

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

CORRECTED (6/06/07)

S. Wood
NCPC File No. 1485



PROPOSED FEDERAL CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PROGRAM FOR THE NATIONAL CAPITAL REGION FISCAL YEARS 2008-2013

May 31, 2007

Abstract

The preparation of the *Federal Capital Improvements Program for the National Capital Region, Fiscal Years 2008-2013*, has been underway since December 2006, when the National Capital Planning Commission asked federal departments and agencies to provide information on proposed projects. Staff has reviewed the submitted project information for conformity with the *Comprehensive Plan for the National Capital*, federal agency master plans, and other adopted plans and policies and has prepared the *Proposed Federal Capital Improvements Program for the National Capital Region, Fiscal Years 2008-2013* for public review and comment.

Commission Action Requested

Authorization to circulate the proposed program for a 45-day review and comment period. Adoption of the *Federal Capital Improvements Program for the National Capital Region, Fiscal Years 2008-2013* pursuant to Section 7 of the National Capital Planning Act (40 U.S.C. § 8723(a)) is tentatively scheduled for the Thursday, September 6, 2007 Commission meeting.

Executive Director's Recommendation

The Commission:

Authorize circulation of the *Proposed Federal Capital Improvements Program for the National Capital Region, Fiscal Years 2008-2013*, for review and comment by federal departments and agencies, regional agencies, state and local governments, and interested organizations and individuals.

* * *

BACKGROUND

In accordance with the National Capital Planning Act and the Office of Management and Budget's (OMB) circular No. A-11, *Preparation, Submission and Execution of the Budget*, agencies are required to submit their planned capital improvement programs within the National Capital Region (NCR) to NCPC. The Commission evaluates agencies' capital projects within these programs and makes recommendations in the six-year Federal Capital Improvements Program (FCIP). OMB uses NCPC's recommendations as guidance while reaching budgetary decisions on these projects. The Commission also uses the information it receives for the FCIP to coordinate federal projects with state and local governments at the earliest possible time.

The Commission's recommendations are based on the extent proposed projects conform to general planning and development policies in the region as described in plans and programs adopted by the Commission, regional planning bodies, and local and state governments. In particular, the Commission reviews projects for their conformity with Commission-approved site and building plans, Commission-approved installation master plans, and Commission-released plans and programs. The first year of this proposed FCIP represents funding requests contained in the President's fiscal year 2008 budget (the capital budget), transmitted to the Congress in early 2007. Projects scheduled in the second to sixth year (the capital program) involve extended funding, or are new projects that will be scheduled year-by-year until they are ready for funding consideration.

The Commission's recommendations and comments within the FCIP do not represent approval or denial of proposed projects. Inclusion of projects within the FCIP are not to be construed or represented to constitute Commission review of development or project plans pursuant to Section 5 of the National Capital Planning Act, or any other applicable statute.

PROGRAM ANALYSIS

The federal government is active in constructing a number of major projects throughout the National Capital Region, and this activity is expected to continue.

The draft *FCIP, FYs 2008-2013* contains 234 proposed projects. 200 projects are recommended for funding and 34 are recommended for future programming. The estimated total cost of proposed projects for fiscal years 2008 to 2013 is \$8,678,145,739. This does not include the 34 projects recommended for future programming since they do not contain estimated budgets.

The number of projects, the total costs of these projects, and the allocation of the total program costs among major jurisdictions are listed in the following table (the table does not include projects recommended for future programming).

TABLE 1, PROGRAM SUMMARY

	Number of Projects	Total Cost \$(000,000)	Percent of Total Program Costs
District of Columbia	82	2,542	29.3
Maryland			
Montgomery County	21	958	11.0
Prince George's County	34	291	3.3
Subtotal	55	1,249	14.4
Virginia			
Arlington County	30	1,115	12.8
Fairfax County	29	3,385	39.0
Prince William County	1	0	0.0
Subtotal	58	4,501	51.8
National Capital Region (Woodrow Wilson Bridge Replacement)	2	387	4.5
Total Region	200	8,678	100.00

