflect current planning trends & practices.

The existing Introduction Chapter was most recently amended in 2016, which provided limited updates to statistical information that supports the planning initiatives of the agency. Moreover, the 2016 update does not include information reflecting the devastating impacts on the local economy, population health, and social cohesion because of the Covid-19 pandemic and simultaneous social uprisings.

The revised Introduction Chapter includes updated data points relating to visitor and tourist information, regional workforce and workspace trends, and economic statistics, as well as newer planning initiatives and subsequent development successes that have occurred over the past decade. The updates to the Introduction Chapter provides updated statistics, and additional historical information to provide a more thorough history of the NCR in support of the agency's equity efforts.

The Introduction Chapter update also includes a new section, titled "Critical Planning Challenges" which describes several compelling challenges that federal planners and policymakers must address with urgency in the 21st Century. This section serves as a basis for updates to the core principles of the Comprehensive Plan as well as future planning initiatives within the agency.

These challenges include:

- Environmental Sustainability and Resiliency
- Social, Health, and Racial Equity
- Commemoration Diversity
- Urban Space and Security
- Changing Federal Footprint
- **Transportation and Mobility (added since draft release)**

Each section reflects upon the current conditions of these topics and identifies key actions that NCPC is currently implementing through the agency's existing work program.

A new critical planning challenge, addressing Transportation and Mobility Challenges was added in response to public feedback. Transportation challenges, particularly around commuting, remain among one of the top concerns for residents in the National Capital Region. Moreover, given the disparate commute times for employees in the region, the legacy of highway construction, its relationship with neighborhood clearance, as well as the lasting health and environmental impacts, it was important for staff to identify transportation as a critical planning challenge.

Objective 3: Incorporating a historical context and reflection of NCPC practices.

Today's Washington, DC is the result of a confluence of cultures, dating back nearly 4,000 years prior to its development as the Nation's capital. The 2016 Introduction Chapter, as adopted, largely excludes the influence of indigenous planning and colonial development practices that predate the 1791 L'Enfant Plan.

To reflect this history, two new paragraphs were added to the beginning of the "L'Enfant Plan Era" section. These new paragraphs briefly highlight the legacy of land dispossession, enslavement, and the history citing of the Nation's Capital along the Potomac River. These paragraphs provide additional context to the development of Washington, DC prior to the L'Enfant Plan and specifically identify the presence of indigenous nations in the National Capital Region, highlight the role of Benjamin Banneker, and identify the compromise of the Residence Act of 1790, relating to enslavement.

Since the agency's creation in 1924, NCPC has played a key role in shaping the NCR into the vibrant and culturally diverse economic region that it is today. While the projects that NCPC have been responsible for initiating or implementing over time have had positive impacts and have enhanced equity throughout the region, some of the agency's earlier work has led to inequities and presented barriers to equity.

A new section was created called "Confronting the Legacy: Examining the Impacts of NCPC's Past." This section summarizes three broad NCPC policies and programs and describes the equity implications of these policies. These programs are (1) Planning a segregated parks and recreation system in the 1940s that disproportionately allocated recreational spaces for residents based on race and prohibited non-white residents from accessing prominent public spaces; (2) displacement due to Urban Renewal, particularly highlighting the effects of urban renewal within the Southwest neighborhood that resulted in the displacement of 23,000 predominately African-American residents of this neighborhood; (3) the planning and construction of new freeways that displaced residents; and (4) the expansion of federal campuses and buildings into long established communities that may have restricted physical access to open space and existing amenities

In response to internal discussions that were held during the public comment period, a fourth legacy policy was incorporated relating to highway construction and the displacement of families as a result. The development of the freeway system drastically changed the city's social and demographic makeup. The construction of I-395/695 alone displaced at least 4,700 people in 1960 and destroyed at least 1,400 homes in the Southwest community. In response to the urban renewal and freeway construction programs in Southwest, Washington, DC, Elizabeth "Libby" Rowe, the first female Chair of the National Capital Park and Planning Commission, authorized the

development of a study “Social Impact of a Highway on an Urban Community.” The report the documented of the social implications and relocation requirements of the North Leg of the Inner Loop in 1963, in partnership with the District Office of Health and Welfare considering the impact of physical changes on residents and the need for comprehensive planning and support services to address their needs and concerns.

Objective 4: Expanding upon existing smart growth principles to address sustainability, climate change, and resiliency.

The adopted 2016 version of the Guiding Principle 2 states “*Reinforce smart growth and sustainable development planning principles.*” This principle seeks to encourage development with “smart growth” practices – advocating for compact mixed use and pedestrian oriented development near high-capacity transit routes.

The update to this principle realigns its purpose to more comprehensively reflect the urgency to plan federal buildings, lands, and campuses to address climate change and implement resilient and sustainable development practices. This new principle reflects both traditional smart growth planning principles that seek to curb urban sprawl and protect environmental resources, but to also plan and design federal projects to anticipate the scope, severity, pace, and unpredictability of climate change by carefully planning site design and buildings to be ecologically compatible and resilient.

The revised Guiding Principle #2 reads as follows:

Reinforce Resilient and Sustainable Development Planning Principles

Based on public feedback, the second key objective for this principle was revised to incorporate that cultural resources should be protected as part of resilient and sustainable planning. The second objective in Principle 2 was revised to read as follows:

Preserve open space, natural beauty, cultural resources, and critical environmental areas.

Objective 5: Establishing comprehensive framework to address equity.

Staff conducted in-depth research to better understand the historical context and impacts of planning policies and practices in the NCR. The findings of this research were useful in informing our understanding of equity in planning as well as the equity implications of NCPC policies and practices.

In acknowledging these historical inequities that have impacted underserved communities within the Introduction Chapter, staff used this information to develop a new equity-focused key principle to address this legacy in conjunction with our federal and local planning partners and create additional policies during future amendments to the *Federal Elements of the Comprehensive Plan*.

The new equity-focused “guiding principle” incorporates planning objectives that promote equitable development and opportunity for underserved communities. Similar to other “guiding principles”, the equity-focused “guiding principle” incorporates key objectives that will guide future equity policies and guidelines in future amendments to the Federal Elements. The corresponding key objectives highlight important components of equity and are organized in a

thematic framework. The new guiding principle as well as the key objectives will be used to provide the framework for changes to the Submission Guidelines toward the aim of applicants not only conducting meaningful community engagement but also submitting information about how their projects may have impacts on underserved communities.

The new equity-focused guiding principle (Guiding Principle #4) and the corresponding key objectives read as follows:

Promote Equitable Development and Opportunity for Underserved Communities

- **Physical Access:** Promote universal and equitable access for visitors to onsite public amenities, and employees to amenities in the surrounding community.
- **Economic Development:** Advance economic opportunity through economic development and investment in sites and workforces in communities with underserved populations.
- **Community Engagement:** Engage with underserved communities in a responsive, transparent, and inclusive manner, which allows communities to understand policy proposals and participate in bi-directional conversations with public officials.
- **Cultural Affirmation and Diversity:** Affirm the importance of local cultural identity and traditions and recognize the role that cultural recognition plays in supporting civic engagement and community enrichment.
- **Data Analysis:** Use qualitative and quantitative data to identify and track the legacy and contemporary impacts of policies, practices, and procedures relating to federal development that have adversely impacted underserved communities.
- **Sustainability, Resilience, and Health:** Improve human health and protect federal assets in underserved communities through investment in resilient planning practices that mitigate the impacts of climate change.

In response to public feedback, four new definitions were added to support clarifying the intentions of the Introduction Chapter framing and support in a consistent interpretation of the Federal Elements of the Comprehensive Plan. Newly defined terms include *Equitable Development*, *Sustainability*, *Resilience*, and *Adaptation*.

Following the adoption of the Introduction Chapter, staff will develop a process to evaluate the Comprehensive Plan and future Element updates with an equity lens. Specific deliverables include the development of an “Equity Crosswalk” tool to identify and analyze policies and actions throughout the Comprehensive Plan that either advance or restrict equity objectives.

Included with the Executive Director's Recommendation are three appendices:

- Appendix A – Updated Introduction Chapter
- Appendix B – Introduction Chapter Consolidated Comments, which identifies public comments received, staff analysis of the comment, and staff recommendation for incorporating the comment as a revision into the document.
- Appendix C – Updated Introduction Chapter Mark-Up, which identifies changes from the Introduction Chapter, as released in December 2023 to the Introduction Chapter, revised in 2024.

Recommendation Summary

The Executive Director recommends that the Commission approves the final adoption of the updates to the Introduction Chapter of the Comprehensive Plan for the National Capital: Federal Elements, pursuant to 40 U.S.C. § 8721 and notes that staff will develop a process to evaluate the Comprehensive Plan and future Element updates with an equity lens. Specific deliverables include the development of an “Equity Crosswalk” tool to identify and analyze policies and actions throughout the Comprehensive Plan that either advance or restrict equity objectives.

Staff notes that the proposed policies take effect 60-days after the notice of final rulemaking is published in the Federal Register, consistent with the effective date of NCPC’s revised Submission Guidelines and that following Commission adoption, staff will incorporate any changes as directed by the Commission and will complete minor editorial updates to the text and graphics to ensure document accuracy and consistency.

CONFORMANCE TO EXISTING PLANS, POLICIES AND RELATED GUIDANCE

Comprehensive Plan for the National Capital

The updates to the Federal Elements of the Comprehensive Plan are provided in accordance with the provisions of the preparation and adoption of Federal Elements of the Comprehensive Plan specified at 40 U.S.C. § 8721.

National Historic Preservation Act

This proposal does not sustain characteristics as a federal undertaking. The proposal of policy revision does not implement, contract, or take other actions that would preclude consideration of the full range of alternatives to avoid or minimize harm to federal historic properties. Consequently, the proposed action does not require review pursuant to the National Historic Preservation Act, Section 106 process.

National Environmental Policy Act

Staff reviewed the proposal in accordance with NCPC’s implementation of the National Environmental Policy Act and determined that the update to the Introduction Chapter can be categorically excluded from further environmental analysis and documentation. The action is determined by the staff to qualify as NCPC’s Categorical Exclusion: (4) Adoption of a Federal Element of the Comprehensive Plan or amendment thereto or broad-based policy or feasibility plans prepared and adopted by the Commission in response to the Comprehensive Plan.

CONSULTATION

The draft update of the Introduction Chapter has been coordinated with an extensive list of stakeholders throughout the NCR. Including:

- Federal Agency Stakeholders:
 - U.S. General Services Administration
 - U.S. Department of Defense
 - The Commission of Fine Arts
 - National Park Service
 - Smithsonian Institution
- Local Government Planning Departments:
 - Prince George's County
 - District of Columbia (Office of Planning and the Office of Racial Equity)
 - Prince William County
 - City of Fairfax
 - Fairfax County
 - Montgomery County
 - Arlington County
 - Loudoun County
 - City of Manassas
 - City of Manassas Park
 - City of Alexandria
- University Representatives
 - Howard University
 - University of Maryland – College Park
 - University of the District of Columbia
 - Georgetown University
 - Virginia Tech
 - Albany State University
- Community Stakeholder Groups
 - Greater Washington Community Foundation
 - Friends of Anacostia Park
 - Piscataway Conoy Tribe
 - Trust for Public Land
 - EmpowerDC
 - Alexandria Black History Museum
 - Committee of 100
- Professional Organizations
 - American Planning Association – Federal Planning Division

ONLINE REFERENCE

The documents will be available online, following Commission action:
<https://www.ncpc.gov/initiatives/intro>.

Appendices:

Appendix A – Updated Introduction Chapter

Appendix B – Consolidated Comments

Appendix C – Updated Introduction Chapter Mark-Up

Prepared by Brittney Drakeford
May /31/2024

Appendix A

Updated Introduction Chapter of the
Comprehensive Plan for the National Capital:
Federal Elements



Introduction to the Comprehensive Plan for the National Capital: Federal Elements

2024



The Comprehensive Plan for the National Capital | Federal Elements

Message from the Chair

As we celebrate the National Capital Planning Commission’s centennial in 2024, we are reminded of how much our capital has evolved. The nation’s capital is a symbol of our democracy, and our values are represented in physical form through civic buildings, monuments and memorials, expansive public spaces, and thriving communities. NCPC’s centennial is a time to reflect on how the lessons of the past can inform today’s planning for a resilient and equitable region, and how the federal government can lead by example.

As NCPC’s primary policy document, the Comprehensive Plan for the National Capital: Federal Elements plays an important role in guiding the region’s future development while preserving its history, culture, and natural beauty. It helps us honor our past while moving forward sustainably. Building upon a rich legacy of planning, the Commission responds to changing needs and opportunities, ensuring the Comprehensive Plan remains relevant and effective.

I am pleased that the Comprehensive Plan’s Federal Elements are tackling critical planning challenges like environmental sustainability, equity, and the changing federal footprint for workplaces. This guidance helps today’s leaders ensure a more resilient, vibrant capital. It sets a standard for other communities worldwide, showing how comprehensive planning can make a place thrive for future generations.

Teri Hawks Goodman
Chair

Introduction Chapter

Contents

Introduction	03
NCPC’s Role and Responsibility	04
Federal Impact in the Region	05
The Planning Legacy	07
Confronting the Legacy: Examining the Impacts of NCPC’s Past Planning Efforts	12
Critical Planning Challenges	16
The Planning Framework: Vision and Guiding Principles	21
The Planning Program: Federal Elements	26
Definitions	27
Endnotes	28

Introduction to the Comprehensive Plan | Federal Elements

National capitals have distinct planning and development needs that distinguish them from other cities. While they share many traits with other metropolitan areas, by virtue of their national constituency they have unique qualities and requirements that must be addressed in their planning. The Comprehensive Plan for the National Capital (Comprehensive Plan) recognizes that the nation’s capital is more than a concentration of federal employees and facilities. Washington, DC is the symbolic heart of the United States. It provides a sense of permanence and centrality that extends well beyond the National Capital Region (NCR) and the United States’ national borders. It represents national power and promotes the country’s history, traditions, and culture. Through its architecture and physical design, Washington symbolizes national ideals, values, and aspirations. Washington is also a bustling local city that nearly 700,000 people call home and work to shape the city’s present and future.¹

The Comprehensive Plan is comprised of two parts—the Federal Elements and the District Elements. The National Capital Planning Commission (NCPC), a federal agency, prepares the Federal Elements. The Federal Elements are a statement of principles, goals, and planning policies for the growth and development of the national capital during the next 20 years. The NCPC prepared document addresses matters related to federal properties and interests in the NCR. The Comprehensive Plan’s eight Federal Elements include Urban Design, Federal Workplace, Foreign Missions & International Organizations, Transportation, Parks & Open Space, Environment, Historic Preservation, and Visitors & Commemoration.

The District of Columbia Office of Planning (DCOP), on behalf of the Mayor, prepares the District Elements which are reviewed and adopted by the Council of the District of Columbia. The District Elements consist of three Context Elements, twelve Citywide Elements, and ten Area Elements. NCPC reviews the District Elements to ensure they do not negatively impact the federal government’s interests or functions in Washington.



NCPC commemorated its 100th anniversary in 2024. The agency’s centennial offered a unique opportunity to reflect on the history and evolution of planning in Washington, DC and the surrounding region, acknowledge barriers and inequities created by past planning practices, and consider lessons learned to inform the agency’s work today and into the future. To find out more visit: <https://centennial.ncpc.gov/>



NCPC developed a “Centennial Exhibit” for display at DC libraries as part of the 100th celebration year, 2024.

NCPC’s Role and Responsibility

The region’s significant federal presence requires extensive planning and coordination. As the central planning agency for the federal government in the National Capital Region (NCR), NCPC is charged with planning for the appropriate and orderly development of the region and the conservation of its important natural and historical features. The Commission coordinates all federal planning activities in the NCR and has several planning functions.



View of the U.S. Capitol building from South Capitol Street, SE.

Commission responsibilities in the NCR include:

- Preparing long-range plans and special studies to ensure the effective functioning of the federal government.
- Preparing the *Comprehensive Plan for the National Capital* jointly with the District of Columbia government.
- Approving federal master plans and construction proposals as well as some District of Columbia government buildings.
- Reviewing proposed District of Columbia master plans, project plans, and capital improvement programs, as well as changes in zoning regulations.
- Reviewing plans for federal buildings and installations.
- Reviewing comprehensive plans, area plans, and capital improvement programs proposed by state, regional, and local agencies for their potential impact on the federal establishment.
- Preparing the *Federal Capital Improvements Program* and monitoring and evaluating federal capital investment projects proposed by federal agencies.

Section 4(a) of the National Capital Planning Act of 1952 requires that NCPC prepare and adopt a “comprehensive, consistent, and coordinated plan for the National Capital.”² The Comprehensive Plan’s Federal Elements are the blueprint for the long-term development of the nation’s capital and is the decision-making framework for Commission actions on plans, proposals, and policies submitted for its review. The Commission’s comprehensive planning function involves preparing and adopting the Federal Elements, as well as reviewing the District Elements for their impact on the federal interest as described in the Federal Elements.

The Comprehensive Plan: Shared Stewardship

Collectively, federal, regional, and local planning plays an important role in the character, development and growth, and livability of Washington. A vibrant Washington, DC should accommodate both the needs of our national government as well as enhance the lives of the city’s residents, workers, and visitors. It should embody an urban form and character that builds upon a rich history, reflects the diversity of people, and embodies the enduring values of the American republic. Furthermore, it creates a development trajectory in which residents participate in day-to-day life, in a manner that leverages the unique assets and identity of the National Capital Region.

The Comprehensive Plan for the National Capital is comprised of two parts: the Federal Elements and the District Elements. The Comprehensive Plan’s Federal Elements are developed by NCPC and focus on the entire NCR. The District Elements are prepared by the District of Columbia’s Office of Planning. Combined, these elements constitute the District’s mandated planning documents, and guide development in Washington to balance federal and local interests with a collective responsibility for the natural, cultural, economic, equity, and social environments. Both the Federal and District Elements of the Comprehensive Plan have local, regional, and national significance and advance Washington’s great design and planning heritage.

The National Capital Planning Commission and the District of Columbia Office of Planning work together to enhance Washington as a great national capital and plan for its equitable development through inspiring civic architecture, rich landscapes, distinct neighborhoods, vibrant public spaces, environmental stewardship, and thoughtful land-use management.

Federal Impact in the Region

The National Capital Region is a diverse region home to more than 5 million people.³

The NCR encompasses the District of Columbia, Montgomery, and Prince George’s Counties in Maryland, as well as Arlington, Fairfax, Loudoun, and Prince William Counties in Virginia, along with all cities within the geographical bounds of this area.

Anchored by the iconic nation’s capital, Washington, DC, and bridging two states—Maryland and Virginia—this region stands as one of the most educated and affluent metropolitan areas in the United States. With over 25 universities contributing to its intellectual landscape, the NCR boasts the distinction of being one of the highest-educated metropolitan area in the nation. The region is also one of the most diverse, with nearly 175 different languages being spoken. The median household income in the NCR has increased by 23 percent since 2016, further cementing its status as one of the highest-income metropolitan areas in the country and dynamic hub of prosperity and opportunity.^{4,5} The federal government supports the economic and cultural vibrancy of the region.

The National Capital Region draws millions of visitors to its national memorials, museums, and other destinations.

The federal government exerts a powerful influence on the region’s image, appearance, and livability. Americans have special aspirations for Washington, DC and the surrounding region because it is the nation’s capital and symbolic heart of the country. They expect their seat of government to set the national standard for beautiful and inspiring civic architecture and landscapes, efficient transportation, environmental stewardship, and land-use management that respects Washington’s great urban design heritage. Since the establishment of the city in the late 18th century, the federal government has played an active role in its planning and development to ensure that the nation’s capital meets these expectations. In many cases federal laws, regulations, policies, and funding decisions direct activities in the region.

Existing federal laws and policies recognize and give priority to Washington, DC as the established seat of the national government.

There are more than 230 memorials and museums in the city and surrounding environs. In 2022, Washington attracted approximately 20 million domestic visitors⁶ and 1.3 million international visitors, generating about \$8.1 billion for the local economy.⁷ The tourism sector is strengthened by the large number of federal visitor attractions in the area. Heritage tourists, who constitute the leading growth sector in national tourism, are drawn by cultural resources such as memorials, museums, and historic sites. The region continues to be enriched through the creation of new national memorials and museums.

Washington, DC and the NCR are also one of the world’s most important diplomatic centers. In 2013, there were 322 chanceries (chancery and chancery annexes), 78 ambassador residences, and 46 missions to the Organization of American States located within Washington, DC.⁸ In addition to their role in promoting peace and stability among nations, foreign missions also have a positive economic impact in the region due to their ability to attract visitors and generate country-to-country business opportunities. The diplomatic and international community continues to be a source of economic growth in Washington as it provides employment and attracts international culture and commerce.

The federal government is the single largest employer in the National Capital Region.

The federal government continues to be the single largest employer in the region, even though the federal share of total regional employment has declined since 1990. In 2013, approximately 12.3 percent of the total regional workforce was federal. In 2022, approximately 436,000 federal employees worked in the NCR, in a region of four million workers.⁹ Of the total federal workforce, approximately 47 percent worked in Washington, DC; 31 percent in Virginia; and 22 percent in Maryland.¹⁰



In 2023, more than 3 million people visited the Martin Luther King, Jr. Memorial.

The federal government spends billions on procurement and contracting activities in the National Capital Region.

While the size of the federal workforce has decreased since the 1990's, federal procurement and private-sector contracting have increased. Regional federal procurement spending grew from approximately \$32.3 billion in 2001 to more than \$80 billion in 2010.^{11,12} Most of the growth was due to large procurements for homeland security and defense. In Fiscal Year 2017, the federal government accounted for approximately 30 percent of the Washington region's economy, which included \$78 billion for federal procurement.¹³ Federal procurement spending saw an increase in 2020 and 2021 due to pandemic relief aid packages. Between 2019 and 2023 the average federal capital investment within the NCR was \$846 million.¹⁴ However, the recent fiscal outlook suggests increased budget constraints that are pushing agencies to achieve their missions with greater efficiencies, limited budgets, and reduced spending on federal contracts.



View of Pennsylvania Avenue cycle track towards the U.S. Capitol.

The federal government leases or owns a significant amount of space in the region.

The federal government is the single largest owner and occupant of real property in the region. The U.S. General Services Administration (GSA) owns, manages, constructs, and leases a total of approximately 95.6 million rentable square feet of space in the NCR.¹⁵ There are approximately 500 leased buildings and 190 federally owned buildings, many of which are historic headquarters.¹⁶ In addition to GSA, the U.S. Department of Defense controls more than 71 million square feet in more than 5,380 buildings in the NCR.¹⁷

The federal government owns and maintains vast holdings of open space in the region.

Parks and open space are important resources for residents, visitors, and workers. These federal parks and open spaces are significant settings for important monuments, grand public promenades, major federal buildings, quiet gatherings, and

other events. Due to the environmental value and scenic beauty provided by natural and cultural landscape resources, the federal government acquires and protects hundreds of acres of natural areas. Within the NCR, the National Park Service administers approximately 27 percent of the parks and open space.¹⁸ These include historic sites, natural and cultural landscapes, public plazas, urban forests, and conservation areas at places such as Piscataway Park, Prince William Forest Park, Great Falls Park, the Wolf Trap National Park for the Performing Arts, the L'Enfant Plan's formal squares and circles, the National Mall, Manassas Battlefield, and the Chesapeake & Ohio Canal.



View from Anacostia Park. Source: National Park Service, Marcey Frutchey.

The Planning Legacy

L’Enfant Plan Era

Today’s Washington, DC is the result of a confluence of cultures, dating back nearly 4,000 years before its development as the nation’s capital. The lands now comprising Washington were first inhabited by Native American chiefdoms, primarily the Piscataway, Anacostank, Pamunkey, Mattapanient, Nangemeick, and Tauxehent.¹⁹ European exploration of the area began in the early 17th century when English explorer John Smith navigated the Potomac River and mapped the surrounding terrain. While Native people and European settlers supported each other economically, new diseases brought by European immigrants and land conflicts decimated the indigenous population. In 1632, King Charles I of England granted Lord Baltimore control over Maryland, which encompassed part of the future District of Columbia territory, while the future state of Virginia would claim the opposite bank of the Potomac. By



The L’Enfant Plan of 1791, planned for two “avenues” of public land, one extending from the President’s House, the other extending from the Capitol.



The port at Georgetown Waterfront in 1865. Source: Friends of Georgetown Waterfront Park.

1751, Irish and Scottish merchants transformed a small trading outpost into Georgetown, a thriving commercial activity center for the Maryland colony.²⁰

After the American Revolution, the Continental Congress searched for a central location for the new country’s federal operations. Through a compromise to protect Southern states’ interest in the institution of slavery and pay outstanding war debts for Northern states, the Constitution authorized the new federal government to establish a federal district as the seat of government in 1787.²¹ In the Residence Act of 1790,²² the government called for the district to be sited within a 75-mile stretch of the Potomac River, and authorized President Washington to choose the precise location.²³ He chose an area encompassing the upper reaches of the navigable waterway, embracing the mouth of the “Eastern Branch” (now the Anacostia River), as well as the port cities of Georgetown (Maryland) and Alexandria (Virginia).²⁴

The next task was to site and construct government buildings within this district. President Washington accepted the proposal of Pierre L’Enfant, an engineer who previously worked with the Continental Army and federal government, to design the capital with a broad vision, providing the framework for a complete large-scale city that would meet the long-term needs of a growing nation.²⁵ Issues developed as L’Enfant had multiple


disagreements with the city commissioners and, in extreme action, relocated the residence of Daniel Carroll, a prominent Washington resident, to clear space for an avenue.²⁶ At the urging of Thomas Jefferson, L’Enfant resigned to prevent his dismissal from the project. After L’Enfant’s resignation, brothers Andrew Ellicott and Benjamin Ellicott hired Benjamin Banneker, a free Black man, to support finishing the surveying work for the new Federal City.²⁷

L’Enfant’s city plan, though occupying only a portion of the federal district, was extraordinarily ambitious. It included sites for major government buildings; memorials and other civic art; barracks and arsenals; cultural facilities; institutions such as hospitals and city markets; and the urban fabric to support a residential and commercial city. The streets and avenues were broad and park-like: half their right-of-way was intended for walkways with double rows of trees. The L’Enfant Plan was overlaid with an abundant network of open space, ranging from monumental to local in scale, incorporating the area’s rivers and topography, and resulting in the varied yet cohesive form that still characterizes the nation’s capital.²⁸


United States National Mammal:

The American Bison

For thousands of years, Native Americans relied heavily on bison for their survival and well-being, using every part of the bison for food, clothing, shelter, tools, jewelry, and ceremonies. The decimation of millions of bison in the 1800s was pivotal in the tragic devastation of Indian people and society.³¹ The American bison (often referred to as buffalo) did exist in the present-day National Capital Region.³² It is estimated that the majority of the American bison population in the region was found in Virginia. William T. Hornaday’s Map illustrating the extermination of the American bison, does not show any west of the Allegheny Mountains prior to 1730. Extirpation of bison began in east Virginia tidelands in 1730, with the last bison in the state being killed in 1797.³³ European, settlers, however, attempted to domesticate the American Bison, which brought about new cattle diseases that greatly decimated the American Bison population in the region. President George Washington evening breeding American Bison at his home in Mount Vernon.³⁴



Excerpt of William T. Hornaday’s 1887 map depicting the extermination of the American Bison. Source: Library of Congress.



McMillan Commission Era

The McMillan Commission was concerned with reviving, refining, and extending the L'Enfant Plan to preserve and enhance the national capital’s character. The McMillan Plan of 1902 addressed two main issues: building a public park system and designating sites for groupings of public buildings.²⁹

The McMillan Plan was developed by the McMillan Commission, formally known as the Senate Park Commission. The McMillan Commission was established in 1901 due to concerns about the urban development and planning of Washington. Led by Senator James McMillan, the commission aimed to address

the chaotic growth and haphazard layout of the nation’s capital. The initiative was prompted by the desire to create a more cohesive and aesthetically pleasing cityscape, reflecting the grandeur befitting the nation’s capital. The commission’s landmark report, published in 1902, proposed a comprehensive redesign of Washington, DC, which included what we now know as the National Mall. The plan was designed to support the implementation of the City Beautiful movement principles, and the revitalization of neglected areas.³⁰ The McMillan Plan fundamentally transformed the city, shaping its iconic landmarks and enduring urban layout for generations to come.

By connecting the existing parkland and extending the capital's park system into the outlying areas of Washington, Maryland, and Virginia, the McMillan Plan established a unified character for regional open space. Scenic drives and parkways would trace the shorelines of the area's rivers and streams. These parkways would rise through the valleys and along steep hillsides to connect the larger parks and unite the old Civil War forts into a great circle encompassing L'Enfant's axial organization.³⁵ The Fort Circle Park System, as it was conceived, was to be second in importance only to the National Mall and the river designs.

The McMillan Plan grouped public buildings in formal landscaped settings, resulting in a highly concentrated monumental core. The plan reinforced a monumental National Mall composed of prominent features and public buildings. Many important elements of the plan were accomplished over the next quarter century: building the Lincoln Memorial; redesigning the landscape of the U.S. Capitol and White House; removing the railroad tracks from the Mall; constructing Union Station; building the Rock Creek and Potomac Parkway; and landscaping East and West Potomac Parks.

Comprehensive Planning in the National Capital Region in the 20th Century

The development of planning in the NCR parallels the evolution of the profession throughout the nation, but with unique circumstances due to the presence of the national capital.

The McMillan Plan of 1902 provided a strong framework for many projects, both in the core and extending into the region. The plan formalized the National Mall's design, established key national parks, and created federal precincts such as the Federal Triangle. Within a few years, the need for a regulatory body became apparent. In 1910, the federal government created the U.S. Commission of Fine Arts, whose duties included "advise(ing) upon the location of statues, fountains, and monuments in the public squares, streets, and parks in the District of Columbia."³⁶ It took on the role of protecting and promoting the McMillan Plan, and two of its initial members had been part of the McMillan Commission. In 1910, Congress passed the Height of Buildings Act to limit building heights in Washington, DC. The

U.S. Commission of Fine Arts' duties soon expanded to include design review of all public buildings and enforced the height limitations in Washington. The Height of Buildings Act has shaped Washington's horizontal skyline, views, and street-level character and is a valued urban design principle and important part of planning in the nation's capital.³⁷

In the 1910s and 1920s, the planning field became a more established component of modern urban management. Federal legislation in 1924 created the National Capital Park Commission to develop a comprehensive plan for the park, parkway, and playground systems of Washington. In 1926 its duties were expanded to include consideration of all elements of city and regional planning, such as land use; major thoroughfares; systems of parks, parkways, and recreation; mass transportation; and community facilities. This federal agency was renamed the National Capital Park and Planning Commission (NCPPC) in 1926. The agency was responsible for all planning matters within the District of Columbia with limited planning responsibilities extending into the region. Planning bodies at the county and state level were also created during this period, including the Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission (M-NCPPC) in 1927, established by the state with authority in both Montgomery and Prince George's Counties.



Postcard of Pennsylvania Avenue in Washington, D.C. looking east, 1905. Source: Library of Congress.



1920s



1950s



1960s




1999

Iterations of the National Capital Planning Commission and its predecessor agencies over its 100-year history.

These federal and state agencies worked together on planning initiatives throughout the following decades. Beginning in 1930, the Capper-Cramton Act authorized NCPPC to acquire land for a regional park and parkway system, including coordinated acquisition of stream valley parks in coordination with Maryland and Virginia planning authorities.³⁸

NCPPC produced the 1950 Comprehensive Plan, primarily covering Washington, DC but also addressing regional issues. Among other goals, the 1950 plan focused on maintaining and restoring livability by clearing "slum areas" and eliminating land overcrowding; and reducing congestion throughout the city by reducing commuter distances, making public transportation more convenient, and creating a system of collector and distributor roads to redistribute traffic within the central area. The 1950 Plan helped establish the framework for the city's ongoing urban renewal program and the future highway construction proposals. In 1952, the federal agency was renamed the National Capital Planning Commission. In 1959, NCPC and the National Capital Regional Planning Council prepared a regional transportation plan that recommended more than 300 miles of new roads.

During the 1950s, NCPC studies demonstrated the need for a regional mass transit system, leading to the federal authorization of the Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority in 1965. In 1961, NCPC produced the influential A Plan for the Year 2000, which proposed a model for long-term regional growth.³⁹ M-NCPPC then incorporated and expanded on this recommended model in its comprehensive plan, titled On Wedges and Corridors. The National Capital Regional Planning Council, a federal agency that operated between 1952 and 1966, issued a Regional Development Guide in 1966.⁴⁰



A diagram from On Wedges and Corridors illustrating regional growth and agriculture out of the downtown core.

Leading up to the Bicentennial of the United States in 1976, there was concern among federal and local officials about the ongoing deterioration along Pennsylvania Avenue's north side.⁴¹ Congress established the Pennsylvania Avenue Development Corporation (PADC) in 1972, of which NCPC was a major stakeholder. The PADC oversaw the development and implementation of the 1974 Pennsylvania Avenue Plan, the basis for the Avenue's redevelopment for more than 40 years that created the Avenue's design and character that we know today. The PADC was also responsible for projects which improved the public areas and ambience of Pennsylvania Avenue, as well as assembling land for housing, office buildings, retail uses, and

community art spaces. The latter activity involved partnerships with the private sector to develop projects compatible with the plan.



During this period, pressure was building for home rule in Washington including reconsideration of the appropriateness of NCPC's role as Washington's local planning agency. The federal Home Rule Act of 1973 designated the District of Columbia's elected mayor as the planner for the District government, a power that is exercised through the DC Office of Planning.⁴² NCPC's role was re-defined to focus primarily on federal property in Washington and the region. A new comprehensive planning effort was undertaken, leading to the publication of the Comprehensive Plan for the National Capital during the mid-1980s. This plan, a joint effort of NCPC and the District of Columbia government, contained Federal Elements that addressed federal concerns throughout the region, and District Elements that addressed matters of local concern. The Federal Elements also work in conjunction with comprehensive plans adopted by the various counties and cities in the region. This shared responsibility for the Comprehensive Plan remains the model for planning in the NCR.



Metrorail construction along Connecticut Avenue, NW, 1973. Source: U.S. National Archives and Records Administration.



WMATA's Metrorail proposal, 1967. Dashed lines show proposed future extensions. Source: Architect of the Capitol.



Map from the Extending the Legacy Plan, which promotes extending federal offices, museums and memorials to the city's four quadrants.

Comprehensive Planning in the National Capital Region in the 21st Century

In 1997, the NCPC released its long-term vision for the development of the monumental core. *Extending the Legacy: Planning America's Capital for the 21st Century* was developed in response to the projected long-term demands on the nation's capital and the threat of overbuilding in the monumental core.⁴³

By recentring the monumental core on the U.S. Capitol, the Legacy Plan created opportunities for new monuments, museums, and federal offices in all city quadrants. It called for mixed-use development, expanding the reach of public transit, and eliminating obsolete freeways, bridges, and railroad tracks that fragment the city. It reclaimed Washington's historic waterfront for public enjoyment and added parks, plazas, and other urban amenities. The Commission characterized the Legacy Plan as a long-range vision, and many of the proposals outlined in the plan have come to fruition, including the redevelopment of South Capitol Street, The Yards development, The Wharf development, and two key capital improvements projects: the DC Circulator and the new Frederick Douglass Bridge.

Principal Legacy Plan themes:

- Build on the historic L'Enfant and McMillan Plans, which are the foundation of modern Washington.
- Unify the city and the monumental core, with the U.S. Capitol at the center.
- Use new memorials and other public buildings to enhance economic development.
- Integrate the Potomac and Anacostia Rivers into the city's public life and protect the Mall, East and West Potomac Parks, and adjacent historic buildings from future development that would result in a loss of open space, natural areas, and historic resources.
- Develop a comprehensive, flexible, and convenient transportation system that eliminates barriers and improves movement within the city.

In 2009, the Commission released the *Monumental Core Framework Plan: Connecting New Destinations with the National Mall*. The Framework Plan provided more in-depth analysis and tools to advance the Legacy Plan's goals to

relieve development pressure on the National Mall; better integrate federal development with city life; and support a diversifying local economy, growing population, and expanding downtown. It sought to remove or minimize infrastructure barriers and address the unintended consequences of some past development decisions. The Framework Plan responded to executive and legislative policies to use federal land, facilities, and resources more efficiently and sustainably. The Framework Plan led to precinct and corridor level planning and design that helped move the Legacy Plan and the Framework Plan's visions toward implementation.

A key planning document that was completed because of the Legacy Plan is the Memorials and Museums Master Plan (2M Plan). Approved by the Commission in December 2001, the 2M Plan identified 100 potential locations for memorials and museums and provided general guidelines for their development (four were later removed from consideration). Current NCPC projects that will help achieve Legacy's vision include the SW Ecodistrict, the Monumental Core Streetscape Guide and Construction Manual, Pennsylvania Avenue between the White House and U.S. Capitol, Independence Avenue between 3rd and 15th Streets, and connecting the Kennedy Center to the National Mall and President's Park.

Confronting the Legacy: Examining the Impacts of NCPC's Past Planning Efforts


As NCPC commemorates its Centennial in 2024, it is critical for the agency to conduct an introspective analysis of the agency's past policies and programs. This is being done to better understand the ways in which the agency has shaped the physical design of the National Capital Region, as well as the social and economic opportunities for the people who live here. Moreover, this analysis allows for the examination of NCPC's impact on the history and evolution of planning, acknowledgment of inequities created by past planning practices, and consideration of lessons learned to inform planning today and into the future. While many of NCPC policies, projects, and programs explored in this chapter had positive impacts and enhanced the quality of life throughout the region, other policies, practices, and programs presented barriers to equity.

Planning for a Segregated Parks and Recreation System

Through NCPC's predecessor, the National Capital Park and Planning Commission, the agency was responsible for purchasing land for the development of parks and playgrounds for the District of Columbia. Through this process, the agency purchased and designated parks and playgrounds explicitly for "whites" or "colored." This policy mandated racially segregated parks and public spaces on select federal properties through the agency's implementation of the recreation plan. As a result of this policy, there was not only state sanctioned segregation—preventing the interaction of races in public spaces; but the policy also disproportionately allocated recreational spaces for residents depending on race and prohibited non-White residents from accessing prominent public spaces.

For instance, in the 1945 Summary Report Recreation and School Study for the Old City and Adjacent Areas in Washington, DC it was determined that there were 107 usable acres of recreation and playground spaces designated for White residents and 70 usable acres of recreation and playground spaces designated for non-White residents. Maps from this

report also illustrate that many prominent public spaces located near the National Mall, such as The Ellipse, were identified as "Whites-Only" parks. The policy of mapping and planning for segregated recreation centers continued until 1949 when the Commission voted to eliminate all racial designations from the official Washington, DC recreation system map.



1950 Park—Parkway, and Playground System Plan for the District of Columbia.

Updating the Introduction Chapter: Our Process

As part of the Introduction Chapter update, NCPC developed a framework that acknowledges that the agency's policies and programs - both historic and contemporary - have presented barriers on equity for underserved communities. This process included:

- Conducting a historical analysis and background research to identify potential policies that contributed to community design.
- Identifying legacy practices and policies established or implemented by NCPC that were designed to advantage or disadvantage a group of people with respect to race, ethnicity, religion, income, geography, gender identity, sexual orientation, and disability and analyzed the contemporary impacts of unjust practices and policies.
- Clarifying NCPC's current role in addressing these contemporary impacts of legacy policies.
- Developing key considerations, principles, and future potential agency actions that support advancing equity.
- Meeting with local, regional, and federal stakeholders to explore the principles and discuss if they accurately respond to the agency's impact on socially disadvantaged communities.

Using this framework, NCPC was able to use key components of equity and sustainability planning, such as historical analyses and engaging impacted individuals in the planning process to promote equitable development and opportunity for historically underserved communities.


Displacing Communities through Urban Renewal Programs

At the turn of the 20th century, American cities were dealing with two major urban issues—the rapid industrialization of work and rapid urbanization. During this period, millions of Americans fled their rural communities and European immigrants moved to search for economic and social prosperity in American urban centers.

In Washington, the city’s population more than doubled during this timeframe, increasing from 230,000 residents in the 1890s to nearly 490,000 residents by the end of the 1920s. Housing construction could not meet the demand of newcomers. At the time, local developers often exploited this urgent demand for housing for low-income workers by building settlements for low-income workers in alleyways.⁴⁴ The drastic rise in the city’s population, coupled with an insufficient housing supply, led to unsafe and unsanitary housing conditions, and overcrowding in many District neighborhoods.

In the years during and after World War II, the African American population in cities increased as Black Southerners fled racial violence in their hometowns and searched for greater economic opportunity in Northern cities. Simultaneously, White residents and retail began to leave cities for the suburbs due to federal and local policies that incentivized new community development outside the city center. Local governments attempted to use redevelopment to retain residents, increase tax bases, and prevent the perceived deterioration of downtowns and neighborhoods.

In 1945, Congress adopted the District of Columbia Redevelopment Act, which launched the process of urban renewal. The act allowed for the use of eminent domain to take private property for private redevelopment; and established the DC Redevelopment Land Agency to assemble land and prepare it for developers. The District of Columbia Redevelopment Act empowered the National Capital Park and Planning Commission (predecessor agency to NCPC), as the planning agency for all of Washington, to complete this task. Between 1945 and 1972, NCPC prepared, adopted, and certified 12 plans for implementation for the removal and rehabilitation of blighted, decayed, and deteriorating areas of the city. One of the most



Map of DC’s Urban Renewal Program Projects in 1960.

well-known incidences of urban renewal in Washington is that of the Southwest community, historically a predominately African American neighborhood within the city tracing back to the period of American enslavement.^{45,46}

In the 1950 Comprehensive Plan Washington: Present and Future, NCPPC identified the Southwest neighborhood “as a Principal Problem Area with over 50 percent of housing [that] needed repair or lacked private baths.”⁴⁷ The neighborhood was particularly identified to serve as a pilot case for urban renewal due to its proximity to federal government facilities and the prominent views it held to the National Mall, United States Capitol and other symbolic spaces.

As a project, the approved urban renewal plan proposed the demolition of existing housing deemed obsolete or blighted, and incorporated a renewed waterfront, a federal employment center, modern shopping center, public plaza and promenade,

highways, newly constructed housing, and other community amenities. The effects of the Southwest Urban Renewal program were devastating for the community. Urban renewal destroyed 99 percent of Southwest’s buildings, forced 1,500 businesses to move, and displaced 23,000 residents.⁴⁸ Likewise, there was an 80 percent decline in Chinese immigrants and American born Chinese living in DC’s Chinatown because of factors relating to urban redevelopment.⁴⁹

While the Southwest neighborhood was the first major redevelopment in Washington because of an urban renewal plan, other urban renewal areas were approved and implemented throughout the city. These included Northwest, Northeast, the Shaw School, downtown, Columbia Plaza, Fort Lincoln, Adams Morgan, H Street, NE, and 14th Street, NW. NCPC also

defined boundaries for five additional urban renewal areas, including Georgetown and the South Capitol Street/Buzzard Point area, that were never adopted.


Strategies of urban renewal plans within NCPC’s regulations continued to exist into the 21st Century in the form of the Downtown and Shaw renewal plans, which were intended to guide rehabilitation in these two designated areas. These were the last two Urban Renewal plans in Washington, DC. In 2019, NCPC approved a request submitted by the District of Columbia Office of Planning to terminate the Downtown and Shaw renewal plans as they were outdated and do not align with current zoning and planning initiatives.

Disconnecting Neighborhoods with New Highways

In the mid-1950s, NCPC was part of the National Capital Regional Planning Council, which prepared a regional transportation plan that recommended the locations of new interstate highway corridors within the region. These plans were largely outlined within the 1950 Comprehensive Plan. These highways included an inner belt freeway that would surround the White House and the central business district of Washington (northern portion canceled due to citizen opposition) and an outer belt (which later would be signed as Interstate 495 as the Capital Beltway). Radial freeways were planned to link both the inner belt and the outer belt in the form of the following:

- A radial left intersecting from the western inner belt and continuing northwest along the northern edge of the Potomac River to the outer belt in the direction of Frederick, Maryland (loosely Interstate 270, portion within district cancelled due to citizen opposition).
- Two radials left intersecting the inner belt near the National Mall traveling in a westerly and southerly direction across the Potomac River into Northern Virginia (loosely Interstates 66 and 395 respectively).
- A route entering the area from a northeasterly direction from the outer belt traveling southwest and splitting near Bladensburg, Maryland whereas one split would travel in a southerly direction paralleling the Anacostia River toward the southern outer belt (loosely Interstate 295) and another route paralleling the New York Avenue corridor within the district (portion canceled due to citizen opposition).
- A short route connecting the Anacostia River freeway with the proposed inner belt (loosely Interstate 695). Both the northern portion near the White House and the route paralleling New York Avenue were canceled due to civic opposition.

Highway construction in the region improved transportation efficiency, reduced congestion on city streets, and enhanced connectivity between urban and suburban areas. While new highways provided easier access to employment centers and amenities for residents across the region, the development of



Map of the proposed highways in the National Capitol Region, included in the 1966 report, *Transportation Planning in the District of Columbia 1955 to 1965: A Review and Critique*. Source: Federal Highway Administration.

the freeway system drastically changed the city's social and demographic makeup.

The construction of I-395/695 alone displaced at least 4,700 people in 1960 and destroyed at least 1,400 homes in the Southwest community alone. In response to the urban renewal and freeway construction programs in Southwest, Washington, DC, Elizabeth "Libby" Rowe, the first female Chair of the National Capital Park and Planning Commission, authorized the development of a "Social Impact of a Highway on an Urban Community" study. The report documented the social implications and relocation requirements of the North Leg of the Inner Loop in 1963, in partnership with the District Office of



The Anacostia Freeway Under Construction adjacent to the Barry Farm community. Source: DC Public Library.

Health and Welfare considering the impact of physical changes on residents and the need for comprehensive planning and support services to address their needs and concerns.

Ultimately, the report concluded that "... a major highway programmed through a specific section of an urban area influences life within the whole community-those who remain, those who are displaced, other neighborhoods, public officialdom, private business and future projects. The engineer, the planner, the public official, the social scientist, the resident, the businessman, all citizens have a common objective-the betterment of their city. Only through their mutual concern, cooperation and respect can it be achieved."



Images above show public and private redevelopment of the Washington Navy Yard and Capitol Riverfront, including the Frederick Douglass Bridge, The Yards Park, and the US Department of Transportation headquarters.

Expanding the Federal Footprint in Local Communities

Extending the Legacy Plan (Legacy) was a visionary guide for new initiatives and policy development – it influenced Comprehensive Plan updates and set the stage for more detailed planning as described in the Memorials and Museums Master Plan (2001) and the Monumental Core Framework Plan (2009). Many of Legacy’s goals that relate to new federal facilities, enhanced transportation, reconnecting Washington to its waterfronts, and improving gateways into the city are becoming reality.

The plan has a strong vision of directing federal development to all quadrants of the city to promote economic development directly and indirectly, using federal investment as a catalyst. As a result, many new federal campuses were developed across Washington, DC, in communities that are now classified as equity emphasis areas, by the Washington Metropolitan Council of Governments. NCPC reviews federal development applications for site selection and development for consistency with the Comprehensive Plan’s Federal Elements. The development of federal facilities and installations have had both positive

and negative equity impacts in underserved communities. For example, the siting and design of federal buildings and campuses can adversely impact a community’s access to open space and existing amenities. Security requirements at these facilities can also restrict public access through communities and to amenities such as waterfronts, views, and historic and environmental resources. Lastly, the design of a federal facility may not be compatible with the surrounding neighborhood character. In applying an equity lens in building and site design that mitigates direct and indirect impacts, federal development projects can be stronger assets in underserved communities. For example, a federal development can plan for areas of public amenity spaces, such as parks and community rooms that are accessible to the surrounding community that may improve health outcomes. A critical component of developing these policies is a firm commitment to engaging underserved communities and centering their input as part of the planning process.

Contemporary Impacts

The vestiges of planning policies have long-term implications on individual opportunity and community design. Contemporary

analysis of the effects of NCPC or its predecessor’s practices, indicate that these programs have contributed to underserved communities feeling a reduced a sense of belonging throughout the nation’s capital; experiencing a reduction in community cultural wealth; and having less access to parks and green spaces.

Neighborhoods throughout Washington and the National Capital Region are also impacted by these policies which have contributed to racial and economic housing segregation. As a result, underserved communities in the region, both social and geographic, carry a disproportionate burden of air-pollution, flood risks, food insecurity, commute times, and other environmental hazards.

While NCPC has historically been involved with planning and development that has impacted underserved communities, NCPC is committed to addressing this legacy and working with federal and local partners to remedy the negative impacts of past planning decisions.

Critical Planning Challenges

Innovative practices are needed to support planning for the appropriate and orderly development of the NCR; conserving the region’s important natural and historic resources; and creating public spaces where all Americans are represented and included. Critical planning challenges faced by federal planners within the NCR include an urgency to protect the natural environment, implement equity practices, secure urban public spaces, and navigate the changing federal footprint for workplace needs. NCPC continues to collaborate with federal and regional partners to address these emerging planning challenges.



Constitution Avenue in Federal Triangle during a flood event.


Environmental Sustainability and Resiliency

Land use patterns and urban form can have a substantial impact on a community’s contribution to global climate change as well as the community’s susceptibility to negative environmental impacts. The region is experiencing many climate change risks, which include increased flooding, extreme precipitation, sea level rise, average temperature rise and extreme heat, and severe weather events.

The federal government owns approximately 85 percent of the shorelines in Washington, DC and has many properties located within the 100-year and 500-year floodplains.⁵⁰ Federal properties are thus vulnerable to flooding that results from heavy rain, snowmelt, tropical storms, hurricanes, and flash flood events. These events can damage property, cause power outages, interrupt operations, and overwhelm aging infrastructure and other urban assets. Predictions suggest that by 2050, a 100-year storm could be as likely as today’s 25-year storm. The Potomac and Anacostia River levels have already increased 11 inches in the past 90 years due to sea level rise and DC Department of Energy & Environment, “Climate Ready DC: The District of Columbia’s Plan to Adapt to a Changing Climate.”⁵¹ The US Army Corps of Engineers predicts up to 3.4 feet of additional sea level rise in Washington, DC by 2080.⁵²

Construction and renovation of federal facilities will also be affected by warming temperatures. Washington, DC’s average annual temperatures have increased by two degrees over the last 50 years and are predicted to continue to rise.⁵³ The area also suffers from the urban heat island effect, where paved areas in the District of Columbia can be 10-15 degrees hotter than the actual temperature during heat waves, while large natural areas like Rock Creek Park can measure 10 degrees cooler.⁵⁴ Typical average summer high temperatures of 87 degrees are projected to increase to the mid-to upper 90’s by 2080.⁵⁵ Increased average temperatures will also increase the number of heat emergency days (days with a heat index of 95 degrees or above) and cause longer heat waves.⁵⁶ In Washington, DC, heat emergency days are projected to increase from the recent average of 30 per year, to potentially 70 per year by 2080.⁵⁷

There are many federally owned properties vulnerable to climate change impacts in the National Capital Region,



Protecting the National Mall from Coastal, Riverine, and Interior Flooding

In 2023, NCPC approved development plans for the National Park Service to repair and rehabilitate approximately 6,800 linear feet of the failing seawall along portions of the Tidal Basin and West Potomac Park in Washington as part of the Tidal Basin and West Potomac Park Sea Wall project. Over the years, the seawalls have significantly settled, leading to overtopping and poor drainage. This has led to reduced public access and damage to the cultural landscape and park infrastructure along the heavily visited Potomac River waterfront from Hains Point northwest toward the Tidal Basin, resulting in negative impacts for visitors. The project will address immediate issues of the failing seawall in locations demonstrating the highest degree of settlement and erosion. The goal of this project is to return the seawalls to their historical functional height, improve visitor accessibility and experience over the next decade, and plan for sea level rise in the future.



Multimodal transportation options in Federal Triangle.

including parkland, military installations, museums, and agency headquarters, which could be damaged or significantly impaired if no action is taken. In addition to federal operations and properties, many federal sites also house national treasures and important documents of national significance which could be permanently damaged or lost.

Climate change may affect the form of the city and the integrity of both the L'Enfant and McMillan Plans. These two plans have been the basis of the street grid and the urban development pattern in Washington since the establishment of the capital in 1791. For example, symbolic views of national memorials, the White House, and the U.S. Capitol may be permanently altered if large scale infrastructure solutions to mitigate increased flooding are required in the vicinity of the National Mall.

Federal planners are increasingly turning to evaluating building and site design, as well as facility siting to mitigate environmental risks for capital improvement projects. As the region continues to experience an increase in the frequency and intensity of climate-related extreme weather events, advancing

climate change adaptation and supporting resilience planning is critical in protecting federal assets and investments, ensuring the long-term resiliency of federal operations, and supporting economic vitality in the NCR.

Transportation and Mobility

The transportation landscape in the Washington, DC region has undergone significant shifts over the past two years, largely influenced by the COVID-19 pandemic. More than half of Metrorail stations serve federal facilities and are critical transportation infrastructure for the region's largest workforce. With federal telework and remote work becoming more prevalent, downtown offices are experiencing increased vacancies.⁵⁸ Combined with the changing commuting patterns, policymakers are confronted with the challenge of ensuring safe, reliable, and accessible transportation options for workers and residents.


Congestion remains a persistent issue, contributing to lengthy average commute times of slightly more than half an hour in the

region. Approximately three in five Washington-area commuters still drive to work.⁵⁹ This heavy reliance on cars not only exacerbates congestion but also leads to elevated levels of air pollution, posing health risks to the population. Compounding these challenges is the lack of dedicated funding for WMATA, the region's central public transportation system, resulting in frequent threats of service cuts that disproportionately affect low-income and marginalized communities, exacerbating transportation inequities.^{60,61}

While public transit ridership in the region has historically been higher than in many other U.S. cities, the COVID-19 pandemic greatly impacted the region's public transit system. To date, 2024 daily rail ridership was approximately 50 percent of pre-pandemic ridership averages, while daily bus ridership has rebounded.^{62,63} This decline in transit ridership has been exacerbated by a decade-long trend preceding the pandemic, characterized by declining Metrorail ridership amid concerns over service reliability, safety, and the emergence of ride-sharing services.

However, as planners and policymakers grapple with the complexities of transportation planning in the post-pandemic era, they must confront the broader economic, social, and environmental consequences of individual transportation choices, ensuring equitable access to reliable, climate-friendly transportation options for all residents in the face of fiscal constraints and political uncertainty.

Population in the National Capital Region by Race, 2020



Social, Health, and Racial Equity

In 2020, the United States faced social upheaval because of the COVID-19 pandemic as well as civic protests that brought renewed focus on equitable outcomes for underrepresented populations, including people of color. The COVID-19 pandemic had devastating effects on the nation, including sickness and loss of life. The social and economic impacts of the pandemic created or accelerated trends that continue to

shape communities. The region’s economic performance relies heavily on the federal government. Economic impacts during the COVID-19 pandemic in the NCR mirrored national trends but were not as extreme due to the presence of the federal government. However, the region continues to face a unique set of economic challenges during post-pandemic recovery.

The region lost 300,000 jobs during March and April of 2020, which corresponded with a peak unemployment rate of 9.8 percent in April 2020, which is significantly below the national unemployment rate of 14.7 percent.⁶⁴ As the region began to recover economically from the loss and uncertainty caused by the pandemic, the economic recovery has been uneven across subsets of the region’s population and has highlighted inequities throughout the region. For example, the African American unemployment rate within the region was nearly double that of any other racial category and triple the unemployment rate of white participants in the labor force.⁶⁵

Also, people living in neighborhoods with higher percentages of African American or Hispanic residents and lower income and employment rates, were more likely to experience a larger reduction in life expectancy.⁶⁶ Many of these inequitable outcomes are connected to the design of the built environment which shapes physical and economic access. Past planning decisions, such as urban renewal, highway development, and housing displacement contribute to systemic residential segregation—which allocates community resources disparately and presents barriers to an individual’s ability to access medical care or job opportunities.⁶⁷ Throughout the region, several of the most salient equity issues center around affordable housing, healthy food access, and exposure to pollution or other environmental hazards. Not only do these factors contribute to disparate long-term community recovery and health following the ongoing COVID-19 recovery in the region, but these inequities lead to overall life-expectancy differences throughout the NCR, with the greatest disparity being between residents who live in Georgetown, Washington, DC (life expectancy of 94 years) and individuals who live in the Trinidad neighborhood of Washington, DC (life expectancy of 67 years).⁶⁸

Commemoration Diversity

Civic discourse at the height of the pandemic brought renewed calls for diverse stories and perspectives in the federal commemorative landscape. The 2021 National Monument Audit, funded by the Mellon Foundation, examined 50,000 U.S. monuments and found that of the 50 individuals represented most frequently, 88 percent are white, six percent are women, 10 percent are Black or Indigenous, and none honor Asian Americans, Hispanic and Latino Americans, or self-identified members of the LGBTQ+ communities.⁶⁹ NCP’s 2012 *Memorial Trends & Practice in Washington, DC* report acknowledged that there is an imbalance towards military and war-themed memorials in the capital. As of 2019, more than 44 percent of total memorials in Washington reflected military themes. If memorials with themes of statesmanship and founding of the nation are added, which prominently feature White men, the percent total increases to 63 percent.⁷⁰ There is a lack of diversity and representation in today’s national memorial collection. There are also issues with the process to construct permanent memorials in the nation’s capital, which is complex, time-consuming, and costly, creating barriers for many underrepresented communities.

Over the past decade, NCP has worked on several plans and initiatives related to memorials that provide a comprehensive picture of Washington’s commemorative landscape and highlight barriers to equity in the representation of commemorative works. Commemorative works focused on women, African Americans, Native Americans, Asian Americans, Latino Americans, and members of the LGBTQ+ communities, as well as the many other identities, backgrounds, abilities, cultures, and beliefs of the American people, are vastly underrepresented in the national capital’s landscape.

Conversations about who our monuments should represent are occurring around the country – the National Mall is at the front of this dialogue because it is our Nation’s collective space for commemoration. In looking to expand who and what is represented at the Mall’s monumental core, federal planners also face the issue of available land for present and



America’s Playground: DC, by Derrick Adams reflects the story of desegregated playgrounds in the nation’s capital. Source: Trust for the National Mall.

future monuments. There are only a handful of sites left close to the Mall, however, there are more stories to commemorate than the available land can accommodate. While permanent commemoration provides the opportunity to firmly cement a historically significant event or person in the physical landscape of the Nation’s Capital, this permanency creates an equity challenge – restricting opportunities for future generations to celebrate historic American events and people of the future.

Temporary artworks are seen as a viable complement to address constraints of permanent commemoration, and an idea suggested in previous NCP studies. These art installations can provide powerful experiences that are cost-effective, faster to implement, and respond to recent events. In addition, the flexibility of temporary artworks can help to lower longstanding barriers to sponsoring new commemorative works from people, groups, or events that have been historically underrepresented on the National Mall. The introduction of new perspectives in the commemorative landscape allows for a more comprehensive story of America’s history to be told.

With previous studies and plans in mind, NCP in partnership with the Trust for the National Mall and the National Park Service,

Expanding America’s Stories on the National Mall

Beyond Granite is a collaborative partnership between the Trust for the National Mall, the National Park Service, and the National Capital Planning Commission designed to test solutions for encouraging more representative and inclusive storytelling on the National Mall by using temporary artworks. The pilot project– *Beyond Granite: Pulling Together*–was a four-week outdoor art exhibition curated by Monument Lab and featured work by six contemporary artists that all responded to the question – “What stories remain untold on the National Mall?” To learn more about *Beyond Granite*, visit – www.beyondgranite.org/



Of Thee We Sing by vanessa german, celebrates Marian Anderson’s 1939 performance on the Lincoln Memorial. Source: Trust for the National Mall.

explored one way to expand subject matter representation and narratives with temporary artworks through the *Beyond Granite* pilot project. The exhibition, titled, “Pulling Together”, presented multi-layered representations of American history, experiences, and untold stories of diverse communities by six artists from across the country.

Public Space and Security

NCPC is at the forefront of developing policy guidance to address security, urban design, and public access in a thoughtful and balanced manner. After the 1995 Oklahoma City bombing and the events of September 11, 2001, security needs were elevated and unsightly temporary solutions often restricted access to public space. In recent years, due to an increase in vehicle-ramming attacks and domestic terrorism, the focus on security through urban design has shifted to include parks, plazas, and streets—and the protection of people in these spaces. Design professionals have an important role in planning public spaces to ensure the protection of public and federal assets. As part of the agency’s design review process for capital improvements, risk assessments are reviewed to determine appropriate security requirements, while also identifying suitable security solutions. It is critical to build on strong public-private partnerships; incorporate new technologies; build upon the research and lessons learned from other cities; and be adaptable to address future security needs while balancing today’s risks.