TABLE 2, TOTAL NUMBER OF PROJECTS AND BUDGET ESTIMATES BY FEDERAL AGENCIES

Department /Agency	Number of Projects	Budget Estimates (000 Dollars)						Total FYs 2008-2013
		FY 20087	FY 20098	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	
Agriculture	22	102,384	91,614	55,450	46,350	46,350	46,350	388,498
Air Force	5	7,256	39,495	19,855	0	0	0	66,606
Army	43	1,114,938	1,220,281	585,401	409,103	133,750	116,624	3,580,097
Defense	13	152,045	252,210	209,500	152,287	135,615	40,970	942,627
GSA	37	644,446	270,716	707,176	53,430	0	0	1,675,768
HHS	17	22,300	23,900	20,800	12,500	281,200	261,000	621,700
Homeland Security	9	2,180	2,000	5,000	2,500	0	0	11,680
Interior	5	5,902	710	0	0	0	0	6,612
NASA	14	38,160	4,000	17,203	13,400	15,800	3,500	92,063
Navy	9	112,069	114,880	12,930	0	0	0	239,879
Smithsonian	11	56,990	70,930	93,180	106,880	95,755	72,850	496,585
State	2	10,255	6,323	12,440	43,573	4,561	34,754	111,906
Transportation	10	124,150	114,100	102,275	50,100	53,500	0	444,125
Grand Total	200	2,393,075	2,215,159	1,837,210	890,123	766,531	576,048	8,678,146

Fiscal years may not sum to FYs 2008-2013 total due to non-reporting of individual FY budget requests on some projects.

All agencies will be providing updated budget estimates for the final FCIP.

A substantial portion of the estimated capital improvement program budget is located in the Department of the Army. On May 10, 2005, the Secretary of Defense released his proposed Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) recommendations for defense facilities. Upon approval by the President in September 2005, the BRAC recommendations for restructuring a significant portion of the infrastructure of the Department of Defense (DoD) officially went into effect. In accordance with the BRAC statute, DoD now has a two-year window to begin closing and realigning affected DoD installations and facilities. The process must be completed by September 15, 2011. The BRAC decisions impacted previously proposed and new capital improvement project proposals at several DoD facilities within the National Capital Region, most notably Walter Reed Army Medical Center, the National Naval Medical Center and Fort Belvoir. The specific impacts to facilities and particular projects may not be known for several years, and this continues to impact the capital project proposals and cost estimates in this FCIP.

In this year's FCIP, WRAMC has submitted no projects, reflecting the facility's scheduled closure. National Naval Medical Center has submitted one project, but has not yet provided further projects relating to BRAC actions. Fort Belvoir has submitted 15 new projects, and their total capital improvements program is \$3.3 billion. The substantial scope of development and short deadline to complete these projects has resulted in a very compressed planning process. Fort Belvoir is working closely with local, state, regional and federal organizations to address many complex issues, including transportation needs, and is working on an updated master plan, but many issues are still being resolved.

The Commission makes recommendations for projects proposed within the FCIP. The FCIP categorizes each federal capital project based on its conformity with established planning policies. Previously, these six categories were used to evaluate projects: *Recommended and Strongly Endorsed*; *Recommended*; *Recommended for Program Purposes Only*; *Recommended for Future Programming*; *Recommended for Deferral*; and *Not Recommended*.

The Office of Management and Budget requested that NCPC consider consolidating rarely used categories, and more clearly identify projects the Commission strongly supports, as well as projects with unresolved planning issues. As a result, three infrequently used categories are proposed to be removed - *Recommended for Program Purposes Only*; *Recommended for Deferral*; and *Not Recommended*, and a single category with new criteria created: *Projects Requiring Additional Planning Coordination*. The revised categories and definitions are as follows: *Recommended and Strongly Endorsed*; *Recommended*; *Projects Requiring Additional Planning Coordination*; and *Recommended for Future Programming*. See Appendix A for the original and proposed criteria.

The newly-created category, *Projects Requiring Additional Planning Coordination*, includes projects for a variety of reasons:

- Projects may not conform to the submitting agency's own master plan, federal agency system plans or NCPC-approved site and building plans.

- A project may be included in this category if it lacks sufficient basic information for review, such as building programs or conceptual plans. Many out-year projects that are still in development may fall into this category.
- A project may also receive this rating if it significantly conflicts with existing adopted federal, regional or local plans, planning initiatives identified in the Comprehensive Plan, or is contrary to federal interests as defined by adopted planning guidelines or policies. Significant planning issues might also be identified through consultations with NCPC staff or through Commission review. Generally, agencies should retain these projects in their capital program, but seek to address identified issues.