Public space security measures outside of the Herbert C. Hoover Building, headquarters for the U.S. Department of Commerce.

Changing Federal Footprint


The federal government is the single largest property owner and occupant of real estate in the region, which has significant implications for the region’s economy, transportation, real estate, and employment. The General Services Administration (GSA) owns, manages, constructs, and leases a total of approximately 47 million square feet of owned space and 45 million square feet of leased space. In addition, the Department of Defense controls approximately 71 million square feet. During the pandemic, a significant percentage of the region’s federal workforce worked from home, which impacted many jurisdictions. Many federal agencies have returned to the office, with many transitioning to a hybrid work environment.

The federal government-wide policy is to reduce the total square footage of federal workspace by improving the utilization of federally owned buildings, lowering the number of excess and underutilized properties, and improving the federal real property portfolio’s cost effectiveness. Many federal buildings are currently underutilized. The U.S. Government Accountability Office collected building size and attendance data from the 24 agencies in the Federal Real Property Council during January-March of 2023 and found that these agencies used an estimated average of 25 percent or less of their headquarters building’s capacity.⁷¹ In 2023, there are 474 total GSA leases consisting of approximately 45 million rentable square feet. Approximately 58 percent of those leases are set to expire by 2027.⁷² There are 177 leases within Washington, DC; 195 leases within Virginia; and 102 leases within Maryland. Four million square feet of leased office space will expire in the next five years, specifically in Washington. As agencies are reevaluating office space needs and the use of telework, this provides an opportunity to improve the use of federally owned properties and reuse or dispose of federally owned properties. These shifts will ultimately change the region’s federal footprint.

The changing federal footprint poses important implications

for the future of local communities and the region. In 2013, NCPC in partnership with the Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments, explored scenario planning to better understand the cumulative impacts of federal telework and hybrid workplaces on our region and the implications they may have on office demand, federal footprint, the transportation network, and federal procurement. NCPC continues to work with the federal, regional, and local governments to advance strategies and policies that create a positive federal presence in the region as the federal footprint continues to change.

Federal Owned versus Leased Square Footage Space in the NCR, 2022. Source: 2024 Workplace Scenario Planning Study, NCPC





View of Pennsylvania Avenue NW during a sports championship parade with the U.S. Capitol Building in the background.

The Planning Framework: Vision and Guiding Principles

The Commission envisions:

A vibrant world capital that accommodates the needs of our national government; enriches the lives of the region's residents, workers, and visitors; and embodies an urban form and character that reflects the enduring values of the American people.

The Comprehensive Plan's Federal Elements are linked by four guiding principles and goals that emerged within these principles.

Each guiding principle includes key objectives that frame policy and guidelines within the Federal Elements.

1. Accommodate federal and national capital activities.
2. Reinforce resilient and sustainable development planning principles.
3. Support local and regional planning and development objectives.
4. Promote equitable development and opportunity for underserved communities.

Key Objectives:

- Promote the highest quality design and development in the National Capital Region.
- Preserve historic properties and important L'Enfant and McMillan Plan design features.
- Balance accessibility and security.
- Prioritize the public's access to federal properties, when possible.
- Enhance the beauty and order of the nation's capital.
- Disperse activities throughout the city and region.
- Promote Washington, DC as the prime location for foreign diplomatic missions.



Looking from Lafayette Square toward the White House.

PRINCIPLE 1

Accommodate Federal and National Capital Activities

One of the key goals within this guiding principle is the importance of the appearance and image of the nation's capital. The city's physical design conveys the values and qualities to which we aspire as a nation. The Federal Elements emphasize fundamental concepts of beauty and order. As the seat of the federal government, Washington, DC, and the federal activities within it, must reflect the highest standards of architecture, urban design, and planning. As the central planning agency for the federal government, NCPC is committed to ensuring that adequate provisions are made for future generations who will come to the capital to petition the government, conduct business, or visit memorials and museums that honor the nation's heroes and capture its history.

A second important goal is the operational efficiency of the federal government. The Federal Elements envision a capital city that is the economic, political, and cultural center of the National Capital Region. The Central Employment Area (CEA) is seen as the primary focus of new federal office development and the preferred location of new major federal employment activities. Government headquarters and other federal workplaces are encouraged to be located within or near the CEA. Washington is considered the primary location for foreign missions and international organizations, consistent with international law and practice. An emphasis will be placed on retaining national and international activities in the city while preserving the autonomy of the District of Columbia government to regulate and plan local land use.

Those sectors of the regional economy that have traditionally been strong—information processing, support services, intelligence gathering, medical research, international activities, national defense, tourism, information technology, and support services related to the government—are expected to continue to be drivers of the region's economy because of their strong ties to the federal government. Activities requiring larger land areas or greater levels of security should be in areas of the region that can accommodate those requirements. The federal government should make every attempt to use existing federal facilities and land for new federal space needs.

The Federal Elements recognize that many federal employees value living near their places of work, increasing the possibility that federal employees could commute primarily by transit, bicycle, and walking. Further, the siting and design of new federal facilities within Washington and its environs that are convenient to public transportation will encourage employees and visitors to make greater use of transit options. Furthermore, the siting and design of new federal facilities within the NCR should consider access and linkages to the local community, as appropriate. Federal activities will also be encouraged to locate in ways that promote the development of new, related private-sector activities while meeting the requirements of federal agencies. Regardless of their location, federal facilities are expected to safely accommodate government functions and be designed in a manner that reflects our democratic ideals of openness and participation.

PRINCIPLE 2

Reinforce Resilient and Sustainable Development Planning Principles

The Federal Elements encourage resilient planning practices and sustainable development. The plan includes strategies that orient development to public transit; protect environmental and natural resources; organize new development in compact land use patterns; promote opportunities for infill development to take advantage of existing public infrastructure; and adapt and reuse existing historic and underutilized buildings to preserve the unique identities of local neighborhoods. Sustainable development recognizes the interrelationship between economic growth, environmental quality, and livability, and the responsibility that citizens have to preserve their communities and quality-of-life for future generations. These principles benefit the federal government and the region and reduce the need for federal parking facilities and the associated costs and land use.


A critical goal within this guiding principle is transportation mobility and accessibility. To facilitate the movement of federal employees to and from their places of employment, federal agencies in the region are leading the way with a variety of creative commuting programs. The federal government provides a monthly transit benefit for employees. Many agencies have highly effective transportation management plans to help reduce the number of drive-alone commuters, encourage carpooling and vanpooling, and offer staggered work hours and telework options. Considering the NCR’s status as one of the most congested regions in the country, federal agencies must continue to find new and effective transportation strategies at their work sites, including incentives for alternative travel modes such as walking and biking.

Another fundamental goal that emerges within the guiding principle is the stewardship of the region’s natural and cultural resources. For more than two centuries, the federal government has actively acquired, developed, and maintained parks and open space, and protected and enhanced natural resources in the region. The importance of this mission continues.

In addition, the federal government is also focusing on planning for, and addressing impacts on, lands, buildings, and communities across the National Capital Region related to climate change and flooding. It is important to anticipate the scope, severity, pace, and unpredictability of future climate change impacts on the federal government’s sites, buildings, and operations. Adaptation planning will allow federal agencies to minimize the negative impacts of climate change that are already occurring in the National Capital Region and take advantage of opportunities to coordinate and respond effectively to future conditions. This will facilitate the protection of federal assets and investments, ensure the long-term resiliency of federal operations, and support economic vitality in the National Capital Region.

Key Objectives:

- Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and consumption of fossil fuel energy.
- Evaluate and mitigate environmental impacts in communities.
- Advance climate change mitigation, adaption and resilience planning for site and building design, including rehabilitation.
- Reinvest in the efficient use of federal facilities and plan for the long-term use and space needs of the federal workforce.
- Concentrate more intense federal development near existing high-capacity transit routes and other multi-modal facilities.
- Encourage pedestrian oriented development, mixed uses, and other compact forms of development.
- Promote non-auto transportation alternatives, including transit, walking, and bicycling.
- Preserve open space, natural beauty, cultural resources, and critical environmental areas.



Green roofs at the U.S. Coast Guard Headquarters Building. Source: GSA.

Key Objectives:

- Maximize the contribution of federal projects to local and regional jurisdictions through the location and design of federal facilities.
- Promote intergovernmental coordination and engagement.
- Encourage federal agencies during the early stages of planning to facilitate community engagement meetings and other similar initiatives to inform community organizations of pending development.
- Encourage agencies to work with local jurisdictions to ensure land disposal and workplace consolidations can support their needs.



DC Department of Transportation advertises the Vision Zero program at an Open Streets event. NCPC coordinates with DDOT on issues relating to public space and security.

PRINCIPLE 3

Support Local and Regional Planning and Development Objectives

A key goal of this principle is to ensure that the federal government will continue to be a major generator of growth and development in the NCR. Federally owned and leased facilities are located throughout the region, and federal activities significantly impact the region's economic health, welfare, and stability.

Given the distribution of federal facilities across the NCR, the Commission, and other federal agencies should work closely with local authorities and affected community groups in areas where federal activities are located or are proposed to be located.

Finally, the Commission strongly promotes intergovernmental cooperation and public participation in the preparation and review of federal policies, plans, and programs in the region by:

- Coordinating federal plans, projects, and capital improvement programming with local, regional, and state governments so federal agencies can develop the best approaches to land use, economic development, transportation, and other potential impacts in communities.
- Encouraging federal agencies planning development projects to participate in the Commission's "early consultation" program to inform non-federal officials and community organizations about such projects prior to their submission to the Commission.
- Providing public participation opportunities in the Commission's preparation and review of federal policies, plans, projects, and capital improvement programs.
- Evaluating the applicant agency's local community participation, outreach, and engagement with underserved communities to determine its effectiveness.
- Assisting federal agencies in resolving issues with affected non-federal agencies and community groups in preparing proposed policies, plans, and programs.
- Coordinating the federal interest review of local, regional, and state plans and programs.
- Promoting information-sharing and data exchanges with state, regional, and local authorities, and local community groups.

PRINCIPLE 4

Promote Equitable Development and Opportunity for Underserved Communities

The key goal of this guiding principle is to advance equity as a central component of the agency’s planning policies. While NCR has played a key role in shaping the NCR into a vibrant and culturally diverse region, it is imperative that the agency strive to recognize and remedy, to the greatest extent possible, historical planning practices that may have resulted in inequitable outcomes for underserved communities and how those policies have shaped communities today.

Key Objectives:

- **Physical Access:** Promote universal and equitable access for visitors to onsite public amenities, and employees to amenities in the surrounding community.
- **Economic Development:** Advance economic opportunity through economic development and investment in sites and workforces in communities with underserved populations.
- **Community Engagement:** Engage with underserved communities in a responsive, transparent, and inclusive manner, which allows communities to understand policy proposals and participate in bidirectional conversations with public officials.
- **Cultural Affirmation and Diversity:** Affirm the importance of local cultural identity and traditions and recognize the role that cultural recognition plays in supporting civic engagement and community enrichment.
- **Data Analysis:** Use qualitative and quantitative data to identify and track the legacy and contemporary impacts of policies, practices, and procedures relating to federal development that have adversely impacted underserved communities.
- **Sustainability, Resilience, and Health:** Improve human health and protect federal assets in underserved communities through investment in resilient planning practices that mitigate the impacts of climate change.

Documenting Local History and the Impacts of the Federal Footprint

As part of the agency’s 2023 review of the Pentagon Master Plan update, Pentagon officials documented the history of the campus’ construction and acknowledged the legacy of eminent domain, which resulted in the mandatory relocation of over 900 people residing in East Arlington and Queen City, two largely African American communities that evolved from the former Freedman’s Village that was established on their general vicinity during the Civil War. In 1942, East Arlington and Queen City were demolished to construct the roadway network to support the Pentagon campus. The image to the left depicts the neighborhood prior to demolition. By acknowledging this history, the Pentagon is shedding light on its past, while also sharing this previously unacknowledged story with the broader public.



Queen City with the newly-built Pentagon in the background. Source: U.S. Army via Lindsey Bestebreurtje, Ph.D.

The Planning Program: Federal Elements

The *Comprehensive Plan for the National Capital: Federal Elements* identifies and addresses the current and future needs of federal employees, visitors, and residents to the nation's capital and provides policies that:

- Guide urban design features that contribute to the image and function of the nation's capital.
- Guide the location of new federal facilities and the management of existing federal facilities.
- Guide the placement and accommodation of foreign missions and international agencies.
- Promote the preservation and enhancement of the region's natural resources and environment.
- Protect historic and cultural resources.
- Encourage federal, local, state, and national authorities to work together.
- Support access into, out of, and around the nation's capital that is as efficient as possible for federal and non-federal workers.

The eight Federal Elements are Urban Design; Federal Workplace; Foreign Missions & International Organizations; Transportation; Parks & Open Space; Federal Environment; Historic Preservation; and Visitors & Commemoration.



Urban Design: Promote quality design and development in the National Capital Region that reinforces its unique role as the nation's capital and creates a welcoming and livable environment for people. A technical addendum is included in the Urban Design Element, of the Comprehensive Plan, which is a resource that supports the element's policies.



Federal Workplace: Locate the federal workforce in a way that enhances the efficiency, productivity, value, and public image of the federal government; strengthens the National Capital Region's economic well-being; and emphasizes the District of Columbia as the seat of the federal government.



Foreign Missions & International Organizations: Plan a secure and welcoming environment for the location of diplomatic and international activities in Washington, DC. This should be done in a manner that is appropriate to the status and dignity of these activities; enhances Washington's role as one of the world's great capitals; and is sensitive to the character and use patterns of the city's neighborhoods.



Transportation: Support the development and maintenance of a multimodal transportation system that meets the needs of federal workers, residents, and visitors, while improving regional mobility, transportation access, and environmental quality. A technical addendum is included in the Transportation Element, of the Comprehensive Plan, which is a resource that supports the element's policies.



Parks and Open Space: Protect and enhance the National Capital Region's parks and open space system—for recreation; as commemorative and symbolic space; as social, civic, and celebratory space; and to provide environmental and educational benefits.



Federal Environment: Promote the National Capital Region as a leader in environmental stewardship and sustainability. The federal government seeks to preserve and enhance the quality of the region's natural resources to ensure that their benefits are available for future generations to enjoy.



Historic Preservation: Preserve, protect, and rehabilitate historic properties in the National Capital Region and promote design and development that is respectful of the guiding principles established by the Plan of the City of Washington and the symbolic character of the capital's setting.



Visitor and Commemoration: Provide a positive and memorable experience for all visitors to the National Capital Region in a way that showcases the institutions of American culture and democracy, supports planning goals, and enhances activities that are unique to visiting the nation's capital.

The Federal Elements also includes an **Action Plan** as a technical addendum. The Comprehensive Plan's Action Plan contains specific projects to advance the Commission's vision and set in motion the necessary steps to activate the plan's goals and policies. The projects advance the policies in the Comprehensive Plan; the objectives of the Commission's Strategic Plan and annual work program; and the recommendations from NCP's past planning initiatives such as the Legacy Plan. The Federal Capital Improvements Program plays a prominent role in the Action Plan as the Commission encourages federal agencies to use the Comprehensive Plan as a policy guide in preparing their capital improvement project's submissions.

The Federal Elements—along with the District Elements, federal and District agencies' plans, individual installation master plans and subarea plans, development controls, and design guidelines—constitute the road map for NCP's land use planning and development decision-making processes in the NCR.

Definitions

Environmental Justice: The just treatment and meaningful involvement of all people, regardless of income, race, color, national origin, Tribal affiliation, or disability, in agency decision-making and other federal activities that affect human health and the environment so that people: are fully protected from disproportionate and adverse human health and environmental effects (including risks) and hazards, including those related to climate change, the cumulative impacts of environmental and other burdens, and the legacy of racism or other structural or systemic barriers; and have equitable access to a healthy, sustainable, and resilient environment in which to live, play, work, learn, grow, worship, and engage in cultural and subsistence practices.

Equity: The consistent and systematic fair, just, and impartial treatment of all individuals, including individuals who belong to underserved communities that have been denied such treatment, such as Black, Latino, and Indigenous and Native American persons, Asian Americans and Pacific Islanders and other persons of color; members of religious minorities; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, and queer (LGBTQ+) persons; persons with disabilities; persons who live in rural areas; and persons otherwise adversely affected by persistent poverty or inequality.

Underserved Communities: Populations sharing a particular characteristic, as well as geographic communities, that have been systematically denied a full opportunity to participate in aspects of economic, social, and civic life, as exemplified by the list in the preceding definition of “equity.”

Equitable Development: An approach for meeting the needs of underserved communities through policies and programs that reduce disparities while fostering places that are healthy and vibrant. It is increasingly considered an effective placed-based action for creating strong and livable communities.⁷³


Sustainability: To create and maintain conditions under which humans and nature can exist in productive harmony and that permit fulfilling social, economic, and other requirements of present and future generations.⁷⁴

Resilience: A capability to anticipate, prepare for, respond to, and recover from significant multi-hazard threats with minimum damage to social well-being, the economy, and the environment.⁷⁵

Adaptation: An adjustment in natural or human systems in response to actual or expected climatic stimuli or their effects, which moderates harm or exploits beneficial opportunities. Various types of adaptation can be distinguished, including anticipatory and reactive adaptation, private and public adaptation, and autonomous and planned adaptation.⁷⁶



LOVE HATE by Mia Florentine Weiss, is an ambigram that reads “love” from one side and “hate” from the other. Located in Farrgut Square and part of the Golden Triangle BID. Below: Black Lives Matter Plaza in Washington, DC.



Endnotes

1 U.S. Census Bureau, QuickFacts, Population Estimate for District of Columbia, July 1, 2023.

2 The National Capital Planning Act of 1952.

3 U.S. Census Bureau, “Income in the Past 12 Months (in 2022 Inflation-Adjusted Dollars).” American Community Survey, ACS 1-Year Estimates Subject Tables, Table S1901, 2022.

4 U.S. Census Bureau, “Income in the Past 12 Months (in 2022 Inflation-Adjusted Dollars).”

5 U.S. Census Bureau, “Income in the Past 12 Months (in 2016 Inflation-Adjusted Dollars).” American Community Survey, ACS 1-Year Estimates Subject Tables, Table S1901, 2016.

6 Downtown Business Improvement District Corporation, “State of Downtown 2022.”

7 Destination DC, “Washington, DC Welcomes 20.7 Million Domestic Visitors in 2022 Who Spent \$8.1 Billion.” <https://washington.org/destination-dc-reveals-strong-visitation-numbers-new-advertising-campaign>

8 U.S. Department of State, “Office of Foreign Missions.”

9 U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, Regional Economic Accounts, last updated November 16, 2023.

10 U.S. Department of Commerce, Regional Economic Accounts.

11 U.S. Census Bureau, “Consolidated Federal Funds Report for Fiscal Year 2001.”

12 U.S. Census Bureau, “Consolidated Federal Funds Report for Fiscal Year 2010.”

13 The Stephen S. Fuller Institute for Research on the Washington Region’s Economic Future, *What Does a Shutdown Mean for the Washington Region’s Economy?*”

14 Federal Capital Improvements Program for the National Capital Region, Fiscal Year 2024 - 2029Federal Capital Improvements Program for the National Capital Region, Fiscal Year 2024 - 2029.

15 U.S. General Services Administration, “National Capital Region Public Building Services.” <https://www.gsa.gov/about-us/gsa-regions/region-11-national-capital/buildings-and-facilities>, Last reviewed April 26, 2024.

16 U.S. General Services Administration, “National Capital Region Public Building Services.”

17 U.S. Department of Defense, “Base Structure Report - Fiscal Year 2018 Baseline: A Summary of The Real Property Inventory Data.”

18 Approximate numbers from the 2004 Parks & Open Space Element as discrepancies in boundary areas between jurisdictions, ownership, and definitions of parks and open space result in data that does not perfectly match across the region. Several groups, including NPS, with boundaries that differ from NCPC’s, also use the term “National Capital Region.”

19 Jason Steinhauer, Library of Congress Blogs, “The Indians’ Capital City: Native Histories of The Indians’ Capital City: Native Histories of Washington, D.C.”

20 George M. Barringer, Georgetown University Library, “They Came to Georgetown: The Irish (Part II).”

21 Lina Mann, The White House Historical Association, “The Complexities of Slavery in the Nation’s Capital”

22 U.S. Congress. U.S. Statutes at Large, Volume 1 -1799, 1st through 5th Congress. United States, - 1799, 1789. Periodical. <https://www.loc.gov/item/llsl-v1/>.

23 The Residence Act - <https://guides.loc.gov/residence-act>

24 The White House Historical Association, “Slavery in the President’s Neighborhood” - <https://www.whitehousehistory.org/spn/introduction>

25 Frederick A. Gutheim, and Antoinette J. Lee, “Worthy of The Nation: Washington, DC, from L’Enfant to the National Capital Planning Commission”.

26 Charles Cerami and Robert M. Silverstein, “Benjamin Banneker: Surveyor, Astronomer, Publisher, Patriot.”

27 Cerami and Silverstein.

28 L’Enfant, “L’Enfant Plan.”

29 National Capital Planning Commission, “Planning History in Washington, DC.”
 30 U.S. Commission of Fine Arts, Designing the Nation’s Capital: The 1901 Plan for Washington, DC.
 31 National Park Service, “People & Bison.” - <https://www.nps.gov/subjects/bison/people.htm>
 32 William Hornaday, “Map Illustrating the Extermination of the American Bison, 1889.”
 33 Smithsonian Magazine, Gilbert King, “Where the Buffalo No Longer Roamed.”
 34 George Washington’s Mount Vernon: Digital Encyclopedia: Buffalo

 35 Worthy of The Nation
 36 An Act Establishing a Commission of Fine Arts (CFA).
 37 The Height of Buildings Act was amended in 2014 to allow human occupancy in rooftop penthouse structures
 38 The Capper-Cramton Act of 1930
 39 National Capital Planning Commission and National Capital Regional Planning Council, “A Plan for the Year 2000.”
 40 National Capital Regional Planning Council, “The Regional Development Guide 1966 - 2000.”
 41 An Act to establish the Pennsylvania Avenue Bicentennial Development Corporation, (H.R.10751)
 42 Office of the General Counsel, District of Columbia Home Rule Act.
 43 National Capital Planning Commission, Extending the Legacy: Planning America’s Capital for the 21st Century.

 44 James Borchert, Alley Life in Washington: Family, Community, Religion, and Folklore in the City, 1850-1870.
 45 Historic American Buildings Survey, “Southwest Washington, Urban Renewal Area, Bounded by Independence Avenue, Washington Avenue, South Capitol Street, Canal Street, P Street, Maine
 46 The Cultural Landscape Foundation, “Southwest Redevelopment Plan”
 47 National Capital Park and Planning Commission, “The Comprehensive Plan for the National Capital and Its Environs (1950).”
 48 Chris Myers Asch and George Derek Musgrove, Chocolate City: A History of Race and Democracy in the Nation’s Capital.
 49 District of Columbia Office of Planning, “Chinatown Cultural Development Small Area Action Plan.”
 50 National Capital Planning Commission, “Shoreline Ownership Methodology.”
 51 DC Department of Energy & Environment, “Climate Ready DC: The District of Columbia’s Plan to Adapt to a Changing Climate.”C
 52 Climate Ready DC.
 53 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, “What Climate Change Means for the District of Columbia.”
 54 CADMUS Group and DC Department of Energy and Environment, “Heat Sensitivity-Exposure Index Methodology Report for Climate Ready DC.”
 55 “Heat Sensitivity-Exposure Index Methodology Report for Climate Ready DC.
 56 Climate Ready DC.
 57 Climate Ready DC.
 58 DowntownDC Business Improvement District. “State of Downtown 2023”
 59 U.S. Census Bureau. “Commuting Characteristics by Sex.” American Community Survey, ACS 1-Year Estimates Subject Tables, Table S0801, 2022.
 60 Washington Area Metropolitan Transit Authority. “Making the Case for Transit: WMATA Regional Benefits of Transit, November 2011”
 61 Brookings Institution, “Washington’s Metro: Deficits by Design, June 2004”
 62 Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority, Metrorail Ridership Summary, wmata.com/initiatives/ridership-portal/Metrorail-Ridership-Summary.cfm

63 Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority, Daily Ridership Dashboards (Bus and Rail), <https://www.wmata.com/initiatives/ridership-portal/daily-summary.cfm>

64 Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments, “Covid-19 Impacts in Metropolitan Washington.”

65 U.S. Census Bureau, “Employment Status 2021, ACS 1-Year Estimates.”

66 Maria L. Alva, Srujana S. Illa, and Jaren Haber, “Death, Inequality, and the Pandemic in the Nation’s Capital.”

67 Committee on an Evaluation of Permanent Supportive Housing Programs for Homeless Individuals, Science and Technology for Sustainability Program; Policy and Global Affairs, and Board on Population Health and Public Health Practice; Health and Medicine Division, Permanent Supportive Housing: Evaluating the Evidence for Improving Health Outcomes Among People Experiencing Chronic Homelessness.

68 Virginia Commonwealth University (VCU) Center on Society and Health for the Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments (COG) Health Officials Committee, “Uneven Opportunities: How Conditions for Wellness Vary across the Metropolitan Washington Region.”

69 Monument Lab, “National Monument Audit.”

70 National Capital Planning Commission and Trust for the National Mall, “National Capital Planning Commission (USA) Meeting November 4, 2021

71 U.S. Government Accountability Office, “Federal Real Property: Agencies Need New Benchmarks to Measure and Shed Underutilized Space Report to Congressional Committees.”

72 U.S. General Services Administration, Inventory of GSA Owned and Leased Properties in Region 11, <https://www.gsa.gov/tools-overview/buildings-and-real-estate-tools/inventory-of-gsa-owned-and-leased-properties>.

73 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, “Equitable Development and Environmental Justice.”

74 National Research Council, “Sustainability and the U.S. EPA”

75 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, “EPA’s Glossary of Climate Change Terms.”

76 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, “EPA’s Glossary of Climate Change Terms.”

Appendix B

Consolidated Comments

including public comments received and staff response for revision.

RESPONSE TO COMMENTS ON DRAFT INTRODUCTION CHAPTER OF THE FEDERAL ELEMENTS FOR THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN - MAY 2024

This document constitutes a major milestone of community stakeholder engagement in NCPC’s efforts to update the Introduction Chapter of the Federal Elements of the Comprehensive Plan. The following includes staff’s response to comments received during the public comment period (December 13, 2023 – March 12, 2024) on the Draft Introduction Chapter. This includes community and agency stakeholder comments from numerous community meetings, online via e-mail and our website (<https://www.ncpc.gov/initiatives/intro/>), and by mail.

Page Number	Section	General Topic	Comment	Source	Staff Analysis	Revision in Response to Comment
Global	Global	Document Formatting	A font problem occurs with this font (present in many technical writings, as Calibri is a popular font). Instances of t's and f's when adjacent to themselves or i's do not pass as the appropriate text for text readers. This includes when searching the document. For example, searching for "national" should populate numerous finds throughout but only returns 11 instances, all of which are when the font is italicized. This also affects accessibility as many screen readers will not interpret words errors correctly.	Jarrold Kuriger	Acknowledged.	Staff will not use the “Calibri” font for the final version of the Introduction Chapter to avoid this issue.
Global	Global	Document Formatting	Several places throughout have "Covid-19" versus "COVID-19"	Jarrold Kuriger	Acknowledged.	Change all instance of “Covid-19” to read “COVID-19’
Global	Global	Equity Considerations	DC Office of Racial Equity and DC Office of Planning work closely together on planning and equity issues. Would be beneficial for NCPC to review the “Meaningful Community Engagement Resource Guide for District Government Agency Personnel” to determine consistency in language use for equity related terms.	DC Office of Racial Equity	Staff appreciates the opportunity to meet with DC Office of Racial Equity. Our team will continue to review the Meaningful Community Engagement Resource Guide and seek ongoing coordination with the Office of Racial Equity to support future element updates and community engagement initiatives.	Make no additional revisions at this time.
Global	Global	Document Formatting	Also, there are a number of places where more footnotes should be added. For example, in the section on the Planning Legacy, there is a reference to locating the capital (federal district) being in the U.S. Constitution. A footnote citing Article I, section 8, clause 17) of the Constitution should be added. Where historical statements are made, it is important to document	The Committee of 100 on the Federal City	Acknowledged.	Revise the document to ensure that there is consistency with citations and references.