NCPC comments are provided on all projects in this category, and identify why projects have received this rating. It is important to note this rating is not necessarily a comment on the merits of the overall project. It is often the case that resolution of the conflicting issues with the federal, local or regional planning agency, or development of additional information will result in a different rating for the project.

The projects proposed to be included in the *Projects Requiring Additional Planning Coordination* category are identified in Appendix B.

Projects that are *Recommended and Strongly Endorsed* receive the highest priority for the allocation of federal capital investment funds. Not only do these projects comply with all relevant laws, policies, and guidelines, but they also are critical to advancing key NCPC planning policies or other important federal interests within the region. Projects may include those submitted by other federal departments and agencies, or those that arise from NCPC initiatives such as the *Legacy Plan*, the *National Capital Urban Design and Security Plan*, the *Memorials and Museums Master Plan*, and the *Comprehensive Plan for the National Capital: Federal Elements*.

Criteria for the *Recommended and Strongly Endorsed* ranking may change somewhat from year to year as critical planning objectives evolve. For the *Proposed FCIP, FYs 2008-2013*, *Recommended and Strongly Endorsed* is defined as follows: To obtain the highest funding priority, a project—including major new construction, rehabilitation and modernization, or land acquisition projects—must do one or more of the following:

- Contribute to the operational efficiency and productivity of the federal government by promoting opportunities to take advantage of existing public infrastructure and/or adapting and reusing existing historic and underutilized facilities.
- Improve the security of federal workers, federal activities, and visitors to the national capital in a manner that complements and enhances the character of an area without impeding commerce and economic vitality.
- Protect and unify the historic and symbolic infrastructure of the monumental core and the District. These projects include new, rehabilitated, and/or modernized memorials, museums, historic parks, federal agency and department headquarters, historic streets, and other infrastructure.
- Restore the quality of the Anacostia and Potomac Rivers, associated waterways, and improve public access to waterfront areas.

- Advance regional public transportation and other infrastructure that promote the orientation of new development toward public transit and into compact land-use patterns and that encourage the use of non-automobile transportation alternatives, including walking and biking.
- Contribute significantly to the protection of environmental and natural resources.
- Anchor or promote community development and substantially contribute to the physical and economic improvement of surrounding areas.

This category includes projects submitted by federal agencies or recommended by NCPC that are critical to strategically advancing and implementing specific NCPC and/or local planning policies and development initiatives; clearly defined federal interests and objectives; federal agency system plans; master plans for individual installations; or NCPC-approved site and building plans.

The following agency-submitted **new** project is listed in the *Proposed FCIP, FYs 2008-2013* as *Recommended and Strongly Endorsed*:

Department of Agriculture, National Arboretum

Hickey Run Pollution Abatement Project: This project will collect and remove floatable debris, oil, and grease from water within Hickey Run. The project has been separated from the previous project at Hickey Run for storm water management to address water quality in the Anacostia River watershed.

Two agency-submitted projects were included in prior FCIPs as *Recommended and Strongly Endorsed* and are now proposed as *Recommended*. The Smithsonian Institution's *Construct/Install Anti-Terrorism Protection* project description includes multiple facilities, locations, and project types. Some of these proposals have been reviewed and approved by the Commission, while in other instances the Commission has acted to disapprove portions of the projects in accordance with the Commission's published perimeter security project design policies and submission guidelines. The Department of Agriculture's *Perimeter Security* project recommendation category reflects NCPC's preference for perimeter security strategies that focus less intensively on bollards, as noted in the urban design and security plan.

Recommended projects are those submitted by federal agencies other than NCPC and are in conformance with all applicable laws; with the submitting agency's master plan and policies; and with the policies and plans of the relevant federal, regional, and local authorities. These projects, though meritorious and worthy of funding, are not deemed critical to the implementation of federal strategic planning objectives. This year twenty **new** projects submitted to the *Proposed FCIP, FYs 2008-2013* are proposed as *Recommended*. They include the following:

- Department of Agriculture, National Arboretum: Storm Water Management
- Department of the Army, Fort Myer: Construct New Parking Garage
- Department of Defense: Federal Office Building 2 – Demolition of Existing Structures and Site Remediation; Federal Office Building 2 – Relocation of Gas Station and Service Store; North Rotary Road Relocation