Page Number	Section	General Topic	Comment	Source	Staff Analysis	Revision in Response to Comment
			the sources for these statements. Footnoting is done in some cases, but not others (e.g., missing from Benjamin Banneker et al reference), which makes the text read unevenly. Another example would be an historical discussion, with footnotes, of what triggered the McMillan Commission.			
7	The Planning Legacy	Document Formatting	Also, there are a number of places where more footnotes should be added. For example, in the section on the Planning Legacy, there is a reference to locating the capital (federal district) being in the U.S. Constitution. A footnote citing Article I, section 8, clause 17) of the Constitution should be added.	The Committee of 100 on the Federal City	Acknowledged.	<p>Revise Page 7 to include the following citations:</p> <p>Through a compromise to protect Southern states’ interest in the institution of slavery and pay outstanding war debts for Northern states, the Constitution authorized the new federal government to establish a federal district as the seat of government in 1787.</p> <p>Source: https://guides.loc.gov/residence-act https://www.whitehousehistory.org/galleries/slavery-at-the-white-house-building-the-white-house</p>
8	The Planning Legacy	Document Formatting	Also, there are a number of places where more footnotes should be added. e.g., missing from Benjamin Banneker et al reference.	The Committee of 100 on the Federal City	Acknowledged.	<p>Revise Page 8 to include the following citation for the Benjamin Banneker.</p> <p>After L’Enfant’s resignation, Benjamin Banneker, a free Black man, and brothers Andrew Ellicott and Benjamin Ellicott finished the surveying work for the new Federal City.</p> <p>Source: Benjamin Banneker: Surveyor, Astronomer, Publisher, Patriot by Charles Cerami and Robert M. Silverstein</p>
8	The Planning Legacy	Document Formatting	Also, there are a number of places where more footnotes should be added. Another example would be an historical discussion, with footnotes, of what triggered the McMillan Commission.	The Committee of 100 on the Federal City	Acknowledged.	<p>Revise 8 to include a historical discussion about what triggered the McMillian Commission.</p> <p>“The McMillan Plan of 1901 addressed two main issues: building a public park system and designating sites for groupings of public buildings.</p> <p>The plan was developed by the McMillan Commission, formally known as the Senate Park Commission, was established in 1901 due to concerns about the urban development and planning of Washington, D.C. Led by Senator James McMillan, the commission aimed to address the chaotic growth and haphazard layout of the nation's capital. The initiative was prompted by the desire to create a more cohesive and aesthetically pleasing cityscape, reflecting the grandeur befitting the nation's capital. The commission's landmark report, published in 1902, proposed a comprehensive redesign of Washington, D.C., which included the creation of the National Mall, the implementation of the City Beautiful movement principles, and the</p>

Page Number	Section	General Topic	Comment	Source	Staff Analysis	Revision in Response to Comment
						<p>revitalization of neglected areas. The McMillan Plan fundamentally transformed the city, shaping its iconic landmarks and enduring urban layout for generations to come.”</p> <p>Sources Worthy of The Nation: Washington, DC from L’Enfant to the National Capital Planning Commission, Second Edition.</p> <p>DESIGNING THE NATION'S CAPITAL: The 1901 Plan for Washington, D.C. https://www.nps.gov/parkhistory/online_books/ncr/designing-capital/index.html</p>
Global	Global	Document Formatting	And a Request It would have been very helpful to our review of the draft of the Introduction Chapter if the public had been provided a redlined draft showing proposed additions and deletions so we could see where the changes were (as was done with the submission guidelines). This is not only helpful, but respectful of the citizens who are being asked to provide review and comment. We therefore recommend and request that this “redlined” approach be done in future “updates”.	The Committee of 100 on the Federal City	<p>Ensuring that the public can understand how documents have been revised is an important component of fostering equitable public engagement.</p> <p>Staff is mindful that mark-up documents comparing policies may be useful for public review in instances of minor revisions but may be confusing to understand when comprehensive revisions or rewrites have been made.</p> <p>Staff will continue to develop methods that support the public in evaluating how the contents of documents have changed, while also ensuring that the documents are accessible for future element updates.</p>	Staff will provide a mark-up comparing the draft Introduction Chapter, released for public comment in 2023, and the final adoption.
Global	Global	Action Plan / Implementation	Could be part of a new Implementation Section Suggest considering how the Comprehensive Plan is implemented, including policies around equity. This section could mention various mechanisms of implementation, like the Federal Submission guidelines to ensure equity is part of the considerations when submitting and approving federal projects.	Arlington County CPHD	<p>The current Comprehensive Plan includes a section titled “Action Plan.” The section includes implementation strategies, action partners, and timeframes for varying NCPC projects/programs that help to actualize the goals established in The Comprehensive Plan.</p> <p>This section was last comprehensively updated in 2016. Currently, there is no singular public facing document that tracks the agency’s ongoing progress in</p>	<p>Revise the following sentence to read as follows:</p> <p>Visitors & Commemoration Element: Provide a positive and memorable experience for all visitors to the NCR in a way that showcases the institutions of American culture and democracy, supports planning goals, and enhances activities that are unique to visiting the nation’s capital.</p> <p>The Federal Elements also includes an Action Plan as a technical addendum. The Comprehensive Plan’s Action Plan contains specific projects to advance the Commission’s vision and set in motion the necessary steps to activate the plan’s goals and policies. The projects advance the policies in the Comprehensive Plan; the objectives of the Commission’s Strategic Plan and annual work program; and the recommendations from NCPC’s past planning initiatives such as the Legacy Plan. The Federal Capital Improvements Program plays a prominent role in the Action Plan as the Commission encourages federal agencies to use the Comprehensive Plan as a policy guide in preparing their capital improvement project’s submissions.</p>

Page Number	Section	General Topic	Comment	Source	Staff Analysis	Revision in Response to Comment
					<p>accomplishing the strategies of the Action Plan.</p> <p>Staff should revise the Introduction Chapter to denote that there is an action plan, which functions as a technical addendum for the Comprehensive Plan.</p>	
1	Introduction	Local Impacts of Washington, DC Region	The first paragraph of the Introduction fails to mention that in addition to the capital city's representative and symbolic functions, it is also a city of people.	Evan Handy	Acknowledged. The Introduction Chapter should indicate that in addition to serving as the capital city, Washington, DC is also a local community full of people and locally vibrant culture.	<p>Revise the first paragraph of the Introduction Chapter to indicate that the following:</p> <p>Washington, DC is the symbolic heart of the United States. It provides a sense of permanence and centrality that extends well beyond the National Capital Region (NCR) and the United States’ national borders. It represents national power and promotes the country’s history, traditions, and culture. Through its architecture and physical design, Washington symbolizes national ideals, values, and aspirations. Washington, is also a bustling local city, where nearly three-quarter of a million people call the city home and work to shape the city’s present and future.</p>
3	Introduction	Local Impacts of Washington, DC Region	We would like to see the Introduction acknowledge that Washington, DC is more than just a national capital— it’s a place where people live and work. [p. 3]	The Committee of 100 on the Federal City	Acknowledged. The Introduction Chapter should indicate that in addition to serving as the capital city, Washington, DC is also a local community full of people and locally vibrant culture.	<p>Revise the first paragraph of the Introduction Chapter to indicate that the following:</p> <p>Washington, DC is the symbolic heart of the United States. It provides a sense of permanence and centrality that extends well beyond the National Capital Region (NCR) and the United States’ national borders. It represents national power and promotes the country’s history, traditions, and culture. Through its architecture and physical design, Washington symbolizes national ideals, values, and aspirations. Washington, is also a bustling local city, where nearly three-quarter of a million people call the city home and work to shape the city’s present and future.</p>
4		Document Formatting	words missing: Approving federal master plans and construction proposals <i>in the</i> NCR as well as some District of Columbia government buildings.	U.S. General Services Administration	Acknowledged.	<p>Revise the bullet on page 4 to read as follows:</p> <p>Approving federal master plans and construction proposals in the NCR as well as some District of Columbia government buildings.</p>
6	Federal Impacts in the Region	Open Space	In the section on open space holdings, we think the deleted examples (L’Enfant Plan’s formal squares and circles, the National Mall, Manassas Battlefield, and the Chesapeake & Ohio Canal) should be reinstated to give a better sense of the very diverse open spaces rather than just using generic language. [pp. 6, 7]	The Committee of 100 on the Federal City	Acknowledged.	<p>Revise page 6 to read as follows:</p> <p>These include historic sites, natural and cultural landscapes, public plazas, urban forests, and conservation areas at places such as Piscataway Park, Prince William Forest Park, Great Falls Park, the Wolf Trap National Park for the Performing Art, the L’Enfant Plan’s formal squares and circles, the National Mall, Manassas Battlefield, and the Chesapeake & Ohio Canal. Due to the environmental value and scenic beauty provided by natural and cultural landscape resources, the federal government acquires and protects hundreds of acres of natural areas.</p>
6	Introduction Chapter	Federal Owned-Properties and Leases	(190 federal-owned buildings add: <i>many of which are historic and agency headquarter buildings</i>)	U.S. General Services Administration	Acknowledged.	Revise the sentence to read as follows:

Page Number	Section	General Topic	Comment	Source	Staff Analysis	Revision in Response to Comment
						<p>The federal government is the single largest owner and occupant of real property in the region. The U.S. General Services Administration owns, manages, constructs, and leases a total of approximately 95.6 million rentable square feet of space in the NCR There are a total of 190 federally-owned buildings, many of which are historic, and agency headquarter buildings and approximately 500 leased buildings.</p> <p>Add an additional citation, referencing the source as the U.S. General Services Administration.</p>
7	Federal Impact in the Region	Local Impacts in DC Region	<p>Federal Impact in the Region Section</p> <p>This section could benefit from demographic/equity data related to the “National Capital's Impact” section.</p>	Arlington County CPHD	Acknowledged.	<p>Revise this section to read as follows:</p> <p><i>The National Capital Region is a diverse region home to nearly 6 million people.</i></p> <p>The National Capital Region (NCR) encompasses the District of Columbia, Montgomery and Prince George's Counties of Maryland, as well as Arlington, Fairfax, Loudoun, and Prince William Counties of Virginia, along with all cities within the geographical bounds of this area. The metro region has a diverse population.</p> <p>Anchored by the iconic Nation’s Capital, Washington, DC, and bridging two states—Maryland and Virginia—this region stands as one of the most educated and affluent metropolitan areas in the United States. With over 25 universities contributing to its intellectual landscape, the NCR boasts the distinction of being the highest-educated metropolitan area in the nation for four decades running. Recent years have seen the Washington metropolitan area surpassing even the renowned San Francisco Bay Area as the highest-income metropolitan area in the country, further cementing its status as a dynamic hub of prosperity and opportunity. The federal government supports the economic and cultural vibrancy of the region.</p>
7	The L’enfant Plan Era	Planning History	<p>The Planning Legacy Section: While Native people and European settlers supported each other economically, new diseases brought by European immigrants and land conflicts decimated the indigenous population.</p> <p>This statement would benefit from acknowledging the colonial history and other harmful practices towards indigenous people (e.g., killing buffalo that was one of the indigenous people’s main food resources, banning fishing and farming and forcing them to settlements, etc.).</p>	Arlington County CPHD	<p>“For thousands of years, Native Americans relied heavily on bison for their survival and well-being, using every part of the bison for food, clothing, shelter, tools, jewelry and in ceremonies. The decimation of millions of bison in the 1800s was pivotal in the tragic devastation of Indian people and society.” [National Park Service]</p> <p>Research suggests that the American Bison (often referred to as buffalo) did exist in the present-day National Capital Region. It is estimated that the majority of the American Bison population in the region were found in Virginia. William T. Hornaday’s Map illustrating the extermination of the American bison, does not show</p>	<p>Revise the document to include a “Call Out Box” about the United States American Bison and its decline in the DC Region.</p> <p>For thousands of years, Native Americans relied heavily on bison for their survival and well-being, using every part of the bison for food, clothing, shelter, tools, jewelry and in ceremonies. The decimation of millions of bison in the 1800s was pivotal in the tragic devastation of Indian people and society.</p> <p>Research suggests that the American Bison (often referred to as buffalo) did exist in the present-day National Capital Region. It is estimated that the majority of the American Bison population in the region were found in Virginia. William T. Hornaday’s Map illustrating the extermination of the American bison, does not show any American bison west of the Allegany Mountains prior to 1730. Extirpation of bison began in east Virginia tidelands in 1730, with the last bison in the state being killed in 1797.</p> <p>European, settlers, however, attempted to domesticate the American Bison, which brought about new cattle diseases that greatly decimated the American Bison population in the region. President George Washington evening breeding American Bison at his home in Mount Vernon.</p> <p>Sources: National Park Service,</p>

Page Number	Section	General Topic	Comment	Source	Staff Analysis	Revision in Response to Comment
					<p>any American bison west of the Allegany Mountains prior to 1730. Extirpation of bison began in east Virginia tidelands in 1730, with the last bison in the state being killed in 1797.</p> <p>European, settlers, however, attempted to domesticate the American Bison, which brought about new cattle diseases that greatly decimated the American Bison population in the region. President George Washington evening breeding American Bison at his home in Mount Vernon.</p> <p>Congress passed the 1830 Indian Removal Act and the bill was officially signed into law by President Andrew Jackson on May 28, 1830. The Indian Removal Act authorized the trade of lands occupied by Native Americans, east of the Mississippi River, for lands west of the Mississippi River, prompting mass forced migrations of Native people. Furthermore, the Army, while not having an official policy, did have conscious efforts to kill as many American Bison as a way to starve Indigenous people in the midwestern United States.</p> <p>However, this primarily impacted Indigenous people outside of the present-day National Capital Region. There is not clear evidence of the intentional killing of American Bison in the DC region as a means to directly harm Native American people in the region.</p>	<p>Hornaday, William T. Map illustrating the extermination of the American bison. [Washington: Govt. Print. Off, 1889] Map. https://www.loc.gov/item/2002628195/.https://www.nps.gov/subjects/bison/people.htm https://www.smithsonianmag.com/history/where-the-buffalo-no-longer-roamed-3067904/ https://www.mountvernon.org/library/digitalhistory/digital-encyclopedia/article/buffalo/</p>
7	L’Enfant Plan Era	Planning History	At the urging of Thomas Jefferson and due to conflict with Federal Commissioners, L’Enfant resigned from the project.	Arlington County CPHD	Acknowledged.	<p>Revise the text to include the following:</p> <p>At the urging of Thomas Jefferson and due to conflict with Federal Commissioners, L’Enfant resigned from the project. L'Enfant refused to comply with the city commissioners and in extreme action</p>

Page Number	Section	General Topic	Comment	Source	Staff Analysis	Revision in Response to Comment
			Please elaborate on the reason why.			relocated the residence of Daniel Carroll, a prominent Washington resident, to clear space for an avenue. Source: Benjamin Banneker: Surveyor, Astronomer, Publisher, Patriot - by Charles A Cerami
9	Comprehensive Planning in the National Capital Region During the 20th Century	Pennsylvania Avenue Development Corporation	The Pennsylvania Avenue Development Corporation (PADC) was the precursor to the NCPC, I am surprised you omitted it from the history of planning the Capital. One of its goals was to have Historic American Building Surveys (HABS) of all the historic structures on Pennsylvania Avenue. I was the project architect for evaluating and documenting the Willard Hotel in 1981 prior to its restoration and rehabilitation. There were many other goals and results of this planning effort, where are they?	MELISSA KUENNEN	Acknowledged.	Revise the final paragraph on 9 to read the following: Leading up to the bicentennial of the United States, there was concern among federal and local officials about the ongoing deterioration along Pennsylvania Avenue's north side. Congress established the Pennsylvania Avenue Development Corporation (PADC) in 1972. The PADC oversaw the development and implementation of the 1974 Plan, the basis for the Avenue’s redevelopment for more than 40-years that created the Avenue’s design and character that we know today. The PADC was also responsible for projects which improve the public areas and ambience of Pennsylvania Avenue, as well as assembling land for housing, office buildings, retail uses, and community art spaces. The latter activity involved partnerships with the private sector to develop projects compatible with the Pennsylvania Avenue Plan.
9	Comprehensive Planning in the National Capital Region During the 20th Century	Heights of Building Act	We are pleased to see that the reference to the significance of the Height of Buildings Act is retained. [p. 9]	The Committee of 100 on the Federal City	Acknowledged.	Make no additional revisions.
10	Comprehensive Planning in the National Capital Region During the 21st Century	Document Formatting	Why does the description of the 2009 Monumental Core Framework Plan precede the description of the 2001 Memorials and Monuments Master Plan? These plans are best discussed in chronological order. Also, the parenthetical in the paragraph about the 2001 plan says “four were later removed” seeming to refer to guidelines but probably meaning locations. Clarification is needed. [pp. 10, 11]	The Committee of 100 on the Federal City	Acknowledged.	Revise the last two paragraphs of the “Comprehensive Planning in the National Capital Region During the 21st Century” section to reorganize the paragraphs. A key planning document that was completed because of the Legacy Plan is the Memorials and Museums Master Plan (2M Plan). Approved by the Commission in December 2001, the 2M Plan identified 100 potential locations for memorials and museums and provides general guidelines for their development (four were later removed from consideration). Current NCPC projects that will help achieve Legacy’s vision include the SW Ecodistrict, the Monumental Core Streetscape Guide and Construction Manual, Pennsylvania Avenue between the White House and U.S. Capitol, Independence Avenue between 3rd and 15th Streets, and connecting the Kennedy Center to the National Mall and President's Park. In 2009, the Commission released the Monumental Core Framework Plan: Connecting New Destinations with the National Mall. The Framework Plan provided more in-depth analysis and tools to advance the Legacy Plan's goals to relieve development pressure on the National Mall; better integrate federal development with city life; and support a diversifying local economy, growing population, and expanding downtown. It sought to remove or minimize infrastructure barriers and address the unintended consequences of some past development decisions. The Framework Plan responded to executive and legislative policies to use federal land, facilities, and resources more efficiently and sustainably. The Framework Plan led to precinct and corridor

Page Number	Section	General Topic	Comment	Source	Staff Analysis	Revision in Response to Comment
						level planning and design that helped move the Legacy Plan and the Framework Plan's visions toward implementation.
11	Critical Planning Challenges	Transportation	I am writing to provide input on the Draft Introduction Chapter for the Comprehensive Plan for the National Capital: Federal Elements. While the plan sets out important goals around sustainability and equity, I believe it falls short in clearly and forcefully prioritizing reduced automobile dependency in the National Capital Region. There are several references to sustainability and multimodal transportation access scattered throughout the document. However, the language is vague and lacking in specific strategies or measurable targets to reduce vehicle miles traveled and shift trips towards transit, walking, and bicycling. For a plan meant to guide the next 20 years of investment and development in the capital region, this is unacceptable. The Critical Planning Challenges section nods towards automobile infrastructure like highways having negatively impacted communities. But the document fails to connect this legacy to present-day policy and an actionable vision for a less car-dependent region. Neither the guiding principles nor Federal Elements specifically elevate reduced driving as a goal on par with sustainability and equity. In order to match the plan's lofty rhetoric around resilience, livability, and justice, it should include the following: -Clear language setting targets for mode shift from driving to other modes, reducing vehicle ownership rates, and repurposing parking/road space over the next 20 years - Planning priorities to deck over or	Karthik Balasubramanian	<p>The Introduction Chapter provides a broad framework to set the stage and guide policy recommendations for the remainder of the Federal Elements document. In 2020, NCPD adopted a revised Transportation Element that includes modified parking requirements to support reducing traffic and impervious surface.</p> <p>It is important to note that transportation challenges, particularly around commuting, remain among one of the top concerns for residents in the National Capital Region. Moreover, given the disparate commute times for employees in the region, the legacy of highway construction in the region and its relationship with neighborhood clearance, as well as the lasting health and environmental impacts of air pollution, it is important for staff to identify transportation as a critical planning challenge.</p> <p>Additional recommendations and policies for transportation will be in future updates of the Transportation Element.</p>	<p>Add a new “Critical Planning Challenge” for “Transportation and Mobility”</p> <p>The transportation landscape in the Washington, D.C. region has undergone significant shifts over the past two years, largely influenced by the COVID-19 pandemic. With remote work becoming more prevalent, downtown offices experiencing increased vacancies, and commuting patterns evolving, policymakers are confronted with the challenge of ensuring safe, reliable, and accessible transportation options for residents. Congestion remains a persistent issue, contributing to lengthy average commute times of slightly more than half-an-hour in the region. This heavy reliance on cars not only exacerbates congestion but also leads to elevated levels of air pollution, posing health risks to the population. Compounding these challenges is the lack of dedicated funding for WMATA, the region’s central public transportation system, resulting in frequent threats of service cuts that disproportionately affect low-income and marginalized communities, exacerbating transportation inequities.</p> <p>Despite decades of investment approximately four in five Washington-area commuters still drive to work. While public transit ridership in the region has historically been higher than in many other U.S. cities, the COVID-19 pandemic dealt a significant blow to public transit. 2024 daily rail ridership were approximately 50% of pre-pandemic ridership averages, while daily bus ridership has rebounded. This decline in transit ridership has been exacerbated by a decade-long trend preceding the pandemic, characterized by declining Metrorail ridership amid concerns over service reliability, safety, and the emergence of ride-sharing services. However, as planners and policymakers grapple with the complexities of transportation planning in the post-pandemic era, they must confront the broader economic, social, and environmental consequences of individual transportation choices, ensuring equitable access to reliable, climate-friendly transportation options for all residents in the face of fiscal constraints and political uncertainty.</p> <p>Incorporate new transportation-oriented objectives for Principle 2.</p>

Page Number	Section	General Topic	Comment	Source	Staff Analysis	Revision in Response to Comment
			remove inner urban highways and design walkable neighborhoods around current and planned transit stations -Alignment of transportation spending with mode shift targets instead of backwards compatibility -Emphasis on the benefits to marginalized communities brought by reduced driving and pollution Failing to firmly commit to policies that reduce driving and car dependency in the National Capital Region actually undermines the plan’s entire framing around sustainability, resilience, and equity. Automobile reliance actively works against environmental sustainability due to tailpipe emissions and fossil fuels which exacerbate climate change. Car-dependent development patterns obstruct resilience by creating more impervious surfaces prone to flooding. And marginalized communities disproportionately bear vehicular pollution burdens and fatality risks — while gaining nothing from policies that maintain the status quo. This plan cannot claim to stand for a sustainable, resilient, and equitable future vision while continuing to primarily accommodate automobile traffic flow. A future where driving is easier and more convenient for those who can afford it, while others are left behind with unsafe streets and pollution, entrenches inequality instead of remedying it. This represents a failure of vision and values. If sustainability, resilience and equity are actually priorities instead of just buzzwords, this plan must include serious commitments to reducing vehicle			

Page Number	Section	General Topic	Comment	Source	Staff Analysis	Revision in Response to Comment
			miles traveled in the NCR. Anything less is antithetical to what it claims to stand for. A truly comprehensive vision for an equitable and sustainable national capital region in 2040 and beyond requires going all-in on actively designing cities for people, not cars. This plan must be bold in demanding reduced driving through progressive policies, not settle for incrementalism that widens social divides. I urge you to strengthen the document's resolve on this existential issue before adoption.			
11	Critical Planning Challenges	Sustainability	The information in the section on Environmental Sustainability and Resiliency is interesting and useful. Washington, DC has set the goal of being carbon neutral by 2045. This addresses the issue of reducing greenhouse gas emissions and the consumption of fossil fuel energy which needs to be acknowledged and incorporated. [p. 11]	The Committee of 100 on the Federal City	<p>Released in 2023, Carbon Free DC is a long-range strategy to guide future policymaking by: Setting the vision by defining what it means to be a carbon neutral city by 2045; Charting a pathway by identifying the key milestones and actions necessary to achieve the goal of carbon neutrality by 2045; Guiding future conversations by turning community feedback into tools to incorporate equity into policy development; and Generating ideas by highlighting possible policies and programs for further discussion as other city plans are implemented and updated.</p> <p>As Carbon Free DC is a local policy developed by the District of Columbia, it does not apply to federal lands.</p> <p>Additional policy recommendations or notations about the local plans addressing climate change or carbon neutrality will be addressed in subsequent updates of the Federal Elements.</p>	Make no revision.

Page Number	Section	General Topic	Comment	Source	Staff Analysis	Revision in Response to Comment
11	Comprehensive Planning in the National Capital Region During the 21st Century	Document Formatting	Comprehensive Planning in the National Capital Region During the 21st Century Section Suggest providing a historic timeline graphic with major milestones/events to make this section less text heavy.	Arlington County CPHD	Acknowledged.	Staff will design a graphic timeline to better explain the present-day work of the agency and corresponding milestones.
12	Critical Planning Challenges	Document Formatting	Pg. 12 first paragraph capitalize Region in "National Capital region".	Jarrod Kuriger	Acknowledged.	Revise the following sentence to read as follows: There are many federally owned properties vulnerable to climate change impacts in the National Capital Region...
12	Critical Planning Challenges	Sustainability / Flooding	The draft states: "[Symbolic views to national memorials, the White House, and the U.S. Capitol may be permanently altered if large scale infrastructure solutions to mitigate increased flooding are required in the vicinity of the National Mall." [p. 12] This statement is unclear. Some examples would be helpful. What kind of "large scale infrastructure solutions to mitigate increased flooding" near the National Mall are envisioned? The statement suggests that despite the importance of symbolic views to national memorials, there may be no alternative but to "obstruct" national memorials with these large-scale infrastructure solutions. Is that the planning vision?	The Committee of 100 on the Federal City	NCPC is continuing its the partnership with DC Silver Jackets to evaluate infrastructure solutions to mitigate increased flooding in the vicinity of the National Mall. Additional policy or programmatic recommendations about large-scale infrastructure solutions to comprehensively address flooding near the National Mall. would be addressed in subsequent updates to the Environmental Elements.	Make no revision.
12	Critical Planning Challenges	Economic Equity	Under Social, Health and Racial Equity Challenges, the second paragraph refers to "the peak of the recession caused by the pandemic". [p. 12]. However, while the economy was struggling, there was never a formal declaration that we were in a recession. If there is evidence to support this statement, it should be documented.	The Committee of 100 on the Federal City	Acknowledged.	Revise the following: As the region began to recover economically from the peak of the recession job loss and uncertainty caused by the pandemic, the economic recovery has been uneven across subsets of the region's population and has highlighted inequities throughout the region.

Page Number	Section	General Topic	Comment	Source	Staff Analysis	Revision in Response to Comment
13	Critical Planning Challenges	Commemoration	<p>The Mall should have a requirement to always maintain it's historical significance with regard to renovations/expansions to any of the buildings. All of the buildings should be on the National Register of Historic Places. It is a disgrace that the recent renovation of the Air & Space museum included an entire change of the facade, substituting a historic American stone for a Canadian stone. It pains me that the incredible accomplishments of Americans celebrated in that building is now housed by Canadian stone.</p> <p>According to my math, and based on the public information available on the Air & Space museum, this decision was based on an approximately 2% price differential (i.e. savings). This is a direct violation of the Buy American Act, but a law is only a law when it's enforced. My hope is that the same mistake if not made again in the future. Thank you</p>	Gus Zacharias	<p>On October 15, 1966, the National Mall was added to the National Historic Register of Places, specifically encompassing Constitution and Pennsylvania Avenues on the north, 1st Street, N.W., on the east, Independence and Maryland Avenues on the south, and 14th Street, N.W., on the west. In 2016, the areas bounded by 3111 St., NW-SW, Independence Ave., SW, Raoul num er Wallenberg Place, SW, CSX Railroad, Potomac River, Constitution Ave., NW, 17111 St., NW, White House Grounds, and 15th St. NW were added to the National Mall Historic District on the NHRP.</p> <p>The National Air and Space Museum is a contributing resource to the National Mall Historic District listed in the National Register of Historic Places, and this project is subject to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act.</p> <p>Additional policy recommendations pertaining to materiality will be included in the agency’s update of the Memorials and Museums Master Plan as well as subsequent updates of the Visitor and Commemoration element.</p>	No additional revisions recommended.
13	Critical Planning Challenges	Commemoration	Under Commemoration Diversity Challenges, the draft acknowledges that “There is a lack of diversity and representation in today’s national memorial collection. There are also issues with the process to construct permanent memorials in the national capital, which is complex, time-consuming, and costly, which creates barriers for many	The Committee of 100 on the Federal City	Acknowledged.	<p>Revise with the following:</p> <p>Over the past decade, NCPC has worked on several plans and initiatives related to memorials that provide a comprehensive picture of Washington’s commemorative landscape and highlight barriers to equity in the representation of commemorative works. Commemorative works focused on women, African Americans, Native Americans, Asian Americans, Latino Americans, and members of the LGBTQ+ communities, as well as the many other identities, backgrounds, abilities, cultures, and beliefs of the American people, are vastly underrepresented in the national capital’s landscape.</p>

Page Number	Section	General Topic	Comment	Source	Staff Analysis	Revision in Response to Comment
			underrepresented communities.” [p. 13] While we applaud the Beyond Granite project and especially like the creative way that it brings attention to America’s people and stories, it should be acknowledged that this is not a substitute for the permanent recognition of underrepresented groups, and efforts should continue to accommodate more diverse commemorative works in the Nation’s Capital. The second sentence in the quote could be read to suggest that the barriers of time, cost and complexity of permanent memorials justify doing only temporary ones. This is likely not the intended impression or desired result. Therefore, it raises the question of how might the barriers be reduced – whether this is a systemic problem, or if there is some other “fix” that might lead to more diversity in commemoration.			<p>Conversations about who our monuments should represent are occurring around the country – the National Mall is at the front of this dialogue because it is our Nation’s collective space for commemoration. In looking to expand who and what is represented at the Mall’s monumental core, federal planners also face the issue of available land for present and future monuments. There are only a handful of sites left close to the Mall, however, there are more stories to commemorate than the available land can accommodate. While permanent commemoration provides the opportunity to firmly cement a historically significant event or person in the physical landscape of the Nation’s Capital, this permanency creates an equity challenge – restricting opportunities for future generations to celebrate historic American events and people of the future.</p> <p>Temporary artworks are seen as a viable complement to address constraints of permanent commemoration, and an idea suggested in previous NCPC studies. These art installations can provide powerful experiences that are cost-effective, faster to implement, and respond to recent events. In addition, the flexibility of temporary artworks can help to lower longstanding barriers to sponsoring new commemorative works from people, groups, or events that have been historically underrepresented on the National Mall. The introduction of new perspectives in the commemorative landscape allows for a more comprehensive story of America’s history to be told.</p> <p>With previous studies and plans in mind, NCPC in partnership with the Trust for the National Mall and the National Park Service, explored one way to expand subject matter representation and narratives with temporary artworks through the Beyond Granite pilot project. The exhibition, titled, “Pulling Together”, presented multi-layered representations of American history, experiences, and untold stories of diverse communities by six artists from across the country.</p>
13	Critical Planning Challenges	Introduction Chapter	<p>Social, Health, and Racial Equity Challenges Section</p> <p>This section could benefit from additional data related to social, health and racial equity (like access to services, education, income disparities, food security), and safety indicators (like traffic accidents and crime rate) to name a few.</p>	Arlington County CPHD	Acknowledged.	<p>Revise the text to include the following:</p> <p>Throughout the region, several of the most salient equity issues center around affordable housing, healthy food access, and exposure to pollution or other environmental hazards This Not only do these factors contributes to disparate long-term community recovery and health following the ongoing COVID-19 recovery in the region, these inequities lead to overall life-expectancy differences throughout the National Capital Region, with the greatest disparity being between residents who live in Georgetown, Washington, DC (life expectancy of 94 years) and individuals who live in the Trinidad neighborhood of Washington, DC (life expectancy of 67 years).</p>
14	Confronting the Legacy: Examining the Impacts of NCPC’s Past	Action Plan / Implementation	The sections acknowledging and identifying historical planning practices and actions that “may have resulted in inequitable outcomes for underserved communities” are important and powerful. The draft also states that “NCPC is committed to	The Committee of 100 on the Federal City	The proposed data analysis consideration for the Submission Guidelines asks for this information from applicants (see Table 6, p 17 of the Submission Guidelines) states:	No additional revisions recommended.

Page Number	Section	General Topic	Comment	Source	Staff Analysis	Revision in Response to Comment
			<p>addressing this legacy with federal and local partners to remedy negative impacts of past planning decisions.” This language affirms that NCPC is not simply looking for future plans and actions to be prospectively equitable but also taking responsibility to examine how it might redress past inequities. In Washington, DC, where Federal plans and actions like urban renewal, freeways and other activities have led to racial and economic inequity and geographic segregation, the Federal Government has an obligation to acknowledge past actions. At the same time, agencies like NCPC, GSA, NPS, and DOT should take intentional actions to remediate these inequities. Washington, DC and the surrounding region should be a national and international model.</p> <p>Further, the Submission Guidelines should address this issue, and at the very least ask whether and how a proposed project or master plan does anything to address past inequities, particularly those caused by the Federal government.</p>		<p>“If known, describe any previous impacts on underserved communities caused by the development of the federal installation where the project is located and how they have been addressed.”</p> <p>Additional policy recommendations pertaining to redressing the impacts of past policy decisions/actions for inclusion in the Federal Elements of the Comprehensive Plan will be considered during subsequent element updates.</p>	
14	Critical Planning Challenges	Document Formatting	At the end of the section on Public Space and Security, it says “and be adaptable to future security needs to balance today’s risks.” [p. 14] It is not clear what the underlined phrase means.	The Committee of 100 on the Federal City	Acknowledged.	<p>Revise the sentence to read as follows:</p> <p>It is critical to build on strong public-private partnerships; incorporate new technologies; build upon the research and lessons learned from other cities; and be adaptable in order to address future security needs while balancing today’s risks.</p>
14	Confronting the Legacy: Examining the Impacts of NCPC’s Past	Document Formatting	Under Contemporary Impacts, we suggest adding “negatively” before “impacted” in the sentence that says: “While NCPC has historically been involved with planning and development that has impacted underserved	The Committee of 100 on the Federal City	This sentence is intended to describe NCPC policies as impactful, which can be negative, positive, or neutral, depending upon the context, or perspective of the individual being impacted. As written, the sentence references the	No additional revisions are needed.

Page Number	Section	General Topic	Comment	Source	Staff Analysis	Revision in Response to Comment
			communities, NCPC is committed to addressing this legacy and working with federal and local partners to remedy negative impacts of past planning decisions.”		<p>negative impacts of NCPC policies on marginalized communities as what NCPC is seeking to address.</p> <p>While NCPC has historically been involved with planning and development that has impacted underserved communities, NCPC is committed to addressing this legacy and working with federal and local partners to remedy negative impacts of past planning decisions.”</p> <p>Adding an additional word will make the sentence redundant.</p>	
14	Confronting the Legacy: Examining the Impacts of NCPC’s Past	Transportation	Incorporate an additional practice/program of NCPC’s role in Highway Construction in the region.	NCPC Staff	<p>Acknowledged. As an agency, NCPC was heavily involved in planning for projects that established the existing highway system that outlines Washington, DC and connects the Maryland and Virginia suburbs. Through this work, NCPC and its predecessor agency</p> <p>Language has been added on page 10 of the working draft that talks about NCPC’s role in highway construction that also briefly discusses the roadways that were proposed by the steering committee that included NCPC.</p>	<p>Incorporate the following information as a new section for ”Confronting the Legacy”.</p> <p>In the mid-1950s, NCPC was part of the National Capital Regional Planning Council, which prepared a regional transportation plan that recommended the locations of new interstate highway corridors within the region. These plans were largely outlined within the 1950 Comprehensive Plan. These highways included an inner belt freeway that would surround the White House and the central business district of Washington (northern portion canceled due to citizen opposition) and an outer belt (which later would be signed as Interstate 495 as the Capital Beltway). Radial freeways were planned to link both the inner belt and the outer belt in the form of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A radial left intersecting from the western inner belt and continuing northwest along the northern edge of the Potomac River to the outer belt in the direction of Frederick, Maryland (loosely Interstate 270, portion within district cancelled due to citizen opposition). Two radials left intersecting the inner belt near the National Mall traveling in a westerly and southerly direction across the Potomac River into Northern Virginia (loosely Interstates 66 and 395 respectively). A route entering the area from a northeasterly direction from the outer belt traveling southwest and splitting near Bladensburg, Maryland whereas one split would travel in a southerly direction paralleling the Anacostia River toward the southern outer belt (loosely Interstate 295) and another route paralleling the New York Avenue corridor within the district (portion canceled due to citizen opposition). A short route connecting the Anacostia River freeway with the proposed inner belt (loosely Intestate 695). Both the northern portion near the White House and the route paralleling New York Avenue were canceled due to civic opposition. <p>Highway construction in the region improved transportation efficiency, reduced congestion on city streets, and enhanced connectivity between urban and suburban areas. While new highways provided easier access to employment centers and amenities for residents across the region, the development of the freeway system drastically changed the city’s social and demographic makeup.</p>

Page Number	Section	General Topic	Comment	Source	Staff Analysis	Revision in Response to Comment
						<p>The construction of I-395/695 alone displaced at least 4,700 people in 1960 and destroyed at least 1,400 homes in the Southwest community alone. In response to the urban renewal and freeway construction programs in Southwest, Washington, DC, Elizabeth “Libby” Rowe, the first female Chair of the National Capital Park and Planning Commission, authorized the development of a “Social Impact of a Highway on an Urban Community” study. The report documented the social implications and relocation requirements of the North Leg of the Inner Loop in 1963, in partnership with the District Office of Health and Welfare considering the impact of physical changes on residents and the need for comprehensive planning and support services to address their needs and concerns.</p> <p>Ultimately, the report concluded that “... a major highway programmed through a specific section of an urban area influences life within the whole community-those who remain, those who are displaced, other neighborhoods, public officialdom, private business and future projects. The engineer, the planner, the public official, the social scientist, the resident, the businessman, all citizens have a common objective-the betterment of their city. Only through their mutual concern, cooperation and respect can it be achieved.”</p>
15	Confronting the Legacy: Examining the Impacts of NCPC’s Past	Document Formatting	Similarly, in the sentence “While many of the aforementioned policies had positive impacts and enhanced the quality of life throughout the region, other presented barriers to equity” [p. 15], it is not evident what that means. Is the statement meant to mean “barriers to equitable treatment of underserved communities”? More explanation or clarification is needed.	The Committee of 100 on the Federal City	Acknowledged.	<p>Revise the sentence to read as follows:</p> <p>While many of NCPC policies, projects, and programs explored in this chapter have had positive impacts and enhanced the quality of life throughout the region, other policies practices, and program presented barriers to equity.</p>
17	The Planning Framework: Vision and Guiding Principles	Document Formatting	We recognize that the existing Introduction Chapter and the Legacy Plan use the term “themes”, however, we suggest that the use of this concept be re-examined. Most of these themes are more like principles and others are like objectives. It is confusing to put a theme under one principle when the theme should apply to them all. In addition, it is not a useful planning term; it weakens the well-established planning framework of Goals, Objectives, Policies, and Implementation Measures. The second paragraph of the Introduction describes the	The Committee of 100 on the Federal City	<p>The nomenclature is intended to broadly describe the purpose and vision for each principle with examples. It is helpful to understand the framework as the following:</p> <p>Principle: a broad action statement of NCPC activities.</p> <p>Objectives: statements that demonstrate how and provide guidance as to how to achieve the principle.</p>	Revise the section “Planning Framework: Vision and Guiding Principles,” to eliminate the use of the “themes and replace with “goals.”

Page Number	Section	General Topic	Comment	Source	Staff Analysis	Revision in Response to Comment
			various elements as statements of “principles, goals, and planning policies for the growth and development...” The themes could easily be converted to goals or objectives giving the document clearer structure, more clarity and accountability.		Themes: describe the broad intention and purpose of the principle and objectives. The “themes” could be clarified and better describe their purposes if they were identified as “goals.” This would support giving the planning framework more structure and accountability.	
17	Confronting the Legacy: Examining the Impacts of NCPC’s Past	Document Formatting	In the section on Expanding the Federal Footprint in Local Communities, it refers to “equity areas.” Are there identified and mapped equity areas in Washington, DC? [p. 17] The examples in the section on Expanding the Federal Footprint in Local Communities are helpful in understanding what is meant by “equity impacts”. It would be helpful to include them with a new definition of equity impacts as well. [p. 17]	The Committee of 100 on the Federal City	Acknowledged.	Revise the sentence to read as follows: As a result, many new federal campuses were developed across the District of Columbia, in communities that are now classified as equity emphasis areas, by the Washington Metropolitan Council of Governments.
17	The Planning Framework: Vision and Guiding Principles	Document Formatting	Also, it would be helpful to suggest some examples of actions that might remediate the harms. [p. 17]	The Committee of 100 on the Federal City	The new Guiding Principle 4 and the corresponding key objectives provide the specific ways in which NCPC, given the agency’s existing scope and responsibilities, can work to remediate the harms of its past practices and policies.	No additional revisions are needed.
17	Confronting NCPC Past	Document Formatting	Contemporary analysis of the effects of NCPC or its predecessor’s practices, indicate that these programs have contributed to underserved communities feeling a reduced a sense of belonging throughout the nation’s capital; experiencing a reduction in community cultural wealth; and having less access to parks and green spaces. Contemporary analysis by whom? Use of active voice is important in acknowledging past harms.	Arlington County CPHD	NCPC staff developed a methodology to establish equity and sustainability principles that could guide the revised Introduction Chapter. The equity and sustainability principles were created using an equity framework that considers both the process to develop planning policies as well as the short-term and long-term outcomes of those policy decisions. Specifically, NCPC staff Conducted preliminary background research to	Revise the text to include an endnote that identifies the different resources used to understand the contemporary impacts of legacy practices and policies.

Page Number	Section	General Topic	Comment	Source	Staff Analysis	Revision in Response to Comment
					<p>identify potential NCPC policies that contributed to inequitable community design throughout the National Capital Region. This included reviewing NCPC generated planning documents created prior to 1973, scanning local newspapers and scholarly articles, books, and conducting local walking tours.</p> <p>Identified legacy practices and policies established or implemented by NCPC that were designed to advantage or disadvantage a group of people on with respect to race, ethnicity, religion, income, geography, gender identity, sexual orientation, and disability.</p> <p>Analyzed the contemporary impacts of unjust practices and policies. This included conducting oral history interviews and conducting a targeted literature scan exploring the impacts of policies identified in step two.</p>	
17	Confronting NCPC's Past	Document Formatting	<p>As a result, underserved communities in the region, both social and geographic, carry a disproportionate burden of air-pollution, flood risks, food insecurity, commute times, and other environmental hazards.</p> <p>Suggest that this statement also be part of the “Social, Health, and Racial Equity Challenges” section highlighting disparities and to back it up with statistics if available to support it.</p>	Arlington County CPHD	See previous response.	Revise the text to include an endnote that identifies the different resources used to understand the contemporary impacts of legacy practices and policies.
17	Introduction Chapter		accessible should be accessible	U.S. General Services Administration	Staff is not clear on the meaning and intention of this comment.	Make no additional revisions.

Page Number	Section	General Topic	Comment	Source	Staff Analysis	Revision in Response to Comment
18	The Planning Framework: Vision and Guiding Principles	Document Formatting	The 4th bullet is a new objective under Principle 1 that says “Prioritize accessibility of the public to federal properties, when applicable.” [p. 18] If we assume correctly that this refers to security issues, it would be better to say “when possible”. Principle 1 has objectives and “themes” .	The Committee of 100 on the Federal City	<p>Accessibility is a legal concept often referring to the needs of individuals in public spaces are considered and incorporated in the design of a facility, in accordance with the American Disabilities Act (ADA).</p> <p>The intention of this key objective is to encourage, to the greatest extent possible, federal facilities are designed with public spaces, that reflect our democratic ideals of openness and participation. This would include meeting the standards and requirements of ADA, as well as designing facilities with public plazas, connected to the surrounding community, etc.</p> <p>However, as written, this key objective could be misinterpreted to solely refer to space and building design the comply with ADA.</p>	<p>Revise the sentence to read as follows:</p> <p>Prioritize the public’s access to federal properties, when possible.</p>
18	Workplace	Central Employment Area	Parenthetically, the whole concept of the Central Employment Area has been so weirdly gerrymandered that it no longer really makes sense and should be reconsidered. [p. 18]	The Committee of 100 on the Federal City	Any additional policy or programmatic recommendations about the boundaries of the Central Employment Areas would be considered in subsequent updates to the Workplace Element.	Make no additional revisions.
19	The Planning Framework: Vision and Guiding Principles	Sustainability	Principle 2 should include the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions and consumption of fossil fuel energy in the narrative and the objectives. We suggest redoing the key objectives for Principle 2 to incorporate resilient and sustainable concepts and adding the objective of reducing greenhouse gas emissions and the consumption of fossil fuel energy and make the objectives stronger action statements. [pp. 19, 20] Specifically:	The Committee of 100 on the Federal City	Staff appreciate the suggestion to make the language stronger to support the goals of reducing greenhouse gas emissions and consumption of fossil fuel energy.	<p>Revise the key objectives for Principle 2 to read as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and consumption of fossil fuel energy. • Evaluate and mitigate environmental impacts in communities. • Advance climate change mitigation, adaption and resilience planning for site and building design, including rehabilitation. • Reinvest in the efficient use of federal facilities and plan for the long-term use and space needs of the federal workforce. • Concentrate more intense federal development near existing high-capacity transit routes and other multi-modal facilities. • Encourage pedestrian oriented development, mixed uses, and other compact forms of development. • Promote non-auto transportation alternatives, including transit, walking, and bicycling. • Preserve open space, natural beauty, and critical environmental areas.

Page Number	Section	General Topic	Comment	Source	Staff Analysis	Revision in Response to Comment
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reduce the causes of climate change impacts: greenhouse gas emissions and consumption of fossil fuel energy. • Evaluate and mitigate environmental impacts in communities. • Advance climate change mitigation, adaption and resilience planning for site and building design, including rehabilitation. • Reinvest in the efficient use of federal facilities and plan for the long-term use and space needs of the federal workforce. • Concentrate more intense federal development near existing high-capacity transit routes and other multi-modal facilities. • Encourage pedestrian oriented development, mixed uses, and other compact forms of development. • Promote non-auto transportation alternatives, including transit, walking, and bicycling. • Preserve open space, natural beauty, and critical environmental areas. The “theme” descriptions under Principle 2 should not be called themes but rather be descriptive of the principle and elaborations of the objectives. 			
19	Framework and Principles	Cultural Resources	Also, we note that cultural resources are not mentioned in the objectives but should be. [pp. 19, 20]	The Committee of 100 on the Federal City	Staff agrees that cultural resources should be identified as materials to be protected from the adverse impacts of climate change and are vital components of resilient and sustainable development.	Revise the second objective in Principle 2 to read as follows: Preserve open space, natural beauty, cultural resources, and critical environmental areas.
19	Planning Principles	Action Plan / Implementation	<p>The Federal Elements encourage resilient planning practices and sustainable development. The plan includes Capital Region.</p> <p>Does this text explain what has been achieved in relation to each objective? If so, it might be better</p>	Arlington County CPHD	The Introduction Chapter helps to establish the framework of the Federal Elements of the Comprehensive Plan. Information pertaining to outcomes or achievement in each objective would be addressed in the specific elements Urban Design; Federal	Make no additional revisions.

Page Number	Section	General Topic	Comment	Source	Staff Analysis	Revision in Response to Comment
			to bold each objective above this text and insert the relevant text/achievement under each bulleted objective.		<p>Workplace; Foreign Missions & International Organizations; Transportation; Parks & Open Space; Federal Environment; Historic Preservation; and Visitors & Commemoration.</p> <p>Information pertaining to accomplishments or achievements would be included in subsequent tracking documents.</p>	
19	The Planning Framework: Vision and Guiding Principles	Document Formatting	The rewording of the last sentence in the section under Principle 1 doesn't make sense. How does one promote the highest quality of design "safely and efficiently"? The original sentence seemed to be saying that federal facilities should accommodate their functions safely and efficiently. [p. 19]	The Committee of 100 on the Federal City	Acknowledged.	<p>Revise the sentence to read as follows:</p> <p>Regardless of their location, federal facilities are expected to safely accommodate government functions and be designed in a manner that reflects our democratic ideals of openness and participation.</p>
20	Framework and Principles	Document Formatting	There are no themes under Principle 3, but the second set of bullets has a couple issues: the first two seem duplicative and the starting verbs for each don't work with the lead in (except for the last one). [pp. 20, 21]	The Committee of 100 on the Federal City	Acknowledged.	<p>Revise the objectives in Principle 3 to read as follows:</p> <p>A key goal of this principle is to ensure that the federal government will continue to be a major generator of growth and development in the NCR. Federally owned and leased facilities are located throughout the region, and federal activities significantly impact the region's economic health, welfare, and stability.</p> <p>Given the distribution of federal facilities across the NCR, the Commission and other federal agencies should work closely with local authorities and affected community groups in areas where federal activities are located or are proposed to be located.</p> <p>Finally, the Commission can strongly promote intergovernmental cooperation and public participation in the preparation and review of federal policies, plans, and programs in the region by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Coordinate federal plans, projects, and capital improvement programming with local, regional, and state plans and programs. • Coordinating federal plans, projects, and capital improvement programming with local, regional, and state governments so federal agencies can develop best approaches to land use, economic development, transportation, and other potential impacts in communities. • Encouraging federal agencies planning development projects to participate in the Commission's "early consultation" program to inform non-federal officials and community organizations about such projects prior to their submission to the Commission. • Providing public participation opportunities in the Commission's preparation and review of federal policies, plans, projects, and capital improvement programs. • Evaluating applicant agency's local community participation, outreach, and engagement with underserved communities to determine its effectiveness.

Page Number	Section	General Topic	Comment	Source	Staff Analysis	Revision in Response to Comment
						<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Assisting federal agencies in resolving issues with affected non-federal agencies and community groups in preparing proposed policies, plans, and programs. Coordinating the federal interest review of local, regional, and state plans and programs. Promoting information-sharing and data exchanges with state, regional, and local authorities, and local community groups.
20	Introduction Chapter	Principle 2	add to last sentence paragraph #1 : <i>and reduce the need for federal parking facilities and the associated costs and land use.</i>	U.S. General Services Administration	Acknowledged.	<p>Revise the following sentence to read:</p> <p>These principles benefit the federal government and the region <i>and reduce the need for federal parking facilities and the associated costs and land use.</i></p>
21	Framework and Principles	Document Formatting	In Principle 4 the “key theme” should simply be an explanation of the guiding principle. The objectives should also include actions to remediate past harms. Also, the last sentence/paragraph under Principle 4 is not a complete sentence. Even more importantly, however, is that there is nothing under Principle 4 that addresses the need to act with intentionality to redress past harms. It is not enough to simply recognize and acknowledge the actions. [pp. 21, 22]	The Committee of 100 on the Federal City	<p>The “key theme” nomenclature can be confusing for the framework of the principles, as most of the key themes are merely describing the goals and intention of the principle statement. Using a term, such as “goals” would help provide additional clarity.</p> <p>Regarding the past harms – NCPC’s authority to directly ameliorate the negative conditions created by past policies, practices, or programs is limited. Prior to the adoption of the 1973 Home Rule Act, NCPC had a more direct role in local planning functions, such as housing, transportation, parks, schools and zoning.</p> <p>Today, the agency’s authority is primarily approval or advisory for development projects established by federal agencies or projects where there are federal interest. Much of the local land-use and planning decisions are handled by the District government.</p> <p>The key objectives are designed to directly address the past harms, while acknowledging the agency’s current scope and authority.</p>	<p>Revise the section “Planning Framework: Vision and Guiding Principles,” to eliminate the use of the “themes and replace with “goals.”</p> <p>Revise the narrative in Principle 4 to read as follows:</p> <p>The key goal of this guiding principle is to advance equity as a central component of the agency’s planning policies. While NCPC has played a key role in shaping the NCR into a vibrant and culturally diverse region, it is imperative that the agency strive to recognize and remedy, to the greatest extent possible, historical planning practices that may have resulted in inequitable outcomes for underserved communities and how those policies have shaped communities today.</p>
21	Planning Principles	Document Formatting	Principle 4: Incorporate Planning Objectives that Promote Equitable Development and Opportunity for Underserved Communities	Arlington County CPHD	Acknowledged.	Revise the text to make the formatting of “Principle 4” to be consistent with the formatting of other Principles in this section.

Page Number	Section	General Topic	Comment	Source	Staff Analysis	Revision in Response to Comment
			Bold this principle.			
21	Planning Principles	Typographical correction	Suggest rewording to "Principle 4: Promote equitable development.... Communities”	Arlington County CPHD	Acknowledged.	Revise Principle 4 to read as follows: Promote Equitable Development and Opportunity for Underserved Communities
22	Planning Program: Federal Elements	Document Formatting	We think that The Planning Program: Federal Elements section should come sooner in the Introduction Chapter since it describes the structure.	The Committee of 100 on the Federal City	Acknowledged.	Move this section prior to the “The Planning Framework: Vision and Guiding Principles” or incorporate visually interesting design to distinguish this section from the section titled “The Planning Framework: Vision and Guiding Principles.”
22	Planning Program: Federal Elements	Document Formatting	The modifications in a couple of the descriptions of the federal elements seem to undermine the concept of sustainability and what has been deleted is important to include, e.g., “adequate resources for future generations and appropriate balance between open space and the built environment” in the Parks & Open Space Element description and “environmental stewardship and sustainability” in the Environment Element description. These concepts should be reinstated.	The Committee of 100 on the Federal City	The revisions to the descriptions of the Federal elements in the draft document, are consistent with the new descriptions provided in the Federal Element descriptions provided in previous element updates.	<p>Revise the descriptions of the Federal Elements to be consistent with the language that is included in each of the individual element goals.</p> <p>Urban Design: promote quality design and development in the National Capital Region that reinforces its unique role as the nation’s capital and creates a welcoming and livable environment for people.</p> <p>Federal Workplace: locate the federal workforce in a way that enhances the efficiency, productivity, value, and public image of the federal government; strengthens the National Capital Region’s economic well-being; and emphasizes the District of Columbia as the seat of the federal government.</p> <p>Foreign Missions & International Organizations Element: plan a secure and welcoming environment for the location of diplomatic and international activities in Washington, DC. This should be done in a manner that is appropriate to the status and dignity of these activities; enhances Washington’s role as one of the world’s great capitals; and is sensitive to the character and use patterns of the city’s neighborhoods.</p> <p>Transportation Elements: support the development and maintenance of a multimodal transportation system that meets the needs of federal workers, residents, and visitors, while improving regional mobility, transportation access, and environmental quality.</p> <p>Parks and Open Space Element: Protect and enhance the National Capital Region’s parks and open space system—for recreation; as commemorative and symbolic space; as social, civic, and celebratory space; and to provide environmental and educational benefits.</p> <p>Federal Environment Element: Promote the National Capital Region as a leader in environmental stewardship and sustainability. The federal government seeks to preserve and enhance the quality of the region’s natural resources to ensure that their benefits are available for future generations to enjoy.</p> <p>Historic Preservation Element: Preserve, protect, and rehabilitate historic properties in the National Capital Region and promote design and development that is respectful of the guiding principles established by the Plan of the City of Washington and the symbolic character of the capital’s setting</p>

Page Number	Section	General Topic	Comment	Source	Staff Analysis	Revision in Response to Comment
						Visitor and Commemoration Element: Provide a positive and memorable experience for all visitors to the National Capital Region in a way that showcases the institutions of American culture and democracy, supports planning goals, and enhances activities that are unique to visiting the nation’s capital.
22	Planning Program: Federal Elements	Embedding Equity in Federal Elements Goals	Also, none of the element descriptions suggest anything about equity.	The Committee of 100 on the Federal City	The Introduction Chapter is designed to set the stage and provide a broad policy framework for the remainder of the Introduction Chapter. As the remaining elements, of the Federal Elements of the Comprehensive Plan are updated on an iterative basis, after significant staff analysis, future updates to the Federal Elements will incorporate equity considerations and their descriptions may be modify to better incorporate equity goals.	No additional revisions needed.
22	The Planning Program: Federal Elements	Document Formatting	The Planning Program: Federal Elements Section How does this section listing of the various elements fit with the above section related to the guiding principles?	Arlington County CPHD	Other comments have suggested relocating this section to the beginning of the document. Staff agrees that moving this section to beginning of this section may make the document more reader friendly.	Move this section prior to the “The Planning Framework: Vision and Guiding Principles” or incorporate visually interesting design to distinguish this section from the section titled “The Planning Framework: Vision and Guiding Principles.”
22	The Planning Program: Federal Elements	Document Formatting	The eight Federal Elements are Urban Design; Federal Workplace; Foreign Missions & International Organizations; Transportation; Parks & Open Space; Federal Environment; Historic Preservation; and Visitors & Commemoration. Suggest adding a headline titled “Federal Elements” before this text.	Arlington County CPHD	Acknowledged.	Staff will incorporate interesting visual and text formatting to highlight the eight Federal Elements.
22	The Planning Program: Federal Elements	Document Formatting	Urban Design Element: Promote quality design and development in the region that reinforces its unique role as the nation’s capital	Arlington County CPHD	Acknowledged.	Staff will incorporate interesting visual and text formatting to highlight the eight Federal Elements.

Page Number	Section	General Topic	Comment	Source	Staff Analysis	Revision in Response to Comment
			and creates a welcoming and livable environment for people. Is this the overarching goal for each element? If so, suggest adding a headline titled “Federal Elements Goals” before this text. This could be better communicated in a chart format with the element name as one column and element goal as another.			
23	The Planning Program: Federal Elements	Document Formatting	Case studies: [This section incorporates additional information, data visualizations, or other highlighted text that provides additional context to the Introduction Chapter narrative but is not part of the main narrative. This information will be graphically arranged to highlight its importance and will be incorporated into the narrative text at the time of final adoption. Looking forward to seeing the data visualization!	Arlington County CPHD	Acknowledged.	Make no additional text revisions.

Page Number	Section	General Topic	Comment	Source	Staff Analysis	Revision in Response to Comment
24	Definitions	Definitions	We strongly recommend that more definitions be included in this introductory chapter. We note that the few definitions that are in the draft are drawn from the Executive Orders. But this is new territory for many and it is important to provide a complete set of definitions (with examples, where appropriate) to give readers a clear understanding of the substantive points in the chapter and to suggest relevant questions and actions. Examples of some definitions that at the very least should be included are equitable development, equity practices (in planning terms – see 1st paragraph under Critical Planning Challenges), sustainability, resiliency, adaptation, and adaptation planning (used in new section on climate change under Principle 2).	The Committee of 100 on the Federal City	<p>Incorporating additional definitions support with clarifying the intentions of the Introduction Chapter framing and support in a consistent interpretation of the Federal Elements of the Comprehensive Plan.</p> <p>Future definitions can be incorporated in subsequent revisions to the Federal Elements.</p>	<p>Revise the Definitions section to include definitions of the following terms:</p> <p>Equitable Development: is an approach for meeting the needs of underserved communities through policies and programs that reduce disparities while fostering places that are healthy and vibrant. It is increasingly considered an effective placed-based action for creating strong and livable communities (https://www.epa.gov/environmentaljustice/equitable-development-and-environmental-justice)</p> <p>Sustainability: To create and maintain conditions under which humans and nature can exist in productive harmony and that permit fulfilling social, economic, and other requirements of present and future generations.</p> <p>Resilience: A capability to anticipate, prepare for, respond to, and recover from significant multi-hazard threats with minimum damage to social well-being, the economy, and the environment.</p> <p>Adaptation - Adjustment in natural or human systems in response to actual or expected climatic stimuli or their effects, which moderates harm or exploits beneficial opportunities. Various types of adaptation can be distinguished, including anticipatory and reactive adaptation, private and public adaptation, and autonomous and planned adaptation.</p>

Appendix C

Updated Introduction Chapter *Mark-Up*

identifying changes from the Introduction Chapter, as released in December 2023
to the Introduction Chapter, revised in 2024.

~~DRAFT~~

Introduction Chapter-~~Update~~
Comprehensive Plan for the National Capital:
Federal Elements

~~December 7, 2023~~

June 2024

National Capital Planning Commission

Introduction Chapter

~~Table of~~ Contents

Introduction..... 4

NCPC’s Role and Responsibility 4

Federal Impact on the Region 5

The Planning Legacy 8

Confronting the Legacy: Examining the Impacts of NCPC’s Past Planning Efforts 13

Critical Planning Challenges..... 17

The Planning Framework: Vision and Guiding Principles..... 22

The Planning Program: Federal Elements 29

Definitions..... 32

Endnotes..... 33

Comprehensive Plan for the National Capital: Federal Elements

Message from the Chair

~~[Will be updated with new text when the draft is prepared for Final Adoption]~~

~~As we celebrate the National Capital Planning Commission’s centennial in 2024, we are reminded of how much our capital has evolved. The nation’s capital is a symbol of our democracy, and our values are represented in physical form through civic buildings, monuments and memorials, expansive public spaces, and thriving communities. NCPC’s centennial is a time to reflect on how the lessons of the past can inform today’s planning for a resilient and equitable region, and how the federal government can lead by example.~~

~~As NCPC’s primary policy document, the Comprehensive Plan for the National Capital: Federal Elements plays an important role in guiding the region’s future development while preserving its history, culture, and natural beauty. It helps us honor our past while moving forward sustainably. Building upon a rich legacy of planning, the Commission responds to changing needs and opportunities, ensuring the Comprehensive Plan remains relevant and effective.~~

~~I am pleased that the Comprehensive Plan’s Federal Elements are tackling critical planning challenges like environmental sustainability, equity, and the changing federal footprint for workplaces. This guidance helps today’s leaders ensure a more resilient, vibrant capital. It sets a standard for other communities worldwide, showing how comprehensive planning can make a place thrive for future generations.~~

Teri Hawks Goodman

Chair

Introduction

National capitals have distinct planning and development needs that distinguish them from other cities. While they share many traits with other metropolitan areas, by virtue of their national constituency they have unique qualities and requirements that must be addressed in their planning. The Comprehensive Plan for the National Capital (Comprehensive Plan) recognizes that the nation's capital is more than a concentration of federal employees and facilities. Washington, DC is the symbolic heart of the United States. It provides a sense of permanence and centrality that extends well beyond the National Capital Region (NCR) and the United States' national borders. It represents national power and promotes the country's history, traditions, and culture. Through its architecture and physical design, Washington symbolizes national ideals, values, and aspirations. Washington is also a bustling local city that nearly 700,000 people call home and work to shape the city's present and future.¹

The Comprehensive Plan is comprised of two parts—the Federal Elements and the District Elements. ~~The Federal Elements are prepared by the~~ The National Capital Planning Commission (NCPC), a federal agency, ~~prepares the Federal Elements.~~ The ~~Comprehensive Plan's~~ Federal Elements are a statement of principles, goals, and planning policies for the growth and development of the national capital during the next 20 years. ~~They address~~ The NCPC prepared document addresses matters related to federal properties and interests in the NCR. The Comprehensive Plan's eight Federal Elements ~~in the Comprehensive Plan~~ include Urban Design, Federal Workplace, Foreign Missions & International Organizations, Transportation, Parks & Open Space, Environment, Historic Preservation, and Visitors & Commemoration.