- General Services Administration: Lafayette Building LITE Renovations; West Wing Utility Plant Replacement
- Department of Health and Human Services, National Institutes of Health: PET C-Good Lab Practices Facility/Radio-Chem Lab; New Patient Imaging; Complete Fit Out B3-East Labs in CRC; Zebrafish Research Facility; 2nd Floor Addition to In-Vivo NMR Center; Emergency/Back-up Power CIT Data Center; Expansion of Cell Processing Space, Building 10
- Department of Homeland Security: Construct Addition to Mid-South Laboratory Alexandria, VA Station
- Department of the Navy, Suitland: National Maritime Intelligence Center
- Department of Transportation: Columbia Island Environmental Impact Study; Pedestrian Bridge Over Dulles Access and Toll Road
- National Aeronautics and Space Administration: Security and Safety Upgrades

Projects in the *Recommended for Future Programming* category include NCPC-initiated projects; proposed plans and studies the Commission believes should be submitted by a federal agency for future programming to advance and implement NCPC and/or local planning policies, planning initiatives identified in the Comprehensive Plan, identified federal interests and objectives, federal agency system plans, master plans for individual installations, or NCPC-approved site and building plans. Projects in this category may or may not currently be recommended in NCPC plans and could be conceptual in nature. These projects may or may not have budget estimates, although the Commission recommends that estimates be prepared for these projects by the responsible federal agency.

Of the 34 projects that have been submitted by NCPC and are *Recommended for Future Programming*, NCPC strongly endorses 13 that are critical to strategically advancing significant Commission and local planning policies and initiatives, as well as other important federal interests. This includes four **new** projects: 10th Street SW Corridor Improvements; 10 Street NW Corridor Improvements within the Federal Triangle; Maryland Avenue SW Corridor Improvements; and the Freight Railroad Realignment Project. Several projects previously listed in this section have been removed. Two projects have been completed: the Railroad Relocation Feasibility Study and the RFK Stadium Site Redevelopment Study. Four streetscape and security improvement projects for the Downtown, Independence Avenue, Southwest Federal Center and the West End have also been removed due to the changing security environment and the completion of several site-specific security improvement projects within these areas. NCPC further recommends that the appropriate agencies program the remaining 21 projects into their budgets as soon as fiscal and budgetary conditions permit.

PROGRAM INITIATIVES

With the passage of the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, OMB is placing increased emphasis on linking federal agency program resources with performance. Having

identified the FCIP as an important management reform initiative, OMB consults the Commission's recommendations when making capital budget decisions.

NCPC continues to work with OMB to make further enhancement to the FCIP. OMB expressed continuing interest in identifying the funding status of the projects NCPC has identified as *Recommended and Strongly Endorsed*. As noted above, the number of categories for evaluating project submissions has been reduced from six to four, with the intent of eliminating rarely-used categories and more clearly identifying projects that NCPC strongly supports, as well as those requiring additional planning coordination.

Previous versions of the FCIP were organized to emphasize information by jurisdiction. In this version, project submissions are grouped by agency and recommendation for ease in locating descriptions, but jurisdictional information is included in a separate section. This is intended to improve usability for agencies and OMB, while still serving the needs of local jurisdictions.

Finally, NCPC will continue to work with submitting agencies through July to update project submissions, including budget information. This is done so that the information in the FCIP closely resembles the budget information formally submitted to OMB in September. In addition, NCPC will continue to collect and summarize the final capital budget values provided by federal agencies to OMB in late September.

REVIEW OF RECOMMENDATION PROPOSALS

It has been the Commission's practice to circulate the proposed FCIP prior to adoption of the program. During a 45-day period, federal departments and agencies, state and local governments, and interested organizations and citizens have the opportunity to review the program and submit their comments. This practice informs affected agencies, organizations, and citizens early in the planning process about federal projects proposed within the region during the next six years. A primary objective of this review is to promote intergovernmental coordination in the region regarding projected federal land acquisition and development proposals. Such coordination helps to address concerns regarding a project at the earliest possible time, avoid delays at the time of formal review, and results in overall improvements in the regional economy.

NCPC staff addresses all comments received during this period and presents them when the *Proposed FCIP, FYs 2008-2013* is before the Commission for adoption. The *Proposed FCIP, FYs 2008-2013* is tentatively scheduled to be presented to the Commission for adoption at its meeting on Thursday, September 6, 2007.

Appendix A:

Proposed Changes to FCIP Recommendation Criteria

RECOMMENDATION DEFINITIONS

Each year the Commission makes capital project recommendations for projects proposed within the FCIP. These recommendations are then reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget and other agencies that use them to guide capital budget and programming decisions. The Commission's recommendations do not represent approval of the development or project plans of the proposed projects.

The FCIP categorizes each federal capital project based on its conformity with established planning policies. The categories are: *Recommended and Strongly Endorsed*; *Recommended*; ~~*Recommended for Program Purposes Only*~~; *Recommended for Future Programming*; and ~~*Projects Requiring Further Planning Coordination Recommended for Deferral*~~, and ~~*Not Recommended*~~.

With respect to the categories, regional planning policies are defined as the overall goals contained within the *Comprehensive Plan for the National Capital: Federal Elements*; the principles embodied in the *Legacy Plan*; and specific planning policies and programs contained within federal agencies' long-range systems plans, master plans, and strategic plans. In reviewing projects, the Commission also considers locally adopted planning policies.

Recommended initiatives and objectives refer to specific projects identified for implementation through adopted policy and vision plans, and other long- and short-range systems plans, master plans, and strategic plans.

Approved site and building plans are preliminary and/or final project construction plans that have been approved by the Commission.

The definitions of the recommendation categories are explained below.

RECOMMENDED AND STRONGLY ENDORSED

Projects "Recommended and Strongly Endorsed" are capital projects that are critical to strategically advancing and implementing key NCPC planning policies and initiatives, or important federal interests within the region. A federal department or agency submits these projects to the FCIP, or they are future projects recommended by the Commission. Projects submitted by NCPC for this recommendation typically are within Commission plans, including the *Legacy Plan*, the *Comprehensive Plan for the National Capital: Federal Elements*, *The National Capital Urban Design and Security Plan*, and the *Memorials and Museums Master Plan*.

Criteria for proposed projects that are "Recommended and Strongly Endorsed" change annually based on current critical planning objectives. For the 2006-2011 FCIP, "Recommended and Strongly Endorsed" is defined as follows:

This category includes projects submitted by federal agencies or recommended by NCPC that are critical to strategically advancing and implementing specific NCPC and/or local planning policies and development initiatives; clearly defined federal interests and objectives; federal agency system plans; master plans for individual installations; or NCPC-approved site and building plans.

These projects are major or significant new construction projects, rehabilitation and modernization projects, or land acquisition projects that may do one or more of the following:

- Contribute to the operational efficiency and productivity of the federal government by promoting opportunities to take advantage of existing public infrastructure and/or adapting and reusing existing historic and underutilized facilities.
- Improve the security of federal workers, federal activities, and visitors to the national capital in a manner that complements and enhances the character of an area without impeding commerce and economic vitality.
- Protect and unify the historic and symbolic infrastructure of the monumental core and the District. These projects include new, rehabilitated and/or modernized memorials, museums, historic parks, federal agency and department headquarters, historic streets, and other infrastructure.
- Restore the quality of the Anacostia and Potomac Rivers and associated waterways and improve public access to waterfront areas.

- Advance regional public transportation and other infrastructure that promotes the orientation of new development toward public transit and into compact land use patterns. Promotes the use of non-automobile transportation alternatives including walking and biking.
- Contribute significantly to the protection of environmental and natural resources.
- Anchor or promote community development and substantially contribute to the physical and economic improvement of surrounding areas.

RECOMMENDED

“Recommended” projects within the FCIP are projects submitted by federal agencies—not by NCPC—that are in general conformance with NCPC and local plans and policies. These projects may not necessarily be critical to implementing any strategic planning objectives, but may contribute to the implementation of these objectives. Projects within this category must conform to adopted plans and policies. The definition used for projects that are “Recommended” throughout this FCIP is as follows:

This category includes projects submitted with budget estimates by federal agencies that are considered to be in conformance with NCPC and local planning policies; planning initiatives identified in the Comprehensive Plan; identified federal interests and objectives; federal agency system plans; master plans for individual installations; and NCPC-approved site or building plans.