The District ~~Elements are prepared by the District~~ of Columbia Office of Planning (DCOP), on behalf of the ~~mayor and are~~ Mayor, prepares the District Elements which are reviewed and adopted by the Council of the District of Columbia. ~~The District's Comprehensive Plan is organized around~~ The District Elements consist of three Context Elements, twelve Citywide Elements, and ten Area Elements. NCPC reviews the District Elements to ensure they do not negatively impact the federal ~~government's~~ government's interests or functions in Washington.

Celebrating 100 Years of Planning for the National Capital [Call Out Box]

NCPC commemorated its 100th anniversary in 2024. The agency's centennial offered a unique opportunity to reflect on the history and evolution of planning in Washington, DC and the surrounding region, acknowledge barriers and inequities created by past planning practices, and consider lessons learned to inform the agency's work today and into the future. To find out more visit: <https://centennial.ncpc.gov/>

NCPC's Role and Responsibility

The region's significant federal presence requires extensive planning and coordination. As the central planning agency for the federal government in the National Capital Region (NCR), NCPC is charged with planning for the appropriate and orderly development of the NCR region and the conservation of its important natural and historical features. The Commission coordinates all federal planning activities in the ~~region~~ NCR and has several planning functions.

Commission responsibilities in the NCR include:

- Preparing long-range plans and special studies to ensure the effective functioning of the federal government ~~in the NCR~~.

- Preparing the Comprehensive Plan for the National Capital jointly with the District of Columbia government.
- Approving federal master plans and construction proposals ~~NCR~~ as well as some District of Columbia government buildings.
- Reviewing proposed District of Columbia master plans, project plans, and capital improvement programs, as well as changes in zoning regulations.
- Reviewing plans for federal buildings and installations ~~in the NCR~~.
- Reviewing comprehensive plans, area plans, and capital improvement programs proposed by state, regional, and local agencies for their potential impact on the federal establishment.
- Preparing the Federal Capital Improvements Program and monitoring and evaluating federal capital investment projects proposed by federal agencies ~~in the NCR~~.

Section 4(a) of the National Capital Planning Act of 1952 requires that NCPC prepare and adopt a “comprehensive, consistent, and coordinated plan for the National Capital.”¹² The Comprehensive Plan’s Federal Elements ~~of the Comprehensive Plan~~ are the blueprint for the long-term development of the nation’s capital and is the decision-making framework for Commission actions on plans, proposals, and policies submitted for its review. The Commission’s comprehensive planning function involves preparing and adopting the Federal Elements, as well as reviewing the District Elements for their impact on the federal interest as described in the Federal Elements.

The Comprehensive Plan: Shared Stewardship

Collectively, federal, regional, and local planning plays an important role in the character, development and growth, and livability of Washington. A vibrant ~~District of Columbia~~ Washington, DC should accommodate both the needs of our national government as well as enhance the lives of the city’s residents, workers, and visitors. It should embody an urban form and character that builds upon a rich history, reflects the diversity of people, and embodies the enduring values of the American republic. Furthermore, it creates a development trajectory in which residents participate in day-to-day life, in a manner that leverages the unique assets and identity of the National Capital Region.

The Comprehensive Plan for the National Capital is comprised of two parts: the Federal Elements and the District Elements. The Comprehensive Plan’s Federal Elements ~~of the Comprehensive Plan~~ are developed by ~~the National Capital Planning Commission, focusing NCPC and focus~~ on the entire NCR ~~and the~~. The District Elements are prepared by the District of Columbia’s Office of Planning, ~~focusing on the District~~. Combined, these elements constitute the District’s mandated planning documents, and guide development in ~~the District of Columbia~~ Washington to balance federal and local interests with a collective responsibility for the natural, cultural, economic, equity, and social environments. ~~Many~~ Both the Federal and District Elements of the ~~elements~~ Comprehensive Plan have local, regional, and national significance; and ~~together they~~ advance Washington’s great design and planning heritage.

~~Together, the~~ The National Capital Planning Commission and the District of Columbia Office of Planning work together to enhance Washington, ~~DC~~ as a great national capital and plan for its equitable development through inspiring civic architecture, rich landscapes, distinct neighborhoods, vibrant public spaces, environmental stewardship, and thoughtful land-use management.

Federal Impact ~~in~~ on the Region

¹ ~~The National Capital Planning Act of 1952.~~

The National Capital Region is a diverse region home to more than 5 million people.³

The NCR encompasses the District of Columbia, Montgomery, and Prince George’s Counties in Maryland, as well as Arlington, Fairfax, Loudoun, and Prince William Counties in Virginia, along with all cities within the geographical bounds of this area.

Anchored by the iconic nation’s capital, Washington, DC, and bridging two states—Maryland and Virginia—this region stands as one of the most educated and affluent metropolitan areas in the United States. With over 25 universities contributing to its intellectual landscape, the NCR boasts the distinction of being one of the highest-educated metropolitan areas in the nation. The region is also one of the most diverse, with nearly 175 different languages being spoken. The median household income in the NCR has increased by 23 percent since 2016, further cementing its status as one of the highest-income metropolitan areas in the country and dynamic hub of prosperity and opportunity.^{4,5} The federal government supports the economic and cultural vibrancy of the region.

The National Capital Region draws millions of visitors to its national memorials, museums, and other destinations.

The federal government exerts a powerful influence on the region’s image, appearance, and livability. Americans have special aspirations for Washington, DC and the surrounding region because it is the nation’s capital and symbolic heart of the country. They expect their seat of government to set the national standard for beautiful and inspiring civic architecture and landscapes, efficient transportation, environmental stewardship, and land-use management that respects Washington’s great urban design heritage. Since the establishment of the city in the late 18th century, the federal government has played an active role in its planning and development to ensure that the nation’s capital meets these expectations. In many cases federal laws, regulations, policies, and funding decisions direct activities in the region. Existing federal laws and policies recognize and give priority to Washington, DC as the established seat of the national government.

There are more than 230 memorials and museums in the city and surrounding environs. In 2022, Washington attracted approximately 20 million domestic visitors⁶ and 1.3 million international visitors,² generating about \$8.1 billion for the local economy.^{3,7} The tourism sector is strengthened by the large number of federal visitor attractions in the area. Heritage tourists, who constitute the leading growth sector in national tourism, are drawn by cultural resources such as memorials, museums, and historic sites. The region continues to be enriched through the creation of new national memorials and museums.

Washington, DC and the NCR are also one of the world’s most important diplomatic centers. In 2013, there were 322 chanceries (chancery and chancery annexes), 78 ambassador residences, and 46 missions to the Organization of American States located within Washington, DC.^{4,8} In addition to their role in promoting peace and stability among nations, foreign missions also have a positive economic impact in the region due to their ability to attract visitors and generate country-to-country business opportunities. The diplomatic and international community continues to be a source of economic growth in Washington as it provides employment and attracts international culture and commerce.

The federal government is the single largest employer in the National Capital Region.

²Downtown Business Improvement District Corporation, “State of Downtown 2022.”

³Destination DC, “Washington, DC Welcomes 20.7 Million Domestic Visitors in 2022 Who Spent \$8.1 Billion.”

⁴United States Department of State, “Office of Foreign Missions.”

The federal government continues to be the single largest employer in the region, even though the federal share of total regional employment has declined since 1990. In 2013, approximately 12.3 percent of the total regional workforce was federal. In 2022, approximately ~~439~~436,000 federal employees worked in the NCR, ~~accounting for 11 percent in a region of the total regional workforce: four million workers.~~⁹ Of the total federal workforce, approximately ~~48~~47 percent worked in Washington, DC; ~~30~~31 percent in Virginia; and 22 percent in Maryland.⁵¹⁰

The federal government spends billions on procurement and contracting activities in the National Capital Region.

While the size of the federal workforce has decreased since the 1990's, federal procurement and private-sector contracting ~~has~~have increased. Regional federal procurement spending grew from approximately \$32.3 billion in 2001 to more than \$80 billion in 2010.^{6711.12} Most of the growth was due to large procurements for homeland security and defense. In Fiscal Year 2017, the federal government accounted for approximately 30 percent of the Washington region's economy, which included \$78 billion for federal procurement.⁸¹³ Federal procurement spending saw an increase in 2020 and 2021 due to pandemic relief aid packages. Between 2019 and 2023 the average federal capital investment within the NCR ~~has~~ was \$~~989~~846 million.¹⁴ However, the recent fiscal outlook suggests increased budget constraints that are pushing agencies to achieve their missions with greater efficiencies, limited budgets, and reduced spending on federal contracts.

The federal government leases or owns a significant amount of space in the region.

The federal government is the single largest owner and occupant of real property in the region. The U.S. General Services Administration (GSA) owns, manages, constructs, and leases a total of approximately 95.6 million rentable square feet of space in the NCR ~~(190 federal-owned buildings and~~¹⁵ There are approximately 500 leased buildings).⁹ ~~Although federal leases continue to decrease, it has not diminished the significance and 190 federally owned buildings, many of federal ownership. In 2023, the U.S. General Services Administration portfolio consisted of 474 total leases consisting of over 45 million rentable square feet, with 58 percent of the leases set to expire in the next five years.~~¹⁰ ~~There are 177 leases within the District of Columbia, 195 leases within Virginia, and 102 leases within Maryland, which are historic headquarters.~~¹⁶ In addition to GSA, the U.S. Department of Defense ~~controlled approximately 75 million square feet in more than 3,204 buildings in the NCR in 2014 and in 2018 controlled~~controls more than 71 million square feet in more than 5,380 buildings.¹¹¹² in the NCR.¹⁷

The federal government owns and maintains vast holdings of open space in the region.

Parks and open space are important resources for residents, visitors, and workers ~~as the region continues to experience growth.~~ These federal parks and open spaces are significant settings for important

⁵-Guci, "The 2022 Annual Update of the Regional Economic Accounts: New Statistics for 2021 and Updated Statistics for 2017–2020. Toward Regional Economic Recovery from the COVID-19 Pandemic."

⁶-United States Census Bureau, "Consolidated Federal Funds Report for Fiscal Year 2001."

⁷-United States Census Bureau, "Consolidated Federal Funds Report for Fiscal Year 2010."

⁸-Chapman, "What Does a Shutdown Mean for the Washington Region's Economy?"

⁹-United States General Services Administration, "National Capital Region Public Building Services."

¹⁰-General Services Administration, "March 2023 Lease Inventory Region 1."

¹¹-United States Department of Defense, "Base Structure Report—Fiscal Year 2014 Baseline: A Summary of The Real Property Inventory Data."

¹²-United States Department of Defense, "Base Structure Report—Fiscal Year 2018 Baseline: A Summary of The Real Property Inventory Data."

monuments, grand public promenades, major federal buildings, ~~public open spaces, and quiet gatherings.~~ Within the NCR, the National Park Service administers approximately 27 percent of the parks and open space.¹³ These include historic sites, natural and cultural landscapes, public plazas, urban forests, and conservation areas at places such as Piscataway Park, Prince William Forest Park, Great Falls Park, and quiet gatherings, and other events. Due to the environmental value and scenic beauty provided by natural and cultural landscape resources, the federal government acquires and protects hundreds of acres of natural areas. Within the NCR, the National Park Service administers approximately 27 percent of the parks and open space.¹⁸ These include historic sites, natural and cultural landscapes, public plazas, urban forests, and conservation areas at places such as Piscataway Park, Prince William Forest Park, Great Falls Park, the Wolf Trap National Park for the Performing Arts. ~~Due to, the environmental value and scenic beauty provided by natural and cultural landscape resources~~ L'Enfant Plan's formal squares and circles, the ~~federal government acquires and protects hundreds of acres of natural areas~~ National Mall, Manassas Battlefield, and the Chesapeake & Ohio Canal.

The Planning Legacy

L'Enfant Plan Era

Today's Washington, DC is the result of a confluence of cultures, dating back nearly 4,000 years ~~prior to before~~ its development as the nation's capital. The lands now comprising Washington, ~~DC~~ were first inhabited by Native American chiefdoms, primarily the Piscataway, Anacostank, Pamunkey, Mattapanient, Nangemeick, and Tauxehent.¹⁴¹⁹ European exploration of the area began in the early 17th century when English explorer John Smith navigated the Potomac River and mapped the surrounding terrain. While Native people and European settlers supported each other economically, new diseases brought by European immigrants and land conflicts decimated the indigenous population. In 1632, King Charles I of England granted Lord Baltimore control over Maryland, which encompassed part of the future District of Columbia territory, while ~~Virginia claimed the future state of Virginia would claim~~ the opposite bank of the Potomac. By 1751, Irish and Scottish merchants transformed a small trading outpost into Georgetown, a thriving commercial activity center for the Maryland colony.¹⁵²⁰

After the American Revolution, the Continental Congress searched for a central location for the new country's federal operations. Through a compromise to protect Southern states' interest in the institution of slavery and pay outstanding war debts for Northern states, the Constitution authorized the new federal government to establish a federal district as the seat of government in 1787.²¹ In the ~~1790, the government called for the district to be sited within a 75-mile stretch of the Potomac River, and authorized President Washington to choose the precise location.~~ Residence Act of 1790,²² the government called for the district to be sited within a 75-mile stretch of the Potomac River, and authorized President Washington to choose the precise location.²³ He chose an area encompassing the upper reaches of the navigable waterway, embracing the mouth of the "Eastern Branch" (now the Anacostia River), as well as the port cities of Georgetown (Maryland) and Alexandria (Virginia).²⁴

¹³ Approximate numbers from the 2004 Parks & Open Space Element as discrepancies in boundary areas between jurisdictions, ownership, and definitions of parks and open space result in data that does not perfectly match across the region. Several groups, including NPS, with boundaries that differ from NCPC's, also use the term "National Capital Region."

¹⁴ Steinhauer, "The Indians' Capital City: Native Histories of The Indians' Capital City: Native Histories of Washington, D.C."

¹⁵ Barringer, "They Came to Georgetown."

¹⁶ U.S. Congress. U.S. Statutes at Large, Volume 1 – 1799, 1st through 5th Congress. United States, – 1799, 1789. Periodical. <https://www.loc.gov/item/llsl-v1/>.

The next task was to site and construct government buildings within this district. President Washington accepted the proposal of Pierre L'Enfant, an engineer who previously worked with the Continental Army and federal government, to design the capital with a broad vision, providing the framework for a complete large-scale city that would meet the long-term needs of a growing nation.²⁵ Issues developed as L'Enfant had multiple disagreements with the city commissioners and, in extreme action, relocated the residence of Daniel Carroll, a prominent Washington resident, to clear space for an avenue.²⁶ At the urging of Thomas Jefferson ~~and due to conflict with Federal Commissioners~~, L'Enfant resigned to prevent his dismissal from the project. After L'Enfant's resignation, ~~Benjamin Banneker, a free Black man, and brothers Andrew Ellicott and Benjamin Ellicott finished~~ hired Benjamin Banneker, a free Black man, to support finishing the surveying work for the new Federal City.²⁷

L'Enfant's city plan, though occupying only a portion of the federal district, was extraordinarily ambitious. It included sites for major government buildings; memorials and other civic art; barracks and arsenals; cultural facilities; institutions such as hospitals and city markets; and the urban fabric to support a residential and commercial city. The streets and avenues were broad and park-like: half their right-of-way was intended for walkways with double rows of trees. The L'Enfant Plan was overlaid with an abundant network of open space, ranging from monumental to local in scale, incorporating the area's rivers and topography, and resulting in the varied yet cohesive form that still characterizes the nation's capital.⁴⁷²⁸

United States National Mammal: The American Bison [Call Out Box]

For thousands of years, Native Americans relied heavily on bison for their survival and well-being, using every part of the bison for food, clothing, shelter, tools, jewelry, and ceremonies. The decimation of millions of bison in the 1800s was pivotal in the tragic devastation of Indian people and society.²⁹ The American bison (often referred to as buffalo) did exist in the present-day National Capital Region.³⁰ It is estimated that the majority of the American bison population in the region was found in Virginia. William T. Hornaday's Map illustrating the extermination of the American bison, does not show any west of the Allegany Mountains prior to 1730. Extirpation of bison began in east Virginia tidelands in 1730, with the last bison in the state being killed in 1797.³¹ European, settlers, however, attempted to domesticate the American Bison, which brought about new cattle diseases that greatly decimated the American Bison population in the region. President George Washington evening breeding American Bison at his home in Mount Vernon.³²

McMillan Commission Era

The McMillan Commission was concerned with reviving, refining, and extending the L'Enfant Plan to preserve and enhance the national capital's character. The McMillan Plan of ~~1904~~1902 addressed two main issues: building a public park system and designating sites for groupings of public buildings.⁴⁸³³

The McMillan Plan was developed by the McMillan Commission, formally known as the Senate Park Commission. The McMillan Commission was established in 1901 due to concerns about the urban development and planning of Washington. Led by Senator James McMillan, the commission aimed to address the chaotic growth and haphazard layout of the nation's capital. The initiative was prompted by the desire to create a more cohesive and aesthetically pleasing cityscape, reflecting the grandeur befitting the nation's capital. The commission's landmark report, published in 1902, proposed a comprehensive redesign of Washington, DC, which included what we now know as the National Mall. The plan was designed to support the implementation of the City Beautiful movement principles, and the revitalization of neglected

⁴⁷ L'Enfant, "L'Enfant Plan."

⁴⁸ National Capital Planning Commission, "Planning History in Washington, DC."

areas.³⁴ The McMillan Plan fundamentally transformed the city, shaping its iconic landmarks and enduring urban layout for generations to come.

By connecting the existing parkland and extending the capital's park system into the outlying areas of Washington, Maryland, and Virginia, the McMillan Plan established a unified character for regional open space. Scenic drives and parkways would trace the shorelines of the area's rivers and streams. These parkways would rise through the valleys and along steep hillsides to connect the larger parks and unite the old Civil War forts into a great circle encompassing L'Enfant's axial organization.³⁵ The Fort Circle Park System, as it was conceived, was to be second in importance only to the National Mall and the river designs.

The McMillan Plan grouped public buildings in formal landscaped settings, resulting in a highly concentrated monumental core. The plan reinforced a monumental National Mall composed of prominent features and public buildings. Many important elements of the plan were accomplished over the next quarter century: building the Lincoln Memorial; redesigning the landscape of the U.S. Capitol and White House; removing the railroad tracks from the Mall; constructing Union Station; building the Rock Creek and Potomac Parkway; and landscaping East and West Potomac Parks.

Comprehensive Planning in the National Capital Region ~~During the:~~ 20th Century

The development of planning in the NCR parallels the evolution of the profession throughout the nation, but with unique circumstances due to the presence of the national capital.

The McMillan Plan of ~~1901~~1902 provided a strong framework for many projects, both in the core and extending into the region. The plan formalized the National Mall's design, established key national parks, and created federal precincts such as the Federal Triangle. Within a few years, the need for a regulatory body became apparent. In 1910, the federal government created the U.S. Commission of Fine Arts, whose duties included "advis(ing) upon the location of statues, fountains, and monuments in the public squares, streets, and parks in the District of Columbia."⁴⁹~~36~~ It took on the role of protecting and promoting the McMillan Plan, and two of its initial members had been part of the McMillan Commission. In 1910, Congress passed the Height of Buildings Act to limit building heights in Washington, DC. The U.S. Commission of Fine Arts' duties soon expanded to include design review of all public buildings and enforced the height limitations in Washington. The Height of Buildings Act has shaped Washington's horizontal skyline, views, and street-level character and is a valued urban design principle and important part of planning in the nation's capital.³⁷

In the 1910s and 1920s, the planning field ~~was becoming~~became a more established component of modern urban management. Federal legislation in 1924 created the National Capital Park Commission to develop a comprehensive plan for the park, parkway, and playground systems of Washington. In 1926 its duties were expanded to include consideration of all elements of city and regional planning, such as land use; major thoroughfares; systems of parks, parkways, and recreation; mass transportation; and community facilities. This federal agency was renamed the National Capital Park and Planning Commission (NCPPC) in 1926, ~~and in 1952 it became the National Capital Planning Commission. It. The~~ agency was responsible for all planning matters within the District of Columbia ~~and had with~~ limited planning responsibilities extending into the region. Planning bodies at the county and state level were also created during this period, including the Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission (M-NCPPC) in 1927, established by the state with authority in both Montgomery and Prince George's Counties.

⁴⁹ ~~An Act Establishing a Commission of Fine Arts (CFA).~~

These federal and state agencies worked together on planning initiatives throughout the following decades. Beginning in 1930, the Capper-Cramton Act authorized NCPPC to acquire land for a regional park and parkway system, including coordinated acquisition of stream valley parks in coordination with Maryland and Virginia planning authorities.²⁰³⁸

NCPPC produced the 1950 Comprehensive Plan, primarily covering Washington, DC but also addressing regional issues. Among other goals, the 1950 plan focused on maintaining and restoring livability by clearing “slum areas” and eliminating land overcrowding; and reducing congestion throughout the city by reducing commuter distances, making public transportation more convenient, and creating a system of collector and distributor roads to redistribute traffic within the central area. The 1950 Plan helped establish the framework for the city’s ongoing urban renewal program and the future highway construction proposals. In 1952, the federal agency was renamed the National Capital Planning Commission. In 1959, NCPC and the National Capital Regional Planning Council prepared a regional transportation plan that recommended more than 300 miles of new roads.

During the 1950s, ~~NCPPC and~~ NCPC studies demonstrated the need for a regional mass transit system, leading to the federal authorization of the Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority in 1965. In 1961, NCPC produced the influential A Plan for the Year 2000,²⁴ ~~proposing which proposed~~ a model for long-term regional growth.³⁹ M-NCPPC then incorporated and expanded on this recommended model in its ~~own~~ comprehensive plan, titled On Wedges and Corridors. The National Capital Regional Planning Council, a federal agency that operated between 1952 and 1966, issued a Regional Development Guide in 1966.²² ~~NCPC issued drafts of new Comprehensive Plans in 1965 and 1967.~~⁴⁰

Leading up to the Bicentennial of the United States in 1976, there was concern among federal and local officials about the ongoing deterioration along Pennsylvania Avenue’s north side.⁴¹ Congress established the Pennsylvania Avenue Development Corporation (PADC) in 1972, of which NCPC was a major stakeholder. The PADC oversaw the development and implementation of the 1974 Pennsylvania Avenue Plan, the basis for the Avenue’s redevelopment for more than 40 years that created the Avenue’s design and character that we know today. The PADC was also responsible for projects which improved the public areas and ambience of Pennsylvania Avenue, as well as assembling land for housing, office buildings, retail uses, and community art spaces. The latter activity involved partnerships with the private sector to develop projects compatible with the plan.

During this period, pressure was building for home rule in Washington, ~~DC~~ including reconsideration of the appropriateness of NCPC’s role as Washington’s local planning agency. The federal Home Rule Act of 1973 designated the District of Columbia’s elected mayor as the planner for the District government, a power that is exercised through the DC Office of Planning.²²⁴² NCPC’s role was re-defined to focus primarily on federal property in Washington, ~~DC~~, and the region. A new comprehensive planning effort was undertaken, leading to the publication of the Comprehensive Plan for the National Capital during the mid-1980s. This plan, a joint effort of NCPC and the District of Columbia government, contained Federal Elements, that addressed federal concerns throughout the region, and District Elements, that addressed matters of local concern. The Federal Elements also work in conjunction with comprehensive plans

²⁰ ~~Capper Cramton Act.~~

²¹ ~~National Capital Planning Commission and National Capital Regional Planning Council, “A Plan for the Year 2000.”~~

²² ~~National Capital Regional Planning Council, “The Regional Development Guide 1966–2000.”~~

²³ ~~Office of the General Counsel, District of Columbia Home Rule Act.~~

adopted by the various counties and cities in the region. This shared responsibility for the Comprehensive Plan remains the model for planning in the NCR.

Comprehensive Planning in the National Capital Region ~~During the:~~ 21st Century

In 1997, the NCPC released its long-term vision for the development of the monumental core. *Extending the Legacy: Planning America's Capital for the 21st Century* was developed in response to the projected long-term demands on the nation's capital and the threat of overbuilding in the monumental core.²⁴⁴³

By recentring the monumental core on the U.S. Capitol, the Legacy Plan created opportunities for new monuments, museums, and federal offices in all city quadrants. It called for mixed-use development, expanding the reach of public transit, and eliminating obsolete freeways, bridges, and railroad tracks that fragment the city. It reclaimed Washington's historic waterfront for public enjoyment and added parks, plazas, and other urban amenities. The Commission characterized the Legacy Plan as a long-range vision, and many of the proposals outlined in the plan have come to fruition, including the redevelopment of South Capitol Street, The Yards development, The Wharf development, and two key capital improvements projects: the DC Circulator and the new Frederick Douglass Bridge.

Principal Legacy Plan themes:

- Build on the historic L'Enfant and McMillan Plans, which are the foundation of modern Washington.
- Unify the city and the monumental core, with the U.S. Capitol at the center.
- Use new memorials and other public buildings to enhance economic development.
- Integrate the Potomac and Anacostia Rivers into the city's public life and protect the Mall, East and West Potomac Parks, and adjacent historic buildings from future development that would result in a loss of open space, natural areas, and historic resources.
- Develop a comprehensive, flexible, and convenient transportation system that eliminates barriers and improves movement within the city.

In 2009, the Commission released the *Monumental Core Framework Plan: Connecting New Destinations with the National Mall*. The Framework Plan provided more in-depth analysis and tools to advance the Legacy ~~Plan's~~ Plan's goals to relieve development pressure on the National Mall; better integrate federal development with city life; and support a diversifying local economy, growing population, and expanding downtown. It sought to remove or minimize infrastructure barriers and address the unintended consequences of some past development decisions. The Framework Plan responded to executive and legislative policies to use federal land, facilities, and resources more efficiently and sustainably. The Framework Plan led to precinct and corridor level planning and design that helped move the Legacy Plan and the Framework ~~Plan's~~ Plan's visions toward implementation.

A key planning document that was completed because of the Legacy Plan is the Memorials and Museums Master Plan (2M Plan). Approved by the Commission in December 2001, the 2M Plan identified 100 potential locations for memorials and museums and ~~provides~~ provided general guidelines for their development (four were later removed from consideration). Current NCPC projects that will help achieve Legacy's vision include the SW Ecodistrict, the Monumental Core Streetscape Guide and Construction Manual, Pennsylvania Avenue between the White House and U.S. Capitol, Independence Avenue between

²⁴ ~~National Capital Planning Commission, *Extending the Legacy: Planning America's Capital for the 21st Century*.~~

3rd and 15th Streets, and connecting the Kennedy Center to the National Mall and ~~President's~~ President's Park.

Confronting the Legacy: Examining the Impacts of NCPC's Past Planning Efforts

As NCPC commemorates its Centennial in 2024, it is critical for the agency to conduct an introspective analysis of the agency's past policies and programs. This is being done to better understand the ways in which the agency has shaped the physical design of the National Capital Region, as well as the social and economic opportunities for the people who live here. Moreover, this analysis allows for the examination of NCPC's impact on the history and evolution of planning, acknowledgment of inequities created by past planning practices, and consideration of lessons learned to inform planning today and into the future. While many of NCPC policies, projects, and programs explored in this chapter had positive impacts and enhanced the quality of life throughout the region, other policies, practices, and programs presented barriers to equity.

Planning for a Segregated Parks and Recreation System

Through NCPC's predecessor, the National Capital Park and Planning Commission, the agency was responsible for purchasing land for the development of parks and playgrounds for the District of Columbia. Through this process, the agency purchased and designated parks and playgrounds explicitly for "whites" or "colored." This policy mandated racially segregated parks and public spaces on select federal properties through the agency's implementation of the recreation plan. As a result of this policy, there was not only state sanctioned segregation—preventing the interaction of races in public spaces; but the policy also disproportionately allocated recreational spaces for residents depending on race and prohibited non-White residents from accessing prominent public spaces.

For instance, in the 1945 Summary Report Recreation and School Study for the Old City and Adjacent Areas in Washington, DC it was determined that there were 107 usable acres of recreation and playground spaces designated for White residents and 70 usable acres of recreation and playground spaces designated for non-White residents. Maps from this report also illustrate that many prominent public spaces located near the National Mall, such as The Ellipse, were identified as "Whites-Only" parks. The policy of mapping and planning for segregated recreation centers continued until 1949 when the Commission voted to eliminate all racial designations from the official Washington, DC recreation system map.

Updating the Introduction Chapter: Our Process [Call Out Box]

As part of the Introduction Chapter update, NCPC developed a framework that acknowledges that the agency's policies and programs - both historic and contemporary - have presented barriers on equity for underserved communities. This process included:

- Conducting a historical analysis and background research to identify potential policies that contributed to community design.
- Identifying legacy practices and policies established or implemented by NCPC that were designed to advantage or disadvantage a group of people with respect to race, ethnicity, religion, income, geography, gender identity, sexual orientation, and disability and analyzed the contemporary impacts of unjust practices and policies.
- Clarifying NCPC's current role in addressing these contemporary impacts of legacy policies.
- Developed key considerations, principles, and future potential agency actions that support advancing equity.

- Met with local, regional, and federal stakeholders to explore the principles and discuss if they accurately respond to the agency's impact on socially disadvantaged communities.

Using this framework, NCPC was able to use key components of equity and sustainability planning, such as historical analyses and engaging impacted individuals in the planning process to promote equitable development and opportunity for historically underserved communities.

Displacing Communities through Urban Renewal Programs

At the turn of the 20th century, American cities were dealing with two major urban issues—the rapid industrialization of work and rapid urbanization. During this period, millions of Americans fled their rural communities and European immigrants moved to search for economic and social prosperity in American urban centers.

In Washington, the city's population more than doubled during this timeframe, increasing from 230,000 residents in the 1890s to nearly 490,000 residents by the end of the 1920s. Housing construction could not meet the demand of newcomers. At the time, local developers often exploited this urgent demand for housing for low-income workers by building settlements for low-income workers in alleyways.⁴⁴ The drastic rise in the city's population, coupled with an insufficient housing supply, led to unsafe and unsanitary housing conditions, and overcrowding in many District neighborhoods.