RECOMMENDED FOR PROGRAM PURPOSES ONLY

~~Projects “Recommended for Program Purposes” within the FCIP are projects submitted by federal agencies—not by NCPC—that the Commission found to be non-conforming with NCPC and local plans and policies. However, these projects do not necessarily pose any serious planning issues. While these projects may not necessarily be critical to implementing any strategic planning objectives, they may contribute to the implementation of these objectives. The Commission recommends that these projects stay in the FCIP but requires that their non-conforming aspects be addressed before the projects are presented to NCPC for site and building design review and approval. The definition used for projects that are “Recommended for Program Purposes” throughout this FCIP is as follows:~~

~~This category includes projects submitted with budget estimates by federal agencies that are considered to pose no serious planning issues, but are not in conformance with NCPC and local planning policies; planning initiatives identified in the Comprehensive Plan; identified federal interests and objectives; federal agency system plans; master plans for individual installations; or NCPC-approved site and building plans. While recommended for programming, the non-conforming aspects of the project are to be satisfactorily addressed prior to submission of the project for NCPC review and approval.~~

RECOMMENDED FOR FUTURE PROGRAMMING

In addition to the Commission submitting projects for inclusion in the “Recommended and Strongly Endorsed” category, the Commission continues to recommend projects that have not been submitted by other agencies within the “Recommended for Future Programming” category. These projects are different from “Recommended and Strongly Endorsed” projects in that they are typically conceptual and may not have the value to strategic planning that strongly endorsed projects may have. All projects in this category are submitted by the Commission—not by any other federal agency—and must conform to adopted plans and policies. Because these projects are typically conceptual, they do not have cost estimates and are not included in any financial calculations or analyses within the FCIP. The definition used for projects that are “Recommended for Future Programming” throughout this FCIP is as follows:

This category includes projects that have not been submitted by federal agencies but that the Commission believes should be submitted by a particular agency for future programming to advance and implement NCPC and/or local planning policies; planning initiatives identified in the Comprehensive Plan; identified federal interests and objectives; federal agency system plans; master plans for individual installations; or NCPC-approved site and building plans. Projects in this

category may or may not currently be recommended in NCPC plans and could be conceptual in nature. These projects may or may not have budget estimates, although the Commission recommends that estimates be prepared for these projects by the responsible federal agency.

RECOMMENDED FOR DEFERRAL

A project is “Recommended for Deferral” within this FCIP because it conflicts with an adopted plan or policy. Typically, projects recommended in this category do not conform with established and Commission-adopted installation master plans. Projects in this category are submitted by other agencies (the Commission does not submit projects for deferral) and are typically not found critical to contributing to the implementation of strategic planning objectives. The definition used for projects that are “Recommended for Deferral” throughout this FCIP is as follows:

~~This category includes projects submitted with budget estimates by federal agencies that NCPC believes should be postponed, without prejudice, pending resolution of conflict with NCPC and local planning policies; planning initiatives identified in the Comprehensive Plan; identified federal interests and objectives; federal agency system plans; master plans for individual installations; or NCPC approved site and building plans.~~

NOT RECOMMENDED

Projects are rarely “Not Recommended” within the FCIP given that they have often been vetted against existing plans and policies by the agencies prior to being considered as viable capital improvements. This year’s FCIP does not contain any projects within the “Not Recommended” category. Projects within this category would be submitted for the FCIP by other agencies and would not be critical to contributing to the implementation of strategic planning objectives. The definition used for projects that are “Not Recommended” is as follows:

~~This category includes projects submitted with budget estimates by federal agencies, but which NCPC does not recommend because of inconsistencies with the NCPC and local planning policies; planning initiatives identified in the Comprehensive Plan; identified federal interests and objectives; federal agency system plans; master plans for individual installations; or NCPC approved site and building plans.~~

PROJECTS REQUIRING ADDITIONAL PLANNING COORDINATION

This category includes projects for a variety of reasons:

- Projects may not conform to the submitting agency’s own master plan, federal agency system plans or NCPC-approved site and building plans.
- A project may be included in this category if it lacks sufficient basic information for review, such as building programs or conceptual plans. Many out-year projects that are still in development may fall into this category.
- A project may also receive this rating if it significantly conflicts with existing adopted federal, regional or local plans, planning initiatives identified in the Comprehensive Plan or is contrary to federal interests as defined by adopted planning guidelines or policies. Significant planning issues might also be identified through consultations with NCPC staff or through Commission review. Generally, agencies should retain these projects in their capital program, but seek to address identified issues.