In the years during and after World War II, the African American population in cities increased as Black Southerners fled racial violence in their hometowns and searched for greater economic opportunity in Northern cities. Simultaneously, White residents and retail began to leave cities for the suburbs due to federal and local policies that incentivized new community development outside the city center. Local governments attempted to use redevelopment to retain residents, increase tax bases, and prevent the perceived deterioration of downtowns and neighborhoods.

In 1945, Congress adopted the District of Columbia Redevelopment Act, which launched the process of urban renewal. The act allowed for the use of eminent domain to take private property for private redevelopment; and established the DC Redevelopment Land Agency to assemble land and prepare it for developers. The District of Columbia Redevelopment Act empowered the National Capital Park and Planning Commission (predecessor agency to NCPC), as the planning agency for all of Washington, to complete this task. Between 1945 and 1972, NCPC prepared, adopted, and certified 12 plans for implementation for the removal and rehabilitation of blighted, decayed, and deteriorating areas of the city. One of the most well-known incidences of urban renewal in Washington is that of the Southwest community, historically a predominately African American neighborhood within the city tracing back to the period of American enslavement.^{45,46}

In the 1950 Comprehensive Plan Washington: Present and Future, NCPPC identified the Southwest neighborhood “as a Principal Problem Area with over 50 percent of housing [that] needed repair or lacked private baths.”⁴⁷ The neighborhood was particularly identified to serve as a pilot case for urban renewal due to its proximity to federal government facilities and the prominent views it held to the National Mall, United States Capitol, and other symbolic spaces.

As a project, the approved urban renewal plan proposed the demolition of existing housing deemed obsolete or blighted, and incorporated a renewed waterfront, a federal employment center, modern shopping center, public plaza and promenade, highways, newly constructed housing, and other community amenities. The effects of the Southwest Urban Renewal program were devastating for the community. Urban renewal destroyed 99 percent of Southwest's buildings, forced 1,500 businesses to move, and displaced 23,000 residents.⁴⁸ Likewise, there was an 80 percent decline in Chinese immigrants

and American born Chinese living in DC's Chinatown because of factors relating to urban redevelopment.⁴⁹

While the Southwest neighborhood was the first major redevelopment in Washington because of an urban renewal plan, other urban renewal areas were approved and implemented throughout the city. These included Northwest, Northeast, the Shaw School, downtown, Columbia Plaza, Fort Lincoln, Adams Morgan, H Street, NE, and 14th Street, NW. NCPC also defined boundaries for five additional urban renewal areas, including Georgetown and the South Capitol Street/Buzzard Point area, that were never adopted.

Strategies of urban renewal plans within NCPC's regulations continued to exist into the 21st Century in the form of the Downtown and Shaw renewal plans, which were intended to guide rehabilitation in these two designated areas. These were the last two Urban Renewal plans in Washington, DC. In 2019, NCPC approved a request submitted by the District of Columbia Office of Planning to terminate the Downtown and Shaw renewal plans as they were outdated and do not align with current zoning and planning initiatives.

Disconnecting Neighborhoods with New Highways

In the mid-1950s, NCPC was part of the National Capital Regional Planning Council, which prepared a regional transportation plan that recommended the locations of new interstate highway corridors within the region. These plans were largely outlined within the 1950 Comprehensive Plan. These highways included an inner belt freeway that would surround the White House and the central business district of Washington (northern portion canceled due to citizen opposition) and an outer belt (which later would be signed as Interstate 495 as the Capital Beltway). Radial freeways were planned to link both the inner belt and the outer belt in the form of the following:

A radial left intersecting from the western inner belt and continuing northwest along the northern edge of the Potomac River to the outer belt in the direction of Frederick, Maryland (loosely Interstate 270, portion within district cancelled due to citizen opposition).

Two radials left intersecting the inner belt near the National Mall traveling in a westerly and southerly direction across the Potomac River into Northern Virginia (loosely Interstates 66 and 395 respectively).

A route entering the area from a northeasterly direction from the outer belt traveling southwest and splitting near Bladensburg, Maryland whereas one split would travel in a southerly direction paralleling the Anacostia River toward the southern outer belt (loosely Interstate 295) and another route paralleling the New York Avenue corridor within the district (portion canceled due to citizen opposition).

A short route connecting the Anacostia River freeway with the proposed inner belt (loosely Interstate 695). Both the northern portion near the White House and the route paralleling New York Avenue were canceled due to civic opposition.

Highway construction in the region improved transportation efficiency, reduced congestion on city streets, and enhanced connectivity between urban and suburban areas. While new highways provided easier access to employment centers and amenities for residents across the region, the development of the freeway system drastically changed the city's social and demographic makeup.

The construction of I-395/695 alone displaced at least 4,700 people in 1960 and destroyed at least 1,400 homes in the Southwest community alone. In response to the urban renewal and freeway construction programs in Southwest, Washington, DC, Elizabeth "Libby" Rowe, the first female Chair of the National

Capital Park and Planning Commission, authorized the development of a “Social Impact of a Highway on an Urban Community” study. The report documented the social implications and relocation requirements of the North Leg of the Inner Loop in 1963, in partnership with the District Office of Health and Welfare considering the impact of physical changes on residents and the need for comprehensive planning and support services to address their needs and concerns.

Ultimately, the report concluded that “... a major highway programmed through a specific section of an urban area influences life within the whole community-those who remain, those who are displaced, other neighborhoods, public officialdom, private business and future projects. The engineer, the planner, the public official, the social scientist, the resident, the businessman, all citizens have a common objective-the betterment of their city. Only through their mutual concern, cooperation and respect can it be achieved.”

Expanding the Federal Footprint in Local Communities

Extending the Legacy Plan (Legacy) was a visionary guide for new initiatives and policy development – it influenced Comprehensive Plan updates and set the stage for more detailed planning as described in the Memorials and Museums Master Plan (2001) and the Monumental Core Framework Plan (2009). Many of Legacy’s goals that relate to new federal facilities, enhanced transportation, reconnecting Washington to its waterfronts, and improving gateways into the city are becoming reality.

The plan has a strong vision of directing federal development to all quadrants of the city to promote economic development directly and indirectly, using federal investment as a catalyst. As a result, many new federal campuses were developed across Washington, DC, in communities that are now classified as equity emphasis areas, by the Washington Metropolitan Council of Governments. NCPC reviews federal development applications for site selection and development for consistency with the Comprehensive Plan’s Federal Elements. The development of federal facilities and installations have had both positive and negative equity impacts in underserved communities. For example, the siting and design of federal buildings and campuses can adversely impact a community’s access to open space and existing amenities. Security requirements at these facilities can also restrict public access through communities and to amenities such as waterfronts, views, and historic and environmental resources. Lastly, the design of a federal facility may not be compatible with the surrounding neighborhood character. In applying an equity lens in building and site design that mitigates direct and indirect impacts, federal development projects can be stronger assets in underserved communities. For example, a federal development can plan for areas of public amenity spaces, such as parks and community rooms that are accessible to the surrounding community that may improve health outcomes. A critical component of developing these policies is a firm commitment to engaging underserved communities and centering their input as part of the planning process.

Contemporary Impacts

The vestiges of planning policies have long-term implications on individual opportunity and community design. Contemporary analysis of the effects of NCPC or its predecessor’s practices, indicate that these programs have contributed to underserved communities feeling a reduced a sense of belonging throughout the nation’s capital; experiencing a reduction in community cultural wealth; and having less access to parks and green spaces.

Neighborhoods throughout Washington and the National Capital Region are also impacted by these policies which have contributed to racial and economic housing segregation. As a result, underserved communities in the region, both social and geographic, carry a disproportionate burden of air-pollution, flood risks, food insecurity, commute times, and other environmental hazards.

While NCPD has historically been involved with planning and development that has impacted underserved communities, NCPD is committed to addressing this legacy and working with federal and local partners to remedy the negative impacts of past planning decisions.

Critical Planning Challenges

Innovative practices are needed to support planning for the appropriate and orderly development of the NCR; conserving the ~~region's~~region's important natural and historic resources; and creating public spaces where all Americans are represented and included. Critical planning challenges faced by federal planners within the NCR include an urgency to protect the natural environment, ~~implementation of~~implement equity practices, secure urban ~~space~~public spaces, and ~~security, and~~navigate the changing federal footprint for workplace needs. NCPD continues to collaborate with federal and regional partners to address these emerging planning challenges.

Environmental Sustainability and Resiliency

Land use patterns and urban form can have a substantial impact on a community's contribution to global climate change as well as the community's susceptibility to negative environmental impacts. The region is experiencing many climate change risks, which include increased flooding, extreme precipitation, sea level rise, average temperature rise and extreme heat, and severe weather events.

The federal government owns approximately 85 percent of the shorelines in Washington, DC and has many properties located within the 100-year and 500-year floodplains.²⁵⁵⁰ Federal properties are thus vulnerable to flooding that results from heavy rain, snowmelt, tropical storms, hurricanes, and flash flood events. These events can damage property, cause power outages, interrupt operations, and overwhelm aging infrastructure and other urban assets. Predictions suggest that by 2050, a 100-year storm could be as likely as today's 25-year storm. The Potomac and Anacostia River levels have already increased 11 inches in the past 90 years due to sea level rise and subsidence.²⁶⁵¹ The US Army Corps of Engineers predicts up to 3.4 feet of additional sea level rise in Washington, DC by 2080.²⁷⁵²

~~Federal capital improvement planning~~Construction and renovation of federal facilities will also be affected by warming temperatures. Washington, DC's average annual temperatures have increased by two degrees over the last 50 years and are predicted to continue to rise.²⁸⁵³ The area also suffers from the urban heat island effect, where paved areas in the District of Columbia can be 10-15 degrees hotter than the actual temperature during heat waves, while large natural areas like Rock Creek Park can measure 10 degrees cooler.²⁹⁵⁴ Typical average summer high temperatures of 87 degrees are projected to increase to the mid-to upper 90's by 2080.³⁰⁵⁵ Increased average temperatures will also increase the number of heat emergency days (days with a heat index of 95 degrees or above) and cause longer heat waves.³¹⁵⁶ In Washington, DC, heat emergency days are projected to increase from the recent average of 30 per year, to potentially 70 per year by 2080.³²⁵⁷

²⁵ National Capital Planning Commission, "Shoreline Ownership Methodology."

²⁶ DC Department of Energy & Environment, "Climate Ready DC: The District of Columbia's Plan to Adapt to a Changing Climate."

²⁷ DC Department of Energy & Environment.

²⁸ United States Environmental Protection Agency, "What Climate Change Means for the District of Columbia."

²⁹ CADMUS Group and DC Department of Energy and Environment, "Heat Sensitivity Exposure Index Methodology Report for Climate Ready DC."

³⁰ CADMUS Group and DC Department of Energy and Environment.

³¹ DC Department of Energy & Environment, "Climate Ready DC: The District of Columbia's Plan to Adapt to a Changing Climate."

³² DC Department of Energy & Environment.

There are many federally owned properties vulnerable to climate change impacts in the National Capital ~~region~~Region, including parkland, military installations, museums, and agency headquarters, which could be damaged or significantly impaired if no action is taken. In addition to federal operations and properties, many federal sites also house national treasures and important documents of national significance which could be permanently damaged or lost.

Climate change may affect the form of the city and the integrity of both the L'Enfant ~~Plan~~Plan and the McMillan ~~Plan~~Plans. These two plans ~~have~~have been the basis of the street grid and the urban development pattern in Washington since the establishment of the capital in 1791. For example, symbolic views ~~to~~of national memorials, the White House, and the U.S. Capitol may be permanently altered if large scale infrastructure solutions to mitigate increased flooding are required in the vicinity of the National Mall.

Federal planners are increasingly turning to evaluating building and site design, as well as ~~development~~locations, facility siting to mitigate environmental risks for capital improvement projects. As the region continues to experience an increase in the frequency and intensity of climate-related extreme weather events, advancing climate change adaptation and supporting resilience planning is critical in protecting federal assets and investments, ensuring the long-term resiliency of federal operations, and supporting economic vitality in the NCR.

Protecting the National Mall from Coastal, Riverine, and Interior Flooding [Call-out Box]

In 2023, NCPC approved development plans for the National Park Service to repair and rehabilitate approximately 6,800 linear feet of the failing seawall along portions of the Tidal Basin and West Potomac Park in Washington as part of the Tidal Basin and West Potomac Park Sea Wall project. Over the years, the seawalls have significantly settled, leading to overtopping and poor drainage. This has led to reduced public access and damage to the cultural landscape and park infrastructure along the heavily visited Potomac River waterfront from Hains Point northwest toward the Tidal Basin, resulting in negative impacts for visitors. The project will address immediate issues of the failing seawall in locations demonstrating the highest degree of settlement and erosion. The goal of this project is to return the seawalls to their historical functional height, improve visitor accessibility and experience over the next decade, and plan for sea level rise in the future.

Transportation and Mobility Challenges

The transportation landscape in the Washington, DC region has undergone significant shifts over the past two years, largely influenced by the COVID-19 pandemic. More than half of Metrorail stations serve federal facilities and are critical transportation infrastructure for the region's largest workforce. With federal telework and remote work becoming more prevalent, downtown offices are experiencing increased vacancies.⁵⁸ Combined with the changing commuting patterns, policymakers are confronted with the challenge of ensuring safe, reliable, and accessible transportation options for workers and residents.

Congestion remains a persistent issue, contributing to lengthy average commute times of slightly more than half an hour in the region. Approximately three in five Washington-area commuters still drive to work.⁵⁹ This heavy reliance on cars not only exacerbates congestion but also leads to elevated levels of air pollution, posing health risks to the population. Compounding these challenges is the lack of dedicated funding for WMATA, the region's central public transportation system, resulting in frequent threats of service cuts that disproportionately affect low-income and marginalized communities, exacerbating transportation inequities.^{60,61}

While public transit ridership in the region has historically been higher than in many other U.S. cities, the COVID-19 pandemic greatly impacted the region's public transit system. To date, 2024 daily rail ridership was approximately 50 percent of pre-pandemic ridership averages, while daily bus ridership has rebounded.^{62,63} This decline in transit ridership has been exacerbated by a decade-long trend preceding the pandemic, characterized by declining Metrorail ridership amid concerns over service reliability, safety, and the emergence of ride-sharing services.

However, as planners and policymakers grapple with the complexities of transportation planning in the post-pandemic era, they must confront the broader economic, social, and environmental consequences of individual transportation choices, ensuring equitable access to reliable, climate-friendly transportation options for all residents in the face of fiscal constraints and political uncertainty.

Social, Health, and Racial Equity Challenges

In 2020, the United States faced social upheaval because of the ~~Covid~~COVID-19 pandemic as well as civic protests that brought renewed focus on equitable outcomes for underrepresented populations, including people of color. The ~~Covid~~COVID-19 pandemic had devastating effects on the nation, including sickness and loss of life. The social and economic impacts of the pandemic created or accelerated trends that continue to shape communities. The region's economic performance relies heavily on the federal government. Economic impacts ~~of~~during the ~~Covid~~COVID-19 pandemic in the ~~National Capital Region~~NCR mirrored national trends. ~~However, they but~~ were not as extreme due to the presence of the federal government, ~~which supports the local economy. However, the region continues to face a unique set of economic challenges during post-pandemic recovery.~~

The region lost 300,000 jobs during March and April of 2020, which corresponded with a peak unemployment rate of 9.8% ~~percent~~ in April 2020, which is significantly below the national unemployment rate of 14.7%.³³ ~~percent.~~⁶⁴ As the region began to recover economically from the ~~peak of the recession~~loss and uncertainty caused by the pandemic, the economic recovery has been uneven across subsets of the region's population and has highlighted inequities throughout the region. For example, the African American unemployment rate within the region was nearly double that of any other racial category and triple the unemployment rate of white participants in the labor force.^{34,65}

Also, people living in neighborhoods with higher percentages of African American or Hispanic residents and lower income and employment rates, were more likely to experience a larger reduction in life expectancy.^{35,66} Many of these inequitable outcomes are connected to the design of the built environment which shapes physical and economic access. Past planning decisions, such as urban renewal, highway development, and housing displacement ~~contributes~~contribute to systemic residential segregation—which allocates community resources disparately and presents barriers to an ~~individuals'~~individual's ability to access medical care or job opportunities. ~~This contributes~~⁶⁷ Throughout the region, several of the most salient equity issues center around affordable housing, healthy food access, and exposure to pollution or other environmental hazards. Not only do these factors contribute to disparate long-term community recovery and health following the ongoing ~~Covid~~COVID-19 recovery in the region-, but these inequities lead to overall life-expectancy differences throughout the NCR, with the greatest disparity being between residents who live in Georgetown, Washington, DC (life expectancy of 94 years) and individuals who live in the Trinidad neighborhood of Washington, DC (life expectancy of 67 years).⁶⁸

³³ Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments, "Covid-19 Impacts in Metropolitan Washington."

³⁴ United States Census Bureau, "Employment Status 2021, ACS 1-Year Estimates."

³⁵ Alva, Ila, and Haber, "Death, Inequality, and the Pandemic in the Nation's Capital."

Commemoration Diversity Challenges

Civic discourse at the height of the pandemic brought renewed calls for diverse stories and perspectives in the federal commemorative landscape. The 2021 National Monument Audit, funded by the Mellon Foundation, examined 50,000 U.S. monuments and found that of the 50 individuals represented most frequently, 88% ~~percent~~ are white, ~~6%~~six percent are women, 10% ~~percent~~ are Black or Indigenous, and none honor Asian Americans, Hispanic and Latino Americans, or self-identified members of the LGBTQ+ communities.³⁶⁶⁹ NCPC's 2012 Memorial Trends & Practice in Washington, DC report acknowledged that there is an imbalance towards military and war-themed memorials in the capital. As of 2019, more than 44% ~~percent~~ of total memorials in Washington reflected military themes. If memorials with themes of statesmanship and founding of the nation are added, which prominently feature White men, the percent total increases to 63%.³⁷ ~~There is a lack of diversity and representation in today's national memorial collection.~~³⁸ percent.⁷⁰ There is a lack of diversity and representation in today's national memorial collection. There are also issues with the process to construct permanent memorials in the nation's capital, which is complex, time-consuming, and costly, ~~which creates~~creating barriers for many underrepresented communities.

Over the past decade, NCPC has worked on several plans and initiatives related to memorials ~~and monuments~~ that provide a comprehensive picture of Washington's commemorative landscape and highlight barriers to equity in the representation of commemorative works. Commemorative works focused on women, African Americans, Native Americans, Asian Americans, Latino Americans, and members of the LGBTQ+ communities, as well as the many other identities, backgrounds, abilities, cultures, and beliefs of the American people, are vastly underrepresented in the national capital's landscape.

Conversations about who our monuments should represent are occurring around the country – the National Mall is at the front of this dialogue because it is our Nation's collective space for commemoration. In looking to expand who and what is represented at the Mall's monumental core, federal planners also face the issue of available land for present and future monuments. There are only a handful of sites left close to the Mall, however, there are more stories to commemorate than the available land can accommodate. While permanent commemoration provides the opportunity to firmly cement a historically significant event or person in the physical landscape of the Nation's Capital, this permanency creates an equity challenge – restricting opportunities for future generations to celebrate historic American events and people of the future.

Temporary artworks are seen as a viable complement to address constraints of permanent commemoration, and an idea suggested in previous NCPC studies. These art installations can provide powerful experiences that are cost-effective, faster to implement, and respond to recent events. In addition, the flexibility of temporary artworks can help to lower longstanding barriers to sponsoring new commemorative works from people, groups, or events that have been historically underrepresented on the

³⁶ Monument Lab, "National Monument Audit."

³⁷ <https://www.ncpc.gov/videos/588/64m03s>

³⁸ National Capital Planning Commission and Trust for the National Mall, "National Capital Planning Commission (USA) Meeting November 4, 2021."

National Mall. The introduction of new perspectives in the commemorative landscape allows for a more comprehensive story of America’s history to be told.

With previous studies and plans in mind, ~~in 2021~~, NCPC, in partnership with the Trust for the National Mall and the National Park Service, explored one way to expand subject matter representation and narratives with temporary artworks through the Beyond Granite pilot project. The exhibition, titled, “Pulling Together”, presented multi-layered representations of American history, experiences, and untold stories of diverse communities ~~for one month on the National Mall in the summer of 2023 by six artists from across the country.~~

Expanding America’s Stories on the National Mall [Call-out Box]

Beyond Granite is a collaborative partnership between the Trust for the National Mall, the National Park Service, and the National Capital Planning Commission designed to test solutions for encouraging more representative and inclusive storytelling on the National Mall by using temporary artworks. The pilot project—Beyond Granite: Pulling Together—was a four-week outdoor art exhibition curated by Monument Lab and featured work by six contemporary artists that all responded to the question – “What stories remain untold on the National Mall?” To learn more about Beyond Granite, visit -- www.beyondgranite.org/

Public Space and Security

NCPC is at the forefront of developing policy guidance to address security, urban design, and public access in a thoughtful and balanced manner. After the 1995 Oklahoma City bombing and the events of September 11, 2001, security needs were elevated and unsightly temporary solutions often restricted access to public space. In recent years, ~~with~~due to an increase in vehicle-ramming attacks and ~~a rise in~~ domestic terrorism, the focus ~~for on~~ security through urban design has shifted to include parks, plazas, and streets—and the protection of people in these spaces. Design professionals have an important role in planning public spaces to ensure the protection of ~~the~~ public and federal assets. As part of the agency’s design review process for capital improvements, risk assessments are reviewed to determine appropriate security requirements, while also ~~to identify~~identifying suitable security solutions. It is critical to build on strong public-private partnerships; incorporate new technologies; build upon the research and lessons learned from other cities; and be adaptable to address future security needs ~~to balance while balancing~~ today’s risks.

Changing Federal Footprint

The federal government is the single largest property owner and occupant of real estate in the region, which has significant implications for the region’s economy, transportation, real estate, and employment. The General Services Administration (GSA) owns, manages, constructs, and leases a total of approximately 47 million square feet of owned space and 45 million square feet of leased space. In addition, the Department of Defense controls approximately 71 million square feet. During the pandemic, a significant percentage of the region’s federal workforce worked from home, which impacted many jurisdictions. Many federal agencies have returned to the office, with many transitioning to a hybrid work environment.

The federal government-wide policy is to reduce the total square footage of federal workspace by improving the utilization of federally owned buildings, ~~lower~~lowering the number of excess and underutilized properties, and ~~improve~~improving the federal real property portfolio’s cost effectiveness. Many federal buildings are currently underutilized. The U.S. Government Accountability Office collected

building size and attendance data from the 24 agencies in the Federal Real Property Council during January-March of 2023 and found that these agencies used an estimated average of 25 percent or less of their headquarters building's capacity.³⁹⁷¹ In 2023, there are 474 total GSA leases consisting of approximately 45 million rentable square feet. Approximately 58 percent of those leases are set to expire by 2027.⁷² There are 177 leases within Washington, DC; 195 leases within Virginia; and 102 leases within Maryland. Four million square feet of leased office space will expire in the next five years, specifically in Washington. As agencies are reevaluating office space needs and the use of telework, this provides an opportunity to improve the use of federally owned properties and reuse or dispose of federally owned properties. These shifts will ultimately change the region's federal footprint.

The changing federal footprint poses important implications for the future of local communities and the region. In 2013, NCPC in partnership with the Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments, explored scenario planning to better understand the cumulative impacts of federal telework and hybrid workplaces on our region and the implications they may have on office demand, federal footprint, the transportation network, and federal procurement. NCPC continues to work with the federal, regional, and local governments to advance strategies and policies that create a positive federal presence in the region as the federal footprint continues to change.

~~Confronting the Legacy: Examining the Impacts of NCPC's Past~~

~~As NCPC commemorates its Centennial in 2024, it is critical for the agency to conduct an introspective analysis of the agency's past policies and programs. This is being done to better understand the ways in which the agency has shaped the physical design of the National Capital Region, as well as the social and economic opportunities for the people who live here. Moreover, this analysis allows for the examination of NCPC's impact on the history and evolution of planning, acknowledgment of inequities created by past planning practices, and consideration of lessons learned to inform planning today and into the future. While many of the aforementioned policies had positive impacts and enhanced the quality of life throughout the region, others presented barriers to equity.~~

~~Planning for a Segregated Parks and Recreation System~~

~~Through NCPC's predecessor, the National Capital Park and Planning Commission, the agency was responsible for purchasing land for the development of parks and playgrounds for the District of Columbia. Through this process the agency purchased and designated parks and playgrounds explicitly for "whites" or "colored." This policy mandated racially segregated parks and public spaces on select federal properties through the agency's implementation of the recreation plan. As a result of this policy, there was not only state sanctioned segregation preventing the interaction of races in public spaces; but the policy also disproportionately allocated recreational spaces for residents depending on race and prohibited non-White residents from accessing prominent public spaces.⁴⁰~~

~~For instance, in the 1945 *Summary Report Recreation and School Study for the Old City and Adjacent Areas in Washington, DC* it was determined that there were 107 usable acres of recreation and playground~~

³⁹ United States Government Accountability Office, "FEDERAL REAL PROPERTY Agencies Need New Benchmarks to Measure and Shed Underutilized Space Report to Congressional Committees."

⁴⁰ Committee on an Evaluation of Permanent Supportive Housing Programs for Homeless Individuals, Science and Technology for Sustainability Program, Policy and Global Affairs, and Board on Population Health and Public Health Practice, Health and Medicine Division, *Permanent Supportive Housing: Evaluating the Evidence for Improving Health Outcomes Among People Experiencing Chronic Homelessness*.

~~spaces designated for White residents and 70 usable acres of recreation and playground spaces designated for non-White residents. Maps from this report also illustrate that many prominent public spaces located near the National Mall, such as The Ellipse, were identified as “Whites-Only” parks. The policy of mapping and planning for segregated recreation centers continued until 1949, when the Commission voted to eliminate all racial designations from the official recreation system map it prepared for the District.~~

Displacing Communities through Urban Renewal Programs

~~At the turn of the 20th century American cities were dealing with two major urban issues—the rapid industrialization of work and rapid urbanization. During this period, millions of Americans that fled their rural communities and European immigrants moved to search for economic and social prosperity in American urban centers.~~

~~In Washington, the city’s population more than doubled during this timeframe, increasing from 230,000 residents in the 1890s to nearly 490,000 residents by the end of the 1920s. Housing construction could not meet the demand of newcomers. At the time, local developers often exploited this urgent demand for housing for low-income workers by building settlements for low-income workers in alleyways.⁴¹ The drastic rise in the city’s population, coupled with an insufficient housing supply, led to unsafe and unsanitary housing conditions and overcrowding in many District neighborhoods.~~

~~In the years during and after World War II, the African American population in cities increased as Black Southerners fled racial violence in their hometowns and searched for greater economic opportunity in Northern cities. Simultaneously, White residents and retail began to leave cities for the suburbs due to federal and local policies that incentivized new community development outside the city center. Local governments attempted to use redevelopment to retain residents, increase tax bases, and prevent the perceived deterioration of downtowns and neighborhoods.~~

~~In 1945, Congress adopted the District of Columbia Redevelopment Act, which launched the process of urban renewal. The act allowed for the use of eminent domain to take private property for private redevelopment; and established the DC Redevelopment Land Agency to assemble land and prepare it for developers. The District of Columbia Redevelopment Act empowered the National Capital Park and Planning Commission (predecessor agency to NCPC), as the planning agency for all of Washington, to complete this task. Between 1945 and 1972, NCPC prepared, adopted, and certified 12 plans for implementation for the removal and rehabilitation of blighted, decayed, and deteriorating areas of the city. One of the most well-known incidences of urban renewal in Washington is that of the Southwest community, historically a predominately African American neighborhood within the city tracing back to the period of American enslavement.^{42,43}~~

~~In the 1950 Comprehensive Plan *Washington: Present and Future*, NCPPC identified the Southwest neighborhood “as a Principal Problem Area with over 50 percent of housing [that] needed repair or lacked private baths.”⁴⁴ The neighborhood was particularly identified to serve as a pilot case for urban renewal due to its proximity to federal government facilities and the prominent views it held to the National Mall, United States Capitol and other symbolic spaces.~~

⁴¹ Borchert, *Alley Life in Washington: Family, Community, Religion, and Folklore in the City, 1850-1870*.

⁴² Mann, “The Complexities of Slavery in the Nation’s Capital—White House Historical Association.”

⁴³ [https://www.tclf.org/southwest-redevelopment-plan-0#:~:text=The%20Southwest%20Urban%20Renewal%20Plan,Redevelopment%20Land%20Agency%20\(RLA\),](https://www.tclf.org/southwest-redevelopment-plan-0#:~:text=The%20Southwest%20Urban%20Renewal%20Plan,Redevelopment%20Land%20Agency%20(RLA),)

⁴⁴ National Capital Park and Planning Commission, “The Comprehensive Plan for the National Capital and Its Environs (1950).”

~~As a project, the approved urban renewal plan proposed the demolition of existing housing deemed obsolete or blighted, and incorporated a renewed waterfront, a federal employment center, modern shopping center, public plaza and promenade, highways, newly constructed housing, and other community amenities. The effects of the Southwest urban renewal program were devastating on the community. Urban renewal destroyed 99 percent of Southwest’s buildings, forced 1,500 businesses to move, and displaced 23,000 residents.⁴⁵~~

~~While the Southwest neighborhood was the first major redevelopment in Washington as a result of an urban renewal plan, there were other urban renewal areas that were approved and implemented throughout the city. These included Northwest, Northeast, the Shaw School, downtown, Columbia Plaza, Fort Lincoln, Adams Morgan, H Street, NE, and 14th Street, NW. NCPC also defined boundaries for five additional urban renewal areas, including Georgetown and the South Capitol Street/Buzzard Point area, that were never adopted.~~

~~Strategies of urban renewal plans within NCPC’s regulations continued to exist into the 21st Century in the form of the Downtown and Shaw renewal plans, which were intended to guide rehabilitation in these two designated areas. In 2019, NCPC approved a request submitted by the District of Columbia Office of Planning to terminate these two plans as they were outdated and do not align with current zoning and planning initiatives.~~

Expanding the Federal Footprint in Local Communities

~~*Extending the Legacy Plan* (Legacy), was a visionary guide for new initiatives and policy development—it influenced Comprehensive Plan updates and set the stage for more detailed planning as described in the *Memorials and Museums Master Plan* (2001) and the *Monumental Core Framework Plan* (2009). Many of Legacy’s goals that relate to new federal facilities, enhanced transportation, reconnecting Washington to its waterfronts, and improving gateways into the city are becoming reality.~~

~~The plan has a strong vision of directing federal development to all quadrants of the city to promote economic development directly and indirectly, using federal investment as a catalyst. As a result, many new federal campuses were developed across the District of Columbia, in communities that are now classified as equity areas.~~

~~NCPC reviews federal development applications for site selection and development for consistency with the Comprehensive Plan’s Federal Elements. The development of federal facilities and installations have had both positive and negative equity impacts in underserved communities. For example, the siting and design of federal buildings and campuses can adversely impact a community’s access to open space and existing amenities. Security requirements at these facilities can also restrict public access to amenities such as waterfronts, views, and historic and environmental resources. Lastly, the design of a federal facility may not be compatible with the surrounding neighborhood character. In applying an equity lens in building and site design that mitigates direct and indirect impacts, federal development projects can be stronger assets in underserved communities. For example, a federal development can plan for areas of public amenity spaces, such as parks and community rooms that are assessable to the surrounding community that may improve health outcomes. A critical component of developing these policies is a firm commitment to engaging underserved communities and centering their input as part of the planning process.~~

⁴⁵ Myers Asch and Derek, *Chocolate City: A History of Race and Democracy in the Nation’s Capital*.