NCPC comments are provided on all projects in this category, and identify why projects have received this rating. It is important to note this rating is not necessarily a comment on the merits of the overall project. It is often the case that resolution of the conflicting issues with the federal, local or regional planning agency, or development of additional information will result in a different rating for the project.

APPENDIX B:
Category: Projects Requiring Additional Planning Coordination

Agency	Project Title	Prior Rec.	Comment
Department of the Air Force, Andrews Air Force Base	Consolidated Command Post Physical Fitness Center, West Side Replace Munitions Maintenance and Storage	New	These projects are not included in Andrews' existing master plan. The master plan is in the process of being updated.
Department of the Army, Fort Belvoir	Addition to Building 358 Museum Support Center Family Travel Camp Information Dominance Center North Post Access Road Control Point Structured Parking, 200 Area	Recommended for Program Purposes Only	Fort Belvoir is preparing for significant growth by 2011 due to implementation of the BRAC actions. These projects predated the BRAC actions, but are being included in the significantly expanded master planning and environmental review process being undertaken by the Army. The Army is currently working with Fairfax County and other local, regional and federal entities to identify and address the impacts of the anticipated growth. In recognition of these identified impacts and pending the completion of an updated master plan that includes these projects, these remain in the <i>Projects Requiring Additional Planning Coordination</i> .
Department of the Army, Fort Belvoir	Defense Access Road Renovate Building 238 for USANCA Flight Control Tower Missile Defense Agency Post Exchange Expansion Washington Headquarter Services National GeoSpatial Agency Construct New Hospital Fort Belvoir Infrastructure Emergency Services Center NARMC HQ Building Medical Guest House Dental Clinic Network Operations Center Construct Administration Facility for PEO-EIS/Army Lease Renovate Buildings 211, 214, 215 and 220 Construct New Barracks	New Projects	Fort Belvoir is preparing for significant growth by 2011 due to implementation of the BRAC actions. These projects are not identified in the existing master plan, but are being included in the significantly expanded master planning and environmental review process being undertaken by the Army. The Army is currently working with Fairfax County and other local, regional and federal entities to identify and address the impacts of the anticipated growth. In recognition of these identified impacts and pending the completion of an updated master plan that includes these projects, these remain in the <i>Projects Requiring Additional Planning Coordination</i> .

	Construct New Physical Fitness Center at EPG Child Development Center (Main Post)		
General Services Administration	Forrestal Building	Recommended	At its May 5, 2005 meeting, NCPC reviewed a series of alternatives for protecting the Forrestal Building against vehicle-borne and portable bombs. The concept designs for Phases 1, 3 and 6 were approved; the concepts for Phases 2, 4 and 5 were disapproved. The Commission required that any subsequent submission include a programmatic evaluation of removing the portion of the building mass (four column bays) that bridges over 10 th Street, SW.
General Services Administration	Saint Elizabeths – US Coast Guard Headquarters Saint Elizabeths – West Campus Modernization Saint Elizabeths – West Campus Infrastructure Saint Elizabeths – W.C. Extension/Acquisition Saint Elizabeths – W.C. Off campus Extension/Acquisition	Recommended	The west campus of Saint Elizabeths Hospital is proposed for major redevelopment. GSA is currently working with NCPC as well as other federal, local and community groups to identify and address the issues related to the proposed development, including traffic, historic preservation and security, and is preparing various studies, environmental and historic preservation documentation, and a master plan. In recognition of outstanding development issues and pending completion of a master plan and supporting information, the following projects are categorized as “Requiring Additional Planning Coordination.”
Department of the Navy	Anacostia Annex: Construct New NSM Warehouse Arlington Service Center: Renovate Building 12 Crystal Park Naval Research Laboratory: Autonomous Research Laboratory Washington Navy Yard: Renovate Building 200 Washington Navy Yard: Navy Systems Management Activity Relocation	Recommended New Recommended Recommended New	The projects listed below are not identified in their respective facility’s adopted master program, and several are being expanded in scope to accommodate BRAC actions. The Department of the Navy should coordinate with NCPC to ensure consistency between these projects and the master plan.
Department of the Navy, National Naval Medical Center	Fitness Center	New	In response to the anticipated growth resulting from the 2005 BRAC actions, the National Naval Medical Center is currently updating their master program for future submission to NCPC. This project should be evaluated in coordination with the additional BRAC-related projects, and included in the updated Master Plan.