Contemporary Impacts

~~The vestiges of planning policies have long-term implications on individual opportunity and community design. Contemporary analysis of the effects of NCPC or its predecessor's practices, indicate that these programs have contributed to underserved communities feeling a reduced sense of belonging throughout the nation's capital; experiencing a reduction in community cultural wealth; and having less access to parks and green spaces.~~

~~Neighborhoods throughout Washington and the National Capital Region are also impacted by these policies which have contributed to racial and economic housing segregation. As a result, underserved communities in the region, both social and geographic, carry a disproportionate burden of air pollution, flood risks, food insecurity, commute times, and other environmental hazards.~~

~~While NCPC has historically been involved with planning and development that has impacted underserved communities, NCPC is committed to addressing this legacy and working with federal and local partners to remedy negative impacts of past planning decisions.~~

The Planning Framework: Vision and Guiding Principles

The Commission envisions:

A vibrant world capital that accommodates the needs of our national government; enriches the lives of the region's residents, workers, and visitors; and embodies an urban form and character that reflects the enduring values of the American people.

The Comprehensive Plan's Federal Elements are linked by four guiding principles and ~~themes~~goals that emerge within these principles.

1. Accommodate federal and national capital activities.
2. Reinforce resilient and sustainable development planning principles.
3. Support local and regional planning and development objectives ~~while continuing our shared stewardship.~~
4. ~~Incorporate planning objectives that promote~~Promote equitable development and opportunity for underserved communities.

Each guiding principle includes key objectives that frame policy and guidelines within the Federal Elements.

Principle 1: Accommodate Federal and National Capital Activities

Key Objectives:

- Promote the highest quality design and development in the National Capital Region.
- Preserve historic properties and important L'Enfant and McMillan Plan design features.
- Balance accessibility and security.
- Prioritize ~~accessibility of the public~~public's access to federal properties, when ~~applicable~~possible.
- Enhance the beauty and order of the nation's capital.
- Disperse activities throughout the city and region.
- Promote ~~the District of Columbia~~Washington, DC as the prime location for foreign diplomatic missions.

One of the key ~~themes~~goals within this guiding principle is the importance of the appearance and image of the nation's capital. The city's physical design conveys the values and qualities to which we aspire as a nation. The Federal Elements emphasize fundamental concepts of beauty and order. As the seat of the federal government, Washington, DC, and the federal activities within it, must reflect the highest standards of architecture, urban design, and planning. As the central planning agency for the federal government, NCPC is committed to ensuring that adequate provisions are made for future generations who will come to the capital to petition the government, conduct business, or visit memorials and museums that honor the nation's heroes and capture its history.

A second important ~~theme~~goal is the operational efficiency of the federal government. The Federal Elements envision a capital city that is the economic, political, and cultural center of the National Capital Region. The Central Employment Area (CEA) is seen as the primary focus of new federal office development and the preferred location of new major federal employment activities. Government headquarters and other federal workplaces are encouraged to ~~locate~~be located within or near the CEA. Washington is considered the primary location for foreign missions and international organizations, consistent with international law and practice. An emphasis will be placed on retaining national and international activities in the city while preserving the autonomy of the District of Columbia government to regulate and plan local land use.

Those sectors of the regional economy that have traditionally been strong—information processing, support services, intelligence gathering, medical research, international activities, national defense, tourism, information technology, and support services related to the government—are expected to continue to be drivers of the region's economy because of their strong ties to the federal government. Activities requiring larger land areas or greater levels of security should be ~~located~~ in areas of the region that can accommodate those requirements. The federal government should make every attempt to use existing federal facilities and land for new federal space needs.

The Federal Elements recognize that many federal employees value living near their places of work, increasing the possibility that federal employees could commute primarily by transit, bicycle, and walking. Further, the siting and design of new federal facilities within Washington and its environs that are convenient to public transportation will encourage employees and visitors to make greater use of transit options. Furthermore, the siting and design of new federal facilities within the NCR should consider access and linkages to the local community, as appropriate. Federal activities will also be encouraged to locate in ways that promote the development of new, related private-sector activities, while meeting the requirements of federal agencies. Regardless of their location, federal facilities are expected to safely accommodate government functions ~~while promoting the highest quality design safely and efficiently be designed in a manner that reflects our democratic ideals of openness and participation.~~

Principle 2: Reinforce Resilient and Sustainable Development Planning Principles

Key Objectives:

- ~~Prepare for, and address, impacts of climate change.~~
- ~~Preserve open space, natural beauty,~~Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and consumption of fossil fuel energy.
- ~~Evaluate and critical environmental areas.~~
- ~~Encourage pedestrian-oriented development and other compact forms of development.~~
- ~~Encourage mixed uses within federal facilities.~~

- ~~Concentrate more intense federal development near existing high-capacity transit routes and other multi-modal facilities.~~
- ~~Promote non-auto transportation alternatives, including transit, walking, and bicycling.~~
- ~~Encourage the evaluation and mitigation of~~mitigate environmental impacts in communities.
- ~~Commit to advancing~~Advance climate change ~~adaptation~~mitigation, ~~adaption~~ and resilience planning ~~techniques infor~~ site and building design ~~to mitigate environmental risks.~~, including rehabilitation.
- Reinvest in the efficient use of federal facilities and plan for the long-term use and space needs of the federal workforce.
- Concentrate more intense federal development near existing high-capacity transit routes and other multi-modal facilities.
- Encourage pedestrian oriented development, mixed uses, and other compact forms of development.
- Promote non-auto transportation alternatives, including transit, walking, and bicycling.
- Preserve open space, natural beauty, cultural resources, and critical environmental areas.

The Federal Elements encourage resilient planning practices and sustainable development. The plan includes strategies that orient development to public transit; protect environmental and natural resources; organize new development in compact land use patterns; promote opportunities for infill development to take advantage of existing public infrastructure; and adapt and reuse existing historic and underutilized buildings to preserve the unique identities of local neighborhoods. Sustainable development recognizes the interrelationship between economic growth, environmental quality, and livability, and the responsibility that citizens have to preserve their communities and quality-of-life for future generations. These principles benefit the federal government and the region- and reduce the need for federal parking facilities and the associated costs and land use.

A critical ~~themegoal~~ within this guiding principle is transportation mobility and accessibility. To facilitate the movement of federal employees to and from their places of employment, federal agencies in the region are leading the way with a variety of creative commuting programs. The federal government provides a monthly transit benefit for employees. Many agencies have highly effective transportation management plans to help reduce the number of drive-alone commuters, encourage carpooling and vanpooling, and offer staggered work hours and telework options. Considering the NCR's status as one of the most congested regions in the country, federal agencies must continue to find new and effective transportation strategies at their work sites, including incentives for alternative travel modes such as walking and biking.

Another fundamental ~~themegoal~~ that emerges within the guiding principle is the stewardship of the region's natural and cultural resources. For more than two centuries, the federal government has actively acquired, developed, and maintained parks and open space, and protected and enhanced natural resources in the region. The importance of this mission continues.

In addition, the federal government is also focusing on planning for, and addressing impacts on, lands, buildings, and communities across the National Capital Region related to climate change and flooding. It is important to anticipate the scope, severity, pace, and unpredictability of future climate change impacts on the federal government's sites, buildings, and operations. Adaptation planning will allow federal agencies to minimize the negative impacts of climate change that are already occurring in the National Capital Region and take advantage of opportunities to coordinate and respond effectively to future conditions. This will facilitate the protection of federal assets and investments, ensure the long-term resiliency of federal operations, and support economic vitality in the National Capital Region.

Principle 3: Support Local and Regional Planning and Development Objectives

Key Objectives

- Maximize the contribution of federal projects to local and regional jurisdictions through the location and design of federal facilities.
- Promote intergovernmental coordination and engagement.
- Encourage federal agencies during the early stages of planning to facilitate community engagement meetings and other similar initiatives to inform community organizations of pending development.
- Encourage agencies to work with local jurisdictions to ensure land disposal and workplace consolidations can support their needs.

~~The~~A key goal of this principle is to ensure that the federal government will continue to be a major generator of growth and development in the NCR. Federally owned and leased facilities are located throughout the region, and federal activities significantly impact the region's economic health, welfare, and stability.

~~The~~Given the distribution of federal facilities across the NCR, the Commission, and other federal agencies should work closely with local authorities and affected community groups in areas where federal activities are located or are proposed to be located.

~~The~~Finally, the Commission strongly promotes intergovernmental cooperation and public participation in the preparation and review of federal policies, plans, and programs in the region by:

- ~~Coordinate federal plans, projects, and capital improvement programming with local, regional, and state plans and programs.~~
- ~~Coordinate~~Coordinating federal plans, projects, and capital improvement programming with local, regional, and state governments so federal agencies can develop the best approaches to land use, economic development, transportation, and other potential impacts in communities.
- ~~Encourage~~Encouraging federal agencies planning development projects to participate in the Commission's "early consultation" program to inform non-federal officials and community organizations about such projects prior to their submission to the Commission.
- ~~Provide for~~Providing public participation opportunities in the Commission's preparation and review of federal policies, plans, projects, and capital improvement programs.
- ~~Ensure that~~Evaluating the ~~agency has facilitated effective applicant agency's~~ local community participation, outreach, and engagement with underserved communities- to determine its effectiveness.
- ~~Assist~~Assisting federal agencies in resolving issues with affected non-federal agencies and community groups in preparing proposed policies, plans, and programs.
- ~~Coordinate~~Coordinating the federal interest review of local, regional, and state plans and programs.
- Promoting information-sharing and data exchanges with state, regional, and local authorities, and local community groups.

Principle 4: ~~Incorporate Planning Objectives that~~ Promote Equitable Development and Opportunity for Underserved Communities

Key Objectives:

- Physical Access: Promote universal and equitable access for visitors to onsite public amenities, and employees to amenities in the surrounding community.
- Economic Development: Advance economic opportunity through economic development and investment in sites and workforces in communities with underserved populations.
- Community Engagement: Engage with underserved communities in a responsive, transparent, and inclusive manner, which allows communities to understand policy proposals and participate in ~~bi-~~ **directional** bidirectional conversations with public officials.
- Cultural Affirmation and Diversity: Affirm the importance of local cultural identity and traditions and recognize the role that cultural recognition plays in supporting civic engagement and community enrichment.
- Data Analysis: Use qualitative and quantitative data to identify and track the legacy and contemporary impacts of policies, practices, and procedures relating to federal development that have adversely impacted underserved communities.
- Sustainability, Resilience, and Health: Improve human health and protect federal assets in underserved communities through investment in resilient planning practices that mitigate the impacts of climate change.

The key ~~theme~~ goal of this guiding principle is to advance equity as a central component of the agency's planning policies. While NCPC has played a key role in shaping the NCR into a vibrant and culturally diverse region, it is imperative that the agency strive to recognize and remedy, to the greatest extent possible, historical planning practices that may have resulted in inequitable outcomes for underserved communities and how those policies have shaped communities today.

~~Populations sharing a particular characteristic, as well as geographic communities, that have been systematically denied a full opportunity to participate in aspects of economic, social, and civic life, as exemplified by the list in the preceding definition of "equity."~~ **Documenting Local History and the Impacts of the Federal Footprint [Call-out Box]**

As part of the agency's 2023 review of the Pentagon Master Plan update, Pentagon officials documented the history of the campus' construction and acknowledged the legacy of eminent domain, which resulted in the mandatory relocation of over 900 people residing in East Arlington and Queen City, two largely African American communities that evolved from the former Freedman's Village that was established on their general vicinity during the Civil War. In 1942, East Arlington and Queen City were demolished to construct the roadway network to support the Pentagon campus. The image to the left depicts the neighborhood prior to demolition. By acknowledging this history, the Pentagon is shedding light on its past, while also sharing this previously unacknowledged story with the broader public.

The Planning Program: Federal Elements

The *Comprehensive Plan for the National Capital: Federal Elements* identifies and addresses the current and future needs of federal employees, visitors, and residents to the nation's capital and provides policies that:

- Guide urban design features that contribute to the image and function of the nation's capital.
- Guide the location of new federal facilities and the management of existing federal facilities.
- Guide the placement and accommodation of foreign missions and international agencies.
- Promote the preservation and enhancement of the region's natural resources and environment.
- Protect historic and cultural resources.
- Encourage federal, local, state, and national authorities to work together.
- Support access into, out of, and around the nation's capital that is as efficient as possible for federal and non-federal workers.

~~The eight Federal Elements are Urban Design; Federal Workplace; Foreign Missions & International Organizations; Transportation; Parks & Open Space; Federal Environment; Historic Preservation; and Visitors & Commemoration.~~

~~Urban Design Element:~~ Promote quality design and development in the ~~region~~National Capital Region that reinforces its unique role as the nation's capital and creates a welcoming and livable environment for people. A technical addendum is included in the Urban Design Element, of the Comprehensive Plan, which is a resource that supports the element's policies.

~~Federal Workplace Element:~~ Locate the federal workforce in a way that enhances the efficiency, productivity, value, and public image of the federal government; strengthens the ~~NCR's~~National Capital Region's economic well-being; and emphasizes ~~Washington~~the District of Columbia as the seat of the federal government.

~~Foreign Missions & International Organizations Element:~~ Plan a secure and welcoming environment for the location of diplomatic and international activities in Washington, ~~DC~~. This should be done in a manner that is appropriate to the status and dignity of these activities; enhances Washington's role as one of the world's great capitals; and is sensitive to the character and use patterns of the city's neighborhoods.

~~Transportation Element:~~ Support the development and maintenance of a multimodal transportation system that meets the needs of federal workers, residents, and visitors, while improving regional mobility, transportation access, and environmental quality. A technical addendum is included in the Transportation Element, of the Comprehensive Plan, which is a resource that supports the element's policies.

~~Parks & Open Space Element:~~ Protect and enhance the National Capital Region's parks and open space system—for recreation; as commemorative and symbolic space; as social, civic, and celebratory space; and to provide environmental and educational benefits.

~~Federal Environment Element: Preserve~~Federal Environment: Promote the National Capital Region as a leader in environmental stewardship and sustainability. The federal government seeks to preserve and enhance the quality of the region's natural resources to ensure that their benefits are available for future generations to enjoy.

~~Historic Preservation Element:~~ Preserve, protect, and rehabilitate historic properties in the ~~NCR~~National Capital Region and promote design and development that is respectful of the guiding principles established by the Plan of the City of Washington and the symbolic character of the capital's setting.

~~Visitors & Visitor and Commemoration Element:~~ Provide a positive and memorable experience for all visitors to the NCR National Capital Region in a way that showcases the institutions of American culture and democracy, supports planning goals, and enhances activities that are unique to visiting the nation’s capital.

The Federal Elements also includes an Action Plan as a technical addendum. The Comprehensive Plan’s Action Plan contains specific projects to advance the Commission’s vision and set in motion the necessary steps to activate the plan’s goals and policies. The projects advance the policies in the Comprehensive Plan; the objectives of the Commission’s Strategic Plan and annual work program; and the recommendations from NCPC’s past planning initiatives such as the Legacy Plan. The Federal Capital Improvements Program plays a prominent role in the Action Plan as the Commission encourages federal agencies to use the Comprehensive Plan as a policy guide in preparing their capital improvement project’s submissions.

The Federal Elements—along with the District Elements, federal and District agencies’ plans, individual installation master plans and subarea plans, development controls, and design guidelines—constitute the road map for NCPC’s land use planning and development decision-making processes in the NCR.

Case Studies

[This section incorporates additional information, data visualizations, or other highlighted text that provides additional context to the Introduction Chapter narrative but is not part of the main narrative. This information will be graphically arranged to highlight its importance and will be incorporated into the narrative text at the time of final adoption.]

Expanding America’s Stories on the National Mall

~~Beyond Granite~~ is a collaborative partnership between the Trust for the National Mall, the National Park Service, and the National Capital Planning Commission designed to test solutions for encouraging more representative and inclusive storytelling on the National Mall by using temporary artworks. The pilot project ~~Beyond Granite: Pulling Together~~ was a four week outdoor art exhibition curated by Monument Lab and featured work by six contemporary artists that all responded to the question—“What stories remain untold on the National Mall.” To learn more about ~~Beyond Granite~~, visit—

Documenting Local History and the Impacts of the Federal Footprint

~~As part of the agency’s 2023 review of the Pentagon Master Plan update, staff documented the history of the campus’ construction and acknowledged the legacy of eminent domain, which resulted in the mandatory relocation of over 900 people residing in East Arlington and Queen City, two largely African American communities that evolved from the former Freedman’s Village that was established on their general vicinity during the Civil War. In 1942, East Arlington and Queen City were demolished to construct the roadway network to support the Pentagon campus. By acknowledging this history, the Pentagon is shedding light on its past, while also sharing this previously unacknowledged story with the broader public.~~

Protecting the National Mall from Coastal, Riverine, and Interior Flooding

In 2023, NCPC approved development plans for the National Park Service to repair and rehabilitate approximately 6,800 linear feet of the failing seawall along portions of the Tidal Basin and West Potomac Park in Washington as part of the Tidal Basin and West Potomac Park Sea Wall project. Over the years, the seawalls have significantly settled, leading to overtopping and poor drainage. This has led to reduced

~~public access and damage to the cultural landscape and park infrastructure along the heavily visited Potomac River waterfront from Hains Point northwest toward the Tidal Basin, resulting in negative impacts for visitors. The project will address immediate issues of the failing seawall in locations demonstrating the highest degree of settlement and erosion. The goal of this project is to return the seawalls to their historical functional height, improve visitor accessibility and experience over the next decade, and plan for sea level rise in the future.~~

Definitions

~~[This section incorporates additional information, data visualizations, or other highlighted text that provides additional context to the Introduction Chapter narrative but is not part of the main narrative. This information will be graphically arranged to highlight its importance and will be integrated throughout the document at the time of final adoption.]~~

Environmental Justice: The just treatment and meaningful involvement of all people, regardless of income, race, color, national origin, Tribal affiliation, or disability, in agency decision-making and other federal activities that affect human health and the environment so that people: are fully protected from disproportionate and adverse human health and environmental effects (including risks) and hazards, including those related to climate change, the cumulative impacts of environmental and other burdens, and the legacy of racism or other structural or systemic barriers; and have equitable access to a healthy, sustainable, and resilient environment in which to live, play, work, learn, grow, worship, and engage in cultural and subsistence practices.

Equity: The consistent and systematic fair, just, and impartial treatment of all individuals, including individuals who belong to underserved communities that have been denied such treatment, such as Black, Latino, and Indigenous and Native American persons, Asian Americans and Pacific Islanders and other persons of color; members of religious minorities; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, and queer (LGBTQ+) persons; persons with disabilities; persons who live in rural areas; and persons otherwise adversely affected by persistent poverty or inequality.

Underserved Communities: Populations sharing a particular characteristic, as well as geographic communities, that have been systematically denied a full opportunity to participate in aspects of economic, social, and civic life, as exemplified by the list in the preceding definition of “equity.”

Equitable Development: is an approach for meeting the needs of underserved communities through policies and programs that reduce disparities while fostering places that are healthy and vibrant. It is increasingly considered an effective placed-based action for creating strong and livable communities.⁷³

Sustainability: To create and maintain conditions under which humans and nature can exist in productive harmony and that permit fulfilling social, economic, and other requirements of present and future generations.⁷⁴

Resilience: A capability to anticipate, prepare for, respond to, and recover from significant multi-hazard threats with minimum damage to social well-being, the economy, and the environment.⁷⁵

Adaptation - Adjustment in natural or human systems in response to actual or expected climatic stimuli or their effects, which moderates harm or exploits beneficial opportunities. Various types of adaptation can be distinguished, including anticipatory and reactive adaptation, private and public adaptation, and autonomous and planned adaptation.⁷⁶

Endnotes

- ¹ U.S. Census Bureau, QuickFacts, Population Estimate for District of Columbia, July 1, 2023.
- ² The National Capital Planning Act of 1952.
- ³ U.S. Census Bureau, "Income in the Past 12 Months (in 2022 Inflation-Adjusted Dollars)." American Community Survey, ACS 1-Year Estimates Subject Tables, Table S1901, 2022.
- ⁴ U.S. Census Bureau, "Income in the Past 12 Months (in 2022 Inflation-Adjusted Dollars)."
- ⁵ U.S. Census Bureau, "Income in the Past 12 Months (in 2016 Inflation-Adjusted Dollars)." American Community Survey, ACS 1-Year Estimates Subject Tables, Table S1901, 2016.
- ⁶ Downtown Business Improvement District Corporation, "State of Downtown 2022."
- ⁷ Destination DC, "Washington, DC Welcomes 20.7 Million Domestic Visitors in 2022 Who Spent \$8.1 Billion." <https://washington.org/destination-dc-reveals-strong-visitation-numbers-new-advertising-campaign>
- ⁸ U.S. Department of State, "Office of Foreign Missions."
- ⁹ U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, Regional Economic Accounts, last updated November 16, 2023.
- ¹⁰ U.S. Department of Commerce, Regional Economic Accounts.
- ¹¹ U.S. Census Bureau, "Consolidated Federal Funds Report for Fiscal Year 2001."
- ¹² U.S. Census Bureau, "Consolidated Federal Funds Report for Fiscal Year 2010."
- ¹³ The Stephen S. Fuller Institute for Research on the Washington Region's Economic Future, What Does a Shutdown Mean for the Washington Region's Economy?"
- ¹⁴ Federal Capital Improvements Program for the National Capital Region, Fiscal Year 2024 - 2029Federal Capital Improvements Program for the National Capital Region, Fiscal Year 2024 - 2029.
- ¹⁵ U.S. General Services Administration, "National Capital Region Public Building Services." <https://www.gsa.gov/about-us/gsa-regions/region-11-national-capital/buildings-and-facilities>, Last reviewed April 26, 2024.
- ¹⁶ U.S. General Services Administration, "National Capital Region Public Building Services."
- ¹⁷ U.S. Department of Defense, "Base Structure Report - Fiscal Year 2018 Baseline: A Summary of The Real Property Inventory Data."
- ¹⁸ Approximate numbers from the 2004 Parks & Open Space Element as discrepancies in boundary areas between jurisdictions, ownership, and definitions of parks and open space result in data that does not perfectly match across the region. Several groups, including NPS, with boundaries that differ from NCPC's, also use the term "National Capital Region."
- ¹⁹ Jason Steinhauer, Library of Congress Blogs, "The Indians' Capital City: Native Histories of The Indians' Capital City: Native Histories of Washington, D.C."
- ²⁰ George M. Barringer, Georgetown University Library, "They Came to Georgetown: The Irish (Part II)."
- ²¹ Lina Mann, The White House Historical Association, "The Complexities of Slavery in the Nation's Capital"
- ²² U.S. Congress. U.S. Statutes at Large, Volume 1 -1799, 1st through 5th Congress. United States, - 1799, 1789. Periodical. <https://www.loc.gov/item/lsl-v1/>.
- ²³ The Residence Act - <https://guides.loc.gov/residence-act>
- ²⁴ The White House Historical Association, "Slavery in the President's Neighborhood" - <https://www.whitehousehistory.org/spn/introduction>
- ²⁵ Frederick A. Gutheim, and Antoinette J. Lee, "Worthy of The Nation: Washington, DC, from L'Enfant to the National Capital Planning Commission".
- ²⁶ Charles Cerami and Robert M. Silverstein, "Benjamin Banneker: Surveyor, Astronomer, Publisher, Patriot."
- ²⁷ Cerami and Silverstein.
- ²⁸ L'Enfant, "L'Enfant Plan."

-
- ²⁹ [National Park Service, “People & Bison.” - https://www.nps.gov/subjects/bison/people.htm](https://www.nps.gov/subjects/bison/people.htm)
- ³⁰ [William Hornaday, “Map Illustrating the Extermination of the American Bison, 1889.”](#)
- ³¹ [Smithsonian Magazine, Gilbert King, “Where the Buffalo No Longer Roamed.](#)
- ³² [George Washington’s Mount Vernon: Digital Encyclopedia: Buffalo](#)
- ³³ [National Capital Planning Commission, “Planning History in Washington, DC](#)
- ³⁴ [U.S. Commission of Fine Arts, Designing the Nation’s Capital: The 1901 Plan for Washington, DC.](#)
- ³⁵ [Worthy of The Nation](#)
- ³⁶ [An Act Establishing a Commission of Fine Arts \(CFA\).](#)
- ³⁷ [The Height of Buildings Act was amended in 2014 to allow human occupancy in rooftop penthouse structures.](#)
- ³⁸ [The Capper Cramton Act of 1930.](#)
- ³⁹ [National Capital Planning Commission and National Capital Regional Planning Council, “A Plan for the Year 2000.”](#)
- ⁴⁰ [National Capital Regional Planning Council, “The Regional Development Guide 1966 - 2000.”](#)
- ⁴¹ [An Act to establish the Pennsylvania Avenue Bicentennial Development Corporation, \(H.R.10751\)](#)
- ⁴² [Office of the General Counsel, District of Columbia Home Rule Act.](#)
- ⁴³ [National Capital Planning Commission, Extending the Legacy: Planning America’s Capital for the 21st Century.](#)
- ⁴⁴ [James Borchert, Alley Life in Washington: Family, Community, Religion, and Folklore in the City, 1850-1870.](#)
- ⁴⁵ [Historic American Buildings Survey, “Southwest Washington, Urban Renewal Area, Bounded by Independence Avenue, Washington Avenue, South Capitol Street, Canal Street, P Street, Maine](#)
- ⁴⁶ [The Cultural Landscape Foundation, ‘Southwest Redevelopment Plan’](#)
- ⁴⁷ [National Capital Park and Planning Commission, “The Comprehensive Plan for the National Capital and Its Environs \(1950\).”](#)
- ⁴⁸ [Chris Myers Asch and George Derek Musgrove, Chocolate City: A History of Race and Democracy in the Nation’s Capital.](#)
- ⁴⁹ [District of Columbia Office of Planning, “Chinatown Cultural Development Small Area Action Plan.”](#)
- ⁵⁰ [National Capital Planning Commission, “Shoreline Ownership Methodology.”](#)
- ⁵¹ [DC Department of Energy & Environment, “Climate Ready DC: The District of Columbia’s Plan to Adapt to a Changing Climate.”](#)
- ⁵² [Climate Ready DC.](#)
- ⁵³ [U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, “What Climate Change Means for the District of Columbia.”](#)
- ⁵⁴ [CADMUS Group and DC Department of Energy and Environment, “Heat Sensitivity-Exposure Index Methodology Report for Climate Ready DC.](#)
- ⁵⁵ [“Heat Sensitivity-Exposure Index Methodology Report for Climate Ready DC.](#)
- ⁵⁶ [Climate Ready DC.](#)
- ⁵⁷ [Climate Ready DC.](#)
- ⁵⁸ [DowntownDC Business Improvement District. “State of Downtown 2023”](#)
- ⁵⁹ [U.S. Census Bureau. “Commuting Characteristics by Sex.” American Community Survey, ACS 1-Year Estimates Subject Tables, Table S0801, 2022.](#)
- ⁶⁰ [Washington Area Metropolitan Transit Authority. “Making the Case for Transit: WMATA Regional Benefits of Transit, November 2011”](#)
- ⁶¹ [Brookings Institution, “Washington’s Metro: Deficits by Design, June 2004”](#)
- ⁶² [Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority, Metrorail Ridership Summary, \[wmata.com/initiatives/ridership-portal/Metrorail-Ridership-Summary.cfm\]\(https://www.wmata.com/initiatives/ridership-portal/Metrorail-Ridership-Summary.cfm\)](#)
- ⁶³ [Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority, Daily Ridership Dashboards \(Bus and Rail\), <https://www.wmata.com/initiatives/ridership-portal/daily-summary.cfm>](#)

⁶⁴ Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments, “Covid-19 Impacts in Metropolitan Washington.”

⁶⁵ U.S. Census Bureau, “Employment Status 2021, ACS 1-Year Estimates.”

⁶⁶ Maria L. Alva, Srujana S. Illa, and Jaren Haber, “Death, Inequality, and the Pandemic in the Nation’s Capital.”

⁶⁷ Committee on an Evaluation of Permanent Supportive Housing Programs for Homeless Individuals, Science and Technology for Sustainability Program; Policy and Global Affairs, and Board on Population Health and Public Health Practice; Health and Medicine Division, Permanent Supportive Housing: Evaluating the Evidence for Improving Health Outcomes Among People Experiencing Chronic Homelessness.

⁶⁸ Virginia Commonwealth University (VCU) Center on Society and Health for the Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments (COG) Health Officials Committee, “Uneven Opportunities: How Conditions for Wellness Vary across the Metropolitan Washington Region.”

⁶⁹ Monument Lab, “National Monument Audit.”

⁷⁰ National Capital Planning Commission and Trust for the National Mall, “National Capital Planning Commission (USA) Meeting November 4, 2021

⁷¹ U.S. Government Accountability Office, “Federal Real Property: Agencies Need New Benchmarks to Measure and Shed Underutilized Space Report to Congressional Committees.”

⁷² U.S. General Services Administration, Inventory of GSA Owned and Leased Properties in Region 11, <https://www.gsa.gov/tools-overview/buildings-and-real-estate-tools/inventory-of-gsa-owned-and-leased-properties>.

⁷³ “Equitable Development and Environmental Justice.”

⁷⁴ National Research Council, *Sustainability and the U.S. EPA*.

⁷⁵ Environmental Protection Agency, “EPA’s Glossary of Climate Change Terms.”

⁷⁶ Environmental Protection Agency, “EPA’s Glossary of Climate Change Terms.